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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a group and $\pi(G)$ be the set of primes $p$ such that $G$ contains an element of order $p$. Let nse $(G)$ be the set of the numbers of elements of $G$ of the same order. We prove that the simple group $L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)$ is uniquely determined by $\operatorname{nse}\left(L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)\right)$, where $\left|\pi\left(L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)\right)\right|=4$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a group and $\pi(G)$ be the set of primes $p$ such that $G$ contains an element of order $p$ and $\pi_{e}(G)$ be the set of element orders of $G$. If $k \in \pi_{e}(G)$, then we denote by $m_{k}$, the number of elements of order $k$ in $G$. Let nse $(G)=\left\{m_{k} \mid\right.$ $\left.k \in \pi_{e}(G)\right\}$. In 1987, Thompson posed a problem [6, Problem 12.37] related to algebraic number fields as follows:

Thompson Problem. Let $T(G)=\left\{\left(k, m_{k}\right) \mid k \in \pi_{e}(G)\right\}$. Suppose that $T(G)=T(H)$. If $G$ is a finite solvable group, then is $H$ also necessarily solvable?

Up to now, no one has been able to solve this problem completely even to give a counterexample. It is easy to see that if $G$ and $H$ are two finite groups with $T(G)=T(H)$, then $|G|=|H|$ and nse $(G)=$ nse $(H)$. Studies on characterizations related to nse started by Shao et al. in [9]. They proved that if $S$ is a finite simple group with $|\pi(S)|=4$, then $S$ is characterizable by nse $(S)$ and $|S|$, i.e., $S$ is uniquely determined by $\operatorname{nse}(S)$ and $|S|$. Also, in [4], it is proved that sporadic simple group $S$ is characterizable by nse $(S)$ and $|S|$. Moreover, there are some research on the characterization of finite simple groups by nse. For instance, in [3, [5, 8, 10, it is proved that the groups $A_{5}, A_{6}, A_{7}, A_{8}, J_{1}$ and $L_{2}(q)$, where $q \in\{7,8,11,13\}$ can be uniquely determined by nse. It is worth mentioning that considering the characterization of a simple group $S$ by nse $(S)$ is much more complicated than its characterization by nse $(S)$ and $|S|$. Because when $G$ is a group with nse $(G)=$ nse $(S)$, the most challenging part to show that $G \cong S$, is to prove $\pi(G)=\pi(S)$.

[^0]We here consider this characterization motivated by the following problem, which appeared in 3].

Problem. Let $G$ be a group such that $\operatorname{nse}(G)=\operatorname{nse}\left(L_{2}(q)\right)$, where $q$ is a prime power. Is $G$ isomorphic to $L_{2}(q)$ ?

Our main purpose is to show that the problem has an affirmative answer for $q=3^{n}$ and $\left|\pi\left(L_{2}(q)\right)\right|=4$. In fact, we have the following main theorem.

Main Theorem. Let $G$ be a group such that $\operatorname{nse}(G)=\operatorname{nse}\left(L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)\right)$, where $n$, $\left(3^{n}-1\right) / 2$ and $\left(3^{n}+1\right) / 4$ are odd primes. Then $G \cong L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)$.

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

For a natural number $m$, by $\pi(m)$, we mean the set of all prime divisors of $m$, so it is obvious that if $G$ is a finite group, then $\pi(G)=\pi(|G|)$. A Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ is denoted by $G_{p}$ and by $n_{p}(G)$, we mean the number of Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$. Also, the largest element order of $G_{p}$ is denoted by $\exp \left(G_{p}\right)$. Moreover, we denote by $\varphi$, the Euler totient function and by $(a, b)$, the greatest common divisor of integers $a$ and $b$.

In the following, we bring some useful lemmas which will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2.1. [2 Let $G$ be a finite group and $m$ be a positive integer dividing $|G|$. If $L_{m}(G)=\left\{g \in G: g^{m}=1\right\}$, then $m\left|\left|L_{m}(G)\right|\right.$.

