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#### Abstract

We exhibit a family of transcendental continued fractions of formal power series over a finite field through some specific irregularities of its partial quotients.


## 1. Introduction

A well-known open question in diophantine approximation suggested by Khintchine in 5 asks whether an irrational algebraic number $x$ of degree $>2$ has a continued fraction expansion whose sequence of partial quotients is unbounded. The answer to this conjecture remains a hard matter. Several transcendence criteria for continued fractions that have been established recently gave a partial solution to this question. In [2] Baker proved that if $x=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right]$ such that $a_{n}=a_{n+1}=\cdots=a_{n+\lambda(n)-1}$, for infinitely many positive integers $n$ where $\lambda(n)$ is a sequence of integers verifying certain increasing properties, then $x$ is transcendental. The proof of this result is based on Liouville's and Roth's theorems.

Recently and based on the Schmidt Subspace Theorem, Adamczewski and Bugeaud 11 improved the result of Baker.

In 1967, Schmidt $\mathbf{1 2}$ demonstrated that any positive irrational number which is very well approximated by quadratic numbers is either quadratic or transcendental. This result has been used in several works.

However, for formal power series over a finite field, we have some examples of algebraic formal series of degree $\geqslant 3$ whose sequence of the degrees of the partial quotients is bounded, as well as examples whose partial quotients take an infinity of values.

In 1976, Baum and Sweet [3] gave the first example of algebraic formal series of degree 3 in $\mathbb{F}_{2}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ whose partial quotients have only a finite number of values. This work was pursued in [7] by Mills and Robbins who provided an example of algebraic formal series over $\mathbb{F}_{2}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ whose sequence of partial quotients is

[^0]unbounded. Moreover, Robbins gave a family of cubic formal power series with bounded partial quotients [11.

In 2004, Mkaouar 9 gave a new transcendence criteria of formal power series over a finite field that is based on the degree of its partial quotients.

Theorem 1.1. [9] Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ be an irrational formal series which is not quadratic such that

$$
f=[\overbrace{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{1}}^{n_{1}}, \overbrace{a_{2}, \ldots, a_{2}}^{n_{2}}, \overbrace{a_{3}, \ldots, a_{3}}^{n_{3}}, \ldots],
$$

where $a_{i}$ are blocks of consecutive partial quotients. Let $r_{i}$ be the sum of degrees of partial quotients of block $a_{i}$. If

$$
\lim _{\infty} \frac{n_{i} r_{i}}{n_{i-1} r_{i-1}}=\limsup n_{i}=+\infty
$$

then $f$ is transcendental.
In 44, Hbaib, Mkaouar and Tounsi constructed a family of transcendental continued fractions over $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ from an algebraic formal power series of degree more than 2 .

Theorem 1.2. 4] Let $g$ be an algebraic formal power series such that $\operatorname{deg}(g)>0$ and $f=\left[B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots\right]$ where $B_{i}$ are finite blocks of partial quotients whose the first $n_{i}$-terms are those of the continued fraction expansion of $g$. Let $d_{i}$ denote the sum of degrees of $B_{i}$ and $\delta_{i}$ the sum of degrees of the first $n_{i}$-terms of $B_{i}$. If

$$
\liminf _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{\delta_{s}} \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} d_{j}=0
$$

then $f$ is transcendental or quadratic.
Our main purpose here is twofold: to improve the last results and to give a new transcendence criteria depending only on the length of specific blocks appearing in the sequence of partial quotients. The present paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we define the field of formal series and the continued fraction expansions over this field. In Section 3, we state the main transcendence criterion and we present some lemmas that we will use to prove our result. We close this section with the proof of our main theorem (see Theorem 3.1) and an example to illustrate the limit of our result.

## 2. Field of formal series $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a field with $q>1$ elements of characteristic $p>0, \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ the ring of polynomials with coefficient in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$ the field of rational functions. Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)=\left\{f=\sum_{n \geqslant n_{0}} b_{n} X^{-n} \mid b_{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ be the field of formal power series. Define the absolute value

$$
|f|= \begin{cases}q^{\operatorname{deg} f} & \text { for } f \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text { for } f=0\end{cases}
$$