Lemma 2.2. 7 Let $G$ be a finite group and $p \in \pi(G)-\{2\}$. Suppose that $P$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $G$ and $n=p^{s} m$, where $(p, m)=1$. If $P$ is not cyclic and $s>1$, then the number of elements of order $n$ is either 0 , or a multiple of $p^{s}$.

Lemma 2.3. 11, Theorem 3] In a group of order $g$, the number of elements whose orders are multiples of $n$ is either zero, or a multiple of the greatest divisor of $g$ that is prime to $n$.

Lemma 2.4. 10 Let $G$ be a group containing more than two elements. Let $k \in \pi_{e}(G)$ and $m_{k}$ be the number of elements of order $k$ in $G$. If $s=\sup \left\{m_{k}: k \in\right.$ $\left.\pi_{e}(G)\right\}$ is finite, then $G$ is finite and $|G| \leqslant s\left(s^{2}-1\right)$.

Lemma 2.5. 1] Let $p$ be a prime number.
(1) If $p \neq 3$, then $y^{2} \equiv-3(\bmod p)$ is solvable if and only if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.
(2) The equation $y^{2} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$ is solvable if and only if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.

From now on, we assume that $n, u=\left(3^{n}-1\right) / 2$ and $t=\left(3^{n}+1\right) / 4$ are odd primes and $x=3^{n}$.

Lemma 2.6. We have
(1) $(u, t)=1,(u, 3)=1,(u, 2)=1,(t, 3)=1,(t, 2)=1$;
(2) $(u-1)=2(t-1),(u-1, t)=1,(t-1, u)=1$;
(3) $4 \mid 1+x u$.

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) are straightforward. To prove part (3), we know that $t-1$ is even and hence, $8 \mid x-3$. Now if $4 \nmid 1+x u$, then $8 \nmid x^{2}-x+2$ and hence $8 \nmid x^{2}-x+2+x-3=(x-1)(x+1)=8 t u$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 2.7. Let $p$ be a prime number which is prime to $2,3, t, u, t-1$.
(1) If $p \mid x^{2}-5 x+8$, then $p \nmid 3 x+1, p \nmid x^{2}+x-4$ and $p \nmid x^{2}-5$.
(2) If $p \mid x^{2}-x-4$, then $p \nmid 3 x+1$ and $p \nmid x^{2}+5 x+8$.
(3) If $16 \mid x-3$, then $16 \nmid x^{2}-x+2$.

Proof. Let $p \mid x^{2}-5 x+8$. If $p \mid 3 x+1$, then $p \mid 9\left(x^{2}-5 x+8\right)+(-3 x+16)(3 x+1)$ $=8.11$ and since $(p, 2)=1$, we conclude that $p=11$. Now since $p \mid(3 x+1)$, we conclude that $3^{n+1} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$. Lemma 2.5 implies $4 \mid(p-1)=10$, which is a contradiction. If $p \mid x^{2}+x-4$, then $p \mid\left(x^{2}-5 x+8\right)+2\left(x^{2}+x-4\right)=6 x u$ and since $(p, 2)=(p, 3)=(p, u)=1$, we get a contradiction. If $p \mid x^{2}-5$, then $p \mid(-5 x-13)\left(x^{2}-5 x+8\right)+(5 x-12)\left(x^{2}-5\right)=-4.11$ and since $(p, 2)=1$, we conclude that $p=11$. Thus $11 \mid x^{2}-5-11=(x-4)(x+4)$ and hence $11 \mid(x+4)$ or $11 \mid(x-4)$. If $11 \mid(x+4)$, then $11 \mid 3 x+1$. Thus $3^{n+1} \equiv-1(\bmod 11)$ and hence Lemma 2.5 implies that $4 \mid(p-1)=10$, which is a contradiction. If $11 \mid(x-4)$, then $11 \mid\left(x^{2}-5 x+8\right)-\left(x^{2}-5\right)+5(x-4)=-7$, which is a contradiction.

Let $p \mid x^{2}-x-4$. If $p \mid 3 x+1$, then $p \mid-3\left(x^{2}-x-4\right)+(x-12)(3 x+1)=-32 x$ and since $(p, 3)=(p, 2)=1$, we get a contradiction. If $p \mid x^{2}+5 x+8$, then $p \mid\left(x^{2}+5 x+8\right)+2\left(x^{2}-x-4\right)=12 x t$ and since $(p, 2)=(p, 3)=(p, t)=1$, we get a contradiction.

If $16 \mid x-3$ and $x^{2}-x+2$, then $16 \mid\left(x^{2}-x+2\right)+(x-3)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)=8 t u$ and since $(u, 2)=(t, 2)=1$, we get a contradiction.

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

According to [9], we know that $\left|L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)\right|=2^{2} 3^{n} t u$ and

$$
\operatorname{nse}\left(L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)\right)=\left\{1,3^{n} u, 8 t u,(t-1) 3^{n} u,(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t\right\}
$$

We prove the main theorem in a sequence of lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. The group $G$ is finite and for every $i \in \pi_{e}(G)$, we have $\varphi(i) \mid m_{i}$ and $i \mid \sum_{d \mid i} m_{d}$. Moreover, if $i>2$, then $m_{i}$ is even.

Proof. According to Lemma [2.4 it is obvious that $G$ is a finite group. Now, if $i \in \pi_{e}(G)$, then Lemma 2.1 implies that $i \mid \sum_{d \mid i} m_{d}$. We know that the number of elements of order $i$ in a cyclic group of order $i$ is equal to $\varphi(i)$. Thus $m_{i}=\varphi(i) k$, where $k$ is the number of cyclic subgroups of order $i$ in $G$ and hence, $\varphi(i) \mid m_{i}$. Also, it is known that if $i>2$, then $\varphi(i)$ is even and since $\varphi(i) \mid m_{i}$, we conclude that $m_{i}$ is even as well.

Lemma 3.2. $2 \in \pi(G)$ and $\exp \left(G_{2}\right) \leqslant 2^{5}$.
Proof. Since $3^{n} u$ is the only odd element of nse $(G)-\{1\}$, Lemma 3.1 yields $2 \in \pi(G)$ and $m_{2}=3^{n} u$. If $\exp \left(G_{2}\right)>2^{5}$, then $2^{6} \in \pi_{e}(G)$ and hence by Lemma 3.1. we have $2^{5}=\varphi\left(2^{6}\right) \mid m_{2^{6}}$. Lemma 2.6 now implies that $8 \mid t-1$. Therefore $32 \mid x-3$ and also according to nse $(G)$, we have 8 divides $m_{4}$ and $m_{8}$.

Thus Lemma 3.1 implies that $8 \mid 1+m_{2}$ and hence, $16 \mid x^{2}-x+2$, which is impossible according to Lemma 2.7. So $\exp \left(G_{2}\right) \leqslant 2^{5}$.

Lemma 3.3. We have $\pi(G) \neq\{2\}$.
Proof. If $\pi(G)=\{2\}$, then $|G|=2^{k}=1+3^{n} u+k_{1} 8 t u+k_{2}(t-1) 3^{n} u+$ $k_{3}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, where $k, k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are natural numbers. By Lemma 3.2, $\exp \left(G_{2}\right) \leqslant 2^{5}$ and hence $\left|\pi_{e}(G)\right| \leqslant 6$. Thus $k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3} \leqslant 4$. If $k_{1}=1$, then $3^{n}| | G \mid$, which is a contradiction. Thus, we have $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=(2,1,1)$, which implies that $u||G|$, a contradiction.

Lemma 3.4. We have $\pi(G) \neq\{2,3\}$.
Proof. Let $\pi(G)=\{2,3\}$. We prove the lemma in the following four steps.
Step 1. In this step, we prove that 3 is the only element of $\pi_{e}(G)$ such that $m_{3}=8 t u$ and hence $|G|=1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are natural numbers and $k_{1}+k_{2} \leqslant\left|\pi_{e}(G)\right|-3$.