Thus, $|\cdot|$ is not an archimedean absolute value over $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$, that is $|f+g| \leqslant$ $\max (|f|,|g|)$ and $|f+g|=\max (|f|,|g|)$ if $|f| \neq|g|$. By analogy with the real case, we have a chain-fraction algorithm in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$. A formal power series $f=\sum_{n \geqslant n_{0}} b_{n} X^{-n}$ has a unique decomposition as $f=[f]+\{f\}$ with $[f] \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ and $|\{f\}|<1$. The polynomial $[f]$ is called the polynomial part of $f$ and $\{f\}$ is called the fractional part of $f$. We can write for any $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$

$$
f=a_{0}+\frac{1}{a_{1}+\frac{1}{a_{2}+\frac{1}{\ddots}}}=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right]
$$

where $a_{0}=[f]$ and $a_{i}=\left[f_{i}\right] \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right) \geqslant 1$ for any $i \geqslant 1$ and $f_{i}=$ $1 /\left\{f_{i-1}\right\}$. The sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is called the partial quotients of $f$ and we denote by $f_{n}=\left[a_{n}, a_{n+1}, \ldots\right]$ the $n$-th complete quotient of $f$.

Remarks. 1) If $\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right)\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is bounded, then $f$ is said to have a bounded continued fraction expansion.
2) The expansion is finite if and only if $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$.
3) The sequence of partial quotients of $f$ is ultimately periodic if and only if $f$ is quadratic over $\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$.

Now, we define two sequences of polynomials $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ and $\left(Q_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{0}=a_{0}, \quad Q_{0}=1, \quad P_{1}=a_{0} a_{1}+1, \quad Q_{1}=a_{1} \\
P_{n}=a_{n} P_{n-1}+P_{n-2}, \quad Q_{n}=a_{n} Q_{n-1}+Q_{n-2}, \text { for } n \geqslant 2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

We easily check that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{n} Q_{n-1}-P_{n-1} Q_{n}=(-1)^{n-1}, \text { for } n \geqslant 1, \\
\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right], \text { for } n \geqslant 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

$P_{n} / Q_{n}$ is called the $n^{\text {th }}$ convergent of $f$ and it satisfies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}=f=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, \ldots\right]
$$

With the nonarchimedean absolute value, we find the following important equality

$$
\left|f-\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}\right|=\left|\frac{P_{n+1}}{Q_{n+1}}-\frac{P_{n}}{Q_{n}}\right|=\left|Q_{n} Q_{n+1}\right|^{-1}=\left|a_{n+1}\right|^{-1}\left|Q_{n}\right|^{-2} .
$$

Let $f$ be an algebraic formal power series of minimal polynomial $P(Y)=A_{m} Y^{m}+$ $A_{m-1} Y^{m-1}+\cdots+A_{0}$ where $A_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. Set $H(f)=\max _{0 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\left|A_{i}\right|$ and $\sigma(f)=$ $A_{m}$.

Recall from [8] that a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X][Y]$ is said to be reduced if $\operatorname{deg}\left(A_{m-1}\right)>\operatorname{deg}\left(A_{i}\right)$ for any $i \neq m-1$, and an algebraic formal power series is reduced if its minimal polynomial is reduced and $[f] \neq 0$.

In 4], the authors gave the following lemma which identifies the reduced formal power series.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f$ be an algebraic formal power series of degree $d$ and $P$ its minimal polynomial. We denote by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d-1}$ the conjugates of $f$ in the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$. Then $f$ is reduced if and only if $|f|>1$ and $\left|f_{i}\right|<1$, for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, d-1\}$.

## 3. Results

Before giving the main result, we need to introduce some notation. If $K_{n}=$ $u_{\alpha_{0}} u_{\alpha_{2}} \ldots u_{\alpha_{n}}$ is a finite block formed by $n+1$ polynomials, we denote by $\left|K_{n}\right|$ its length and by $\varphi\left(K_{n}\right)$ the maximal degree which appears in the terms of $K_{n}$, which means that $\varphi\left(K_{n}\right)=\max _{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{\alpha_{i}}\right)\right)$. If $U_{n}, V_{n}$ are two finite blocks of polynomials, we write $U_{n} V_{n}$ for the block resulting by concatenation of them.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ such that $f=\left[U_{0} V_{0} U_{1} V_{1} \ldots U_{n} V_{n} \ldots\right]$ where $\left(U_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ and $\left(V_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ are two sequences of finite blocks of polynomials such that

1) $U_{i}=P_{i} P_{i}^{q} P_{i}^{q^{2}} \ldots P_{i}^{q^{\lambda_{i}-1}}$, for any $i \geqslant 0$, with $P_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ of degree $\geqslant 1$.
2) The sequence $\left(\left|V_{n}\right| /\left|U_{n}\right|\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is bounded.
3) $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers.
4) $\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is bounded.
5) $\varphi\left(V_{n}\right) \leqslant \varphi\left(U_{n}\right)$, for all $n \geqslant 0$.