According to nse $(G)$, there exists $i \in \pi_{e}(G)$ such that $m_{i}=8 t u$. Since $i \in \pi_{e}(G)$ and $\pi(G)=\{2,3\}$, we have $i=2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta \geqslant 0$ and the case $\alpha=\beta=0$ does not happen. By Lemma 3.1, it is obvious that if $i=3$, then $m_{3}=8 t u$. Now we are going to reach a contradiction for the other cases of $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Case 1. Let $\alpha \geqslant 1$ and $\beta=0$. Thus $m_{i}=m_{2^{\alpha}}=8$ tu. If $2^{\alpha} 3 \notin \pi_{e}(G)$, then $G_{3}$ acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order $2^{\alpha}$ by conjugation and hence, $\left|G_{3}\right| \mid m_{2^{\alpha}}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $2^{\alpha} 3 \in \pi_{e}(G)$ and according to Lemma 3.1 we conclude that $2^{\alpha} 3 \mid \sum_{d \mid 2^{\alpha} 3} m_{d}$ and $2^{\alpha-1} 3 \mid \sum_{d \mid 2^{\alpha-1} 3} m_{d}$. Therefore, $3 \mid m_{2^{\alpha}}+m_{2^{\alpha} 3}$. Since $3 \nmid m_{2^{\alpha}}$, we have $3 \nmid m_{2^{\alpha} 3}$ and hence $m_{2^{\alpha} 3}=m_{2^{\alpha}}=8 t u$ which implies that $3 \mid m_{2^{\alpha}}+m_{2^{\alpha} 3}=16 t u$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $\alpha \geqslant 1$ and $\beta=1$. Thus $m_{i}=m_{2^{\alpha} 3}=8 t u$ and Lemma 3.1 implies that $2^{\alpha} 3 \mid \sum_{d \mid 2^{\alpha} 3} m_{d}$ and $2^{\alpha-1} 3 \mid \sum_{d \mid 2^{\alpha-1} 3} m_{d}$. Thus $3 \mid m_{2^{\alpha}}+m_{2^{\alpha} 3}$. According to Case 1, we know that $m_{2^{\alpha}} \neq 8 t u$ and hence, $3 \mid m_{2^{\alpha}}$. Thus $3 \mid m_{2^{\alpha} 3}$, a contradiction.

Case 3. Let $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and $\beta \geqslant 2$. If $G_{3}$ is cyclic of order $3^{k}$, then $n_{3}(G)=$ $\frac{m_{3^{k}}}{\varphi\left(3^{k}\right)}=\frac{m_{3^{k}}}{2.3^{k-1}}$. Thus according to nse $(G)$, we have $u \mid n_{3}(G)$ or $t \mid n_{3}(G)$ and since $n_{3}(G)| | G \mid$, we can get a contradiction. So $G_{3}$ is not cyclic and Lemma 2.2 now yields $9 \mid m_{2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta}}$, which is a contradiction.

Step 2. In this step, we prove that $\left|G_{3}\right|=3^{n}$ and $\exp \left(G_{3}\right)=3$.
According to step 1, we have

$$
|G|=\left|G_{2}\right|\left|G_{3}\right|=1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t
$$

Since

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3^{n} \mid 1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t, \\
3^{n+1} \nmid 1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t,
\end{array}
$$

we conclude that $\left|G_{3}\right|=3^{n}$. Let $\exp \left(G_{3}\right)=3^{k}$, where $k \geqslant 2$. Then by Step 1 , for every $i \geqslant 0, m_{2^{i} 3^{k}} \neq 8 t u$. Lemma 2.3 now implies

$$
\left|G_{2}\right| \mid \sum_{i \geqslant 0} m_{2^{i} 3^{k}}=k_{1}^{\prime}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}^{\prime}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t
$$

where $k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ are integers and since $3^{k} \in \pi_{e}(G)$, we have $k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 1$. Thus $\left|G_{2}\right| \mid k_{1}^{\prime}(t-1) u+k_{2}^{\prime}(u-1) 2 t$. On the other hand, $|G|=\left|G_{2}\right|\left|G_{3}\right|=\left|G_{2}\right| 3^{n}=$ $1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are natural numbers and $k_{1}^{\prime} \leqslant k_{1}, k_{2}^{\prime} \leqslant k_{2}$. Thus $\left|G_{2}\right|=u+3^{n}+k_{1}(t-1) u+k_{2}(u-1) 2 t$. Therefore $u+3^{n}+k_{1}(t-1) u+k_{2}(u-1) 2 t \leqslant k_{1}^{\prime}(t-1) u+k_{2}^{\prime}(u-1) 2 t$, which is a contradiction because $k_{1}^{\prime} \leqslant k_{1}, k_{2}^{\prime} \leqslant k_{2}$. So $\exp \left(G_{3}\right)=3$.

Step 3. In this step, we prove that $6 \in \pi_{e}(G)$.
Let $6 \notin \pi_{e}(G)$. Then $G_{2}$ acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 3 by conjugation and hence, $\left|G_{2}\right| \mid 8$ and since $|\operatorname{nse}(G)|=5$ and $\exp \left(G_{3}\right)=3$, we conclude that $8 \in \pi_{e}(G)$. Thus $G_{2}$ is cyclic and $n_{2}(G)=m_{8} / 4$. Now according to nse $(G)$, we conclude that $t$ or $u$ divides $n_{2}(G)$ and since $n_{2}(G)| | G \mid$, we can get a contradiction.

Step 4. In this step, we prove that $\pi(G) \neq\{2,3\}$.
By steps 1 and 2, we have $\left|G_{3}\right|=3^{n}$ and $|G|=\left|G_{2}\right|\left|G_{3}\right|=1+3^{n} u+8 t u+$ $k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are natural numbers. Thus $\left|G_{2}\right|=$ $u+3^{n}+k_{1}(t-1) u+k_{2}(u-1) 2 t=1 / 8 p(x)$, where $p(x)=A x^{2}+B x+C, A=k_{1}+2 k_{2}$, $B=12-4 k_{1}-4 k_{2}$ and $C=-4+3 k_{1}-6 k_{2}$. By Lemma 3.2 and Step $2, \exp \left(G_{2}\right) \leqslant 2^{5}$ and $\exp \left(G_{3}\right)=3$. Thus $\left|\pi_{e}(G)\right| \leqslant 12$, which implies that $k_{1}+k_{2} \leqslant 9$. According to Lemma 2.3 we know that $\left|G_{2}\right| \mid \sum_{i \geqslant 0} m_{2^{i} 3}=8 t u+k_{1}^{\prime}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}^{\prime}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, where $k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ are integers. Thus $\left|G_{2}\right| \mid 1 / 8 q(x)$, where $q(x)=A^{\prime} x^{3}+B^{\prime} x^{2}+$ $C^{\prime} x+D^{\prime}, A^{\prime}=k_{1}^{\prime}+2 k_{2}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}=8-4 k_{1}^{\prime}-4 k_{2}^{\prime}, C^{\prime}=3 k_{1}^{\prime}-6 k_{2}^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime}=-8$. Now by step 3 , we can conclude that $k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime} \geqslant 1$ and since $\exp \left(G_{2}\right) \leqslant 2^{5}$, we have $5 \geqslant k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime}$. It is obvious that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x) \mid & \left(A A^{\prime} x+A B^{\prime}-A^{\prime} B\right) p(x)-A^{2} q(x) \\
& =\left(A B^{\prime} B-A^{\prime} B^{2}-A^{2} C^{\prime}+A A^{\prime} C\right) x+\left(A B^{\prime} C-A^{\prime} B C-A^{2} D^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
A x^{2}+B x+C \leqslant\left(A B^{\prime} B-A^{\prime} B^{2}-A^{2} C^{\prime}+A A^{\prime} C\right) x+\left(A B^{\prime} C-A^{\prime} B C-A^{2} D^{\prime}\right)
$$