If $f$ satisfies

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-1}}}{n \lambda_{n-1}}=+\infty
$$

then $f$ is transcendental.
The proof of this theorem breaks into four lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. 4] Let $f$ be an algebraic formal power series of degree $d$ such that $f=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{t}, h\right]$ where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X], h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$. If $|f| \geqslant 1$ and $|h|>1$, then $h$ is algebraic of degree $d$ and

$$
H(h) \leqslant H(f)\left|\prod_{i=1}^{t} a_{i}\right|^{d-2}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $P(Y)=A_{n} Y^{n}+A_{n-1} Y^{n-1}+\cdots+A_{0}$ be a reduced polynomial with $A_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$. If $A_{0} \neq 0$, then $P$ is irreducible.

Proof. Let $f$ be the unique root of $P$ such that $|f|>1$ and assume that $P(Y)$ is reducible; then $P(Y)=P_{1}(Y) P_{2}(Y)$ with $P_{1}, P_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X][Y]$. We suppose that $P_{1}(f)=0$; then from Lemma 2.1, all the roots of $P_{2}$ have absolute values $<1$, so the constant coefficient in $P_{2}$ is equal to 0 , which is absurd because 0 is not a root of $P$.

Lemma 3.3. 10 Let $f=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right]$ and $g=\left[b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots\right]$ be two formal series having the same first $n+1$ partial quotients. Then

$$
|f-g| \leqslant \frac{1}{\left|Q_{n}\right|^{2}}
$$

Lemma 3.4. [4] Let $f$ and $g$ be two algebraic formal power series of degrees $d$ and $m$ respectively. If $g$ is reduced and $f \neq g$, then

$$
|f-g| \geqslant \frac{1}{H(f)^{m}|g|^{d-2}|\sigma(g)|^{\max (m-1, m(d-m+2)-1)}}
$$

Proof. Assume contrary that $f$ is algebraic of degree $d>2$. Let us use the notation: $\lambda_{n}=\left|U_{n}\right|, s_{n}=\left|V_{n}\right|$, for all $n \geqslant 0$ and $\alpha_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\lambda_{i}+s_{i}\right)$, for all $n \geqslant 1$. Let $g_{n}$ denote the continued fraction $\left[P_{n}, P_{n}^{q}, P_{n}^{q^{2}}, P_{n}^{q^{3}}, \ldots\right]$. An easy calculation ensures that $g_{n}$ verifies the following equation

$$
g_{n}^{q+1}-P_{n} g_{n}^{q}-1=0
$$

Hence Lemma 3.2 guarantees that $g_{n}$ is algebraic of degree $q+1$. Let $f_{\alpha_{n}}=$ [ $\left.U_{n} V_{n} U_{n+1} V_{n+1} \ldots\right]$ denote the $\alpha_{n}^{\text {th }}$ complete quotient of $f$. Since $\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is bounded, then for sufficiently large $n, g_{n} \neq f_{\alpha_{n}}$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that $f_{\alpha_{n}}$ is algebraic of degree $d>2$. Therefore, according to Lemma 3.4, we infer that

$$
\left|f_{\alpha_{n}}-g_{n}\right| \geqslant H\left(f_{\alpha_{n}}\right)^{-q-1}\left|g_{n}\right|^{2-d}
$$

Moreover, by using again Lemma 3.1, we can check, for sufficiently large $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\alpha_{n}}-g_{n}\right| \geqslant H(f)^{-q-1}\left|P_{n}\right|^{2-d}\left|\prod_{i=0}^{\alpha_{n}-1} a_{i}\right|^{(d-2)(-q-1)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is the sequence of partial quotients of $f$.
Furthermore, $f_{\alpha_{n}}$ and $g_{n}$ have the same first $\lambda_{n}$ partial quotients, hence Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\alpha_{n}}-g_{n}\right| \leqslant\left|P_{n} P_{n}^{q} P_{n}^{q^{2}} \ldots P_{n}^{q^{\lambda_{n}-1}}\right|^{-2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$
\left|P_{n} P_{n}^{q} P_{n}^{q^{2}} \ldots P_{n}^{q^{\lambda_{n}-1}}\right|^{2} \leqslant H(f)^{q+1}\left|P_{n}\right|^{d-2}\left|\prod_{i=0}^{\alpha_{n}-1} a_{i}\right|^{(d-2)(q+1)}
$$