which implies that
$A x^{2}+\left(B-A B^{\prime} B+A^{\prime} B^{2}+A^{2} C^{\prime}-A A^{\prime} C\right) x+\left(C-A B^{\prime} C+A^{\prime} B C+A^{2} D^{\prime}\right) \leqslant 0$.
But according to $k_{1}+k_{2} \leqslant 9$ and $1 \leqslant k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime} \leqslant 5$, we conclude that $x<201$. Thus $m=3$ and $k_{1}+k_{2}=8$ and $\left|G_{2}\right|=2^{10}$. Since $\exp \left(G_{2}\right) \leqslant 2^{5}$ and $\exp \left(G_{3}\right)=3$, we conclude that $\exp \left(G_{2}\right)=2^{5}$. Hence Lemma 3.1]implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{10} & =\left|G_{2}\right| \mid 1+m_{2}+m_{4}+m_{8}+m_{16}+m_{32} \\
& =1+3^{n} u+k_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}^{\prime \prime}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t=1+351+k_{1}^{\prime \prime} 2106+k_{2}^{\prime \prime} 4536
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{1}^{\prime \prime}, k_{2}^{\prime \prime} \geqslant 0$ are integers and $k_{1}^{\prime \prime}+k_{2}^{\prime \prime}=4$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 3.5. We have $\pi(G) \subseteq\{2,3, t, u\}$.
Proof. Let $p \in \pi(G)-\{2,3, t, u\}$. We prove the lemma in the following six steps.

Step 1. In this step, we prove that $m_{p} \neq 8 t u$ and hence $(p, t-1)=1$.
Let $m_{p}=8$ tu. Then $p \mid\left(1+m_{p}\right)=3^{2 n}$, which is a contradiction. So $m_{p} \neq 8 t u$ and hence $m_{p} \in\left\{(t-1) 3^{n} u,(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t\right\}$. Since $\left(p, m_{p}\right)=1$, we conclude that $(p, t-1)=1$.

Step 2. In this step, we prove that $\exp \left(G_{p}\right)=p$.
Let $\exp \left(G_{p}\right)>p$. Then $p^{2} \in \pi_{e}(G)$. Since $p(p-1)=\varphi\left(p^{2}\right) \mid m_{p^{2}}$, we conclude that $p$ divides one of the numbers $2,3, t, u$ or $t-1$, which is a contradiction. So $\exp \left(G_{p}\right)=p$.

Step 3. In this step, we prove that if $q \in \pi_{e}(G)$ and $(p, q)=1$, then $q p \in \pi_{e}(G)$ and $p \mid m_{q}+m_{q p}$.

Let $q \in \pi_{e}(G)$ which is prime to $p$. If $q p \notin \pi_{e}(G)$, then $G_{p}$ acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order $q$ by conjugation and hence $\left|G_{p}\right| \mid m_{q}$, which implies that $p$ divides one of the numbers $2,3, t, u$ or $(t-1)$, which is a contradiction. So $q p \in \pi_{e}(G)$. Let $q=q_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots q_{k}^{s_{k}}$, where $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}$ are distinct prime numbers and $k, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}$ are natural numbers. We prove $p \mid m_{q}+m_{q p}$ by induction on $s=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{k}$. Let $s=1$. Then we have $p \mid 1+m_{p}+m_{q_{i}}+m_{q_{i} p}$ and since $p \mid 1+m_{p}$, $p \mid m_{q_{i}}+m_{q_{i} p}$. Let $s=2$. Then there exist $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant k$ such that $q=q_{i} q_{j}$ or $q=q_{i}^{2}$. If $q=q_{i} q_{j}$, then we have $p \mid 1+m_{p}+m_{q_{i}}+m_{q_{j}}+m_{q_{i} p}+m_{q_{j} p}+m_{q_{i} q_{j}}+m_{q_{i} q_{j} p}$ and since $p \mid 1+m_{p}, m_{q_{i}}+m_{q_{i} p}, m_{q_{j}}+m_{q_{j} p}$, we conclude that $p \mid m_{q_{i} q_{j}}+m_{q_{i} q_{j} p}$, as desired. The case $q=q_{i}^{2}$ is similar and we omit the details for the sake of convenience. Now, assume the statement is true for the values less than $s$. We have

$$
p \mid \sum_{d \mid q p} m_{d}=\sum_{\substack{d \mid q p \\ d \neq q, q p}} m_{d}+m_{q}+m_{q p} .
$$

Moreover, according to the induction hypothesis, $p \mid \sum_{d \mid q p, d \neq q, q p} m_{d}$. Therefore, $p \mid m_{q}+m_{q p}$.