whence
$2 \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{n}\right)\left(\frac{q^{\lambda_{n}}-1}{q-1}\right) \leqslant(q+1) \log _{q} H(f)+(q+1)(d-2) \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha_{n}-1} \operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right)+(d-2) \operatorname{deg}\left(P_{n}\right)$.
The fact that $\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is bounded yields the inequality

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{\lambda_{n}}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha_{n}-1} \operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right)} \leqslant C, \quad \text { with } C=\left(q^{2}-1\right)(d-2)
$$

Set $h=\sup _{i \geqslant 0}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)$. As $\varphi\left(V_{i}\right) \leqslant \varphi\left(U_{i}\right)$ for all $i \geqslant 0$, we get $\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}\right) \leqslant q^{\lambda_{n-1}-1} h$, for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \alpha_{n}$. Therefore

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{\lambda_{n}}}{q^{\lambda_{n-1}-1} h \alpha_{n}} \leqslant C
$$

Since the sequence $\left(\left|V_{i}\right| /\left|U_{i}\right|\right)_{i \geqslant 0}$ is bounded, there exists $c>0$ such that $s_{i}<c \lambda_{i}$ for all $i \geqslant 0$. Thus, $\alpha_{n}<(c+1) n \lambda_{n-1}$. Hence, we conclude that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-1}}}{n \lambda_{n-1}}<\infty, \quad \text { the desired contradiction. }
$$

We close the paper with the following example.
Example. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$ such that $f=\left[U_{0} V_{0} U_{1} V_{1} \ldots U_{n} V_{n} \ldots\right]$ where $U_{i}=\left[P_{i}, P_{i}^{2}, P_{i}^{4}, \ldots, P_{i}^{2^{\lambda_{i}-1}}\right]$, with $P_{i}=X+i$ and $V_{i}=\left[X, X^{2}, X, X^{2}, \ldots, X, X^{2}\right]$ of length $\lambda_{i}=(i+1)^{2}$, for all $i \geqslant 0$. Then $f$ is transcendental because

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{2 n+1}}{n^{3}}=+\infty
$$

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for many useful comments. We also thank Prof N. Jarboui for his valuable remarks.

## References

1. B. Adamczewski, Y. Bugeaud, On the Maillet-Baker continued fractions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 606 (2007), 105-121.
2. A. Baker, Continued fractions of transcendental numbers, Mathematika 9 (1962), 1-8.
3. L. E. Baum, H. M. Sweet, Continued fractions of algebraic power series in characteristic 2, Ann. Math. 103 (1976), 593-610.
4. M. Hbaib, M. Mkaouar, K. Tounsi, Un critère de transcendance dans le corps des séries formelles $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(X^{-1}\right)\right)$, J. Number Theory. 116 (2006), 140-149.
5. A. Khintchine, Continued Fractions, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tech.-Teor. Lit. Moscow-Leningrad, 2nd edition, 1949, (In Russian).
6. J. Liouville, Sur des classes très étendues de quantités dont la valeur n'est ni algébrique, ni même réductibles à des irrationnelles algébriques, J. Math. Pures Appl. 16 (1851), 133-142.
7. W. H. Mills, D. P. Robbins, Continued fractions for certain algebraic power series, J. Number Theory. 23 (1986), 388-404.
8. M. Mkaouar, Fractions continues et séries formelles algébriques réduites, Port. Math. 58(4) (2001), 439-448.
9. , Transcendance de certaines fractions continues dans le corps des séries formelles, J. Algebra. 281 (2004), 502-507.
10. O. Perron, Die Lehre von den Kettenbruchen, Teubner, Leipzig, 1929.
11. D.P. Robbins, Cubic Laurent series in characteristic 2 with bounded partial quotients, arXiv:math/9903092v1 [math.NT], 1999.
12. W. Schmidt, On simultanous approximations of two algebraic numbers by rationals, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 27-50.

Department of Mathematics


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A55, 11J81.
    Key words and phrases: continued fraction; formal power series; transcendence.
    Communicated by Žarko Mijajlović.