Step 4. $m_{p} \neq(t-1) 3^{n} u$.
Let $m_{p}=(t-1) 3^{n} u$. Then $p \mid 1+m_{p}$ and hence $p \mid x^{2}-5 x+8$. On the other hand, by nse $(G)$, there is $q \in \pi_{e}(G)$ such that $(q, p)=1$ and $m_{q}$ or $m_{q p}=(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$. Thus by step $3, p \mid m_{q}+m_{q p}$. Now, there are four cases:

Case 1. If $\left\{m_{q}, m_{q p}\right\}=\left\{(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t, 3^{n} u\right\}$, then $p \mid x^{2}-5$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.7(1).

Case 2. If $\left\{m_{q}, m_{q p}\right\}=\left\{(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t, 8 t u\right\}$, then $p \mid x^{2}+x-4$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.7(1).

Case 3. If $\left\{m_{q}, m_{q p}\right\}=\left\{(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t,(t-1) 3^{n} u\right\}$, then $p \mid 3 x+1$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.7(1).

Case 4. If $\left\{m_{q}, m_{q p}\right\}=\left\{(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t\right\}$, then $p \mid(u-1) 3^{n} 4 t$, which is a contradiction according to Step 1 and Lemma 2.6(2).

Step 5. $m_{p} \neq(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$.

Let $m_{p}=(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$. Then $p \mid 1+m_{p}$ and hence $p \mid x^{2}-x-4$. On the other hand, by nse $(G)$, there is $q \in \pi_{e}(G)$ such that $(q, p)=1$ and $m_{q}$ or $m_{q p}=(t-1) 3^{n} u$. Thus by Step $3, p \mid m_{q}+m_{q p}$. Now there are four cases:

Case 1. If $\left\{m_{q}, m_{q p}\right\}=\left\{(t-1) 3^{n} u, 3^{n} u\right\}$, then $p \mid 3^{n} t u$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. If $\left\{m_{q}, m_{q p}\right\}=\left\{(t-1) 3^{n} u, 8 t u\right\}$, then $p \mid x^{2}+5 x+8$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.7(2).

Case 3. If $\left\{m_{q}, m_{q p}\right\}=\left\{(t-1) 3^{n} u\right\}$, then $p \mid 2(t-1) 3^{n} u$, which is a contradiction according to Step 1.

Case 4. If $\left\{m_{q}, m_{q p}\right\}=\left\{(t-1) 3^{n} u,(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t\right\}$, then $p \mid 3 x+1$, which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.7(2).

Step 6. $p \notin \pi(G)$. According to steps 1,4 and $5, m_{p} \notin \operatorname{nse}(G)$ and hence, $p \notin \pi(G)$.

Lemma 3.6. If $t \in \pi(G)$, then $u \in \pi(G)$.
Proof. If $t \in \pi(G)$, then according to Lemma 3.1] we have $m_{t}$ is even and $\left(m_{t}, t\right)=1$ and hence, according to nse $(G)$, it is obvious that $m_{t}=(t-1) 3^{n} u$. Now we claim that $t^{2} \notin \pi_{e}(G)$. Suppose, contraty to our claim, that $t^{2} \in \pi_{e}(G)$. Lemma3.1]implies that $t(t-1)=\varphi\left(t^{2}\right) \mid m_{t^{2}}$ and hence according to Lemma 2.6, we have $m_{t^{2}}=(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$. On the other hand, Lemma3.1implies that $t^{2} \mid 1+m_{t}+m_{t^{2}}$ and hence $(x+1)^{2} \mid(x+1)^{2}(6 x-28)+44(x+1)$. Thus $(x+1) \mid 44$. So we conclude that $t=11$, which is a contradiction because $4 t=3^{n}+1$. Therefore, $t^{2} \notin \pi_{e}(G)$. Now we are going to show that $\left|G_{t}\right|=t$. Since $2 \in \pi_{e}(G)$, we can assume that $q$ is the largest element of $\pi_{e}(G)$ satisfies $(q, t)=1$. Thus $\{q\} \subseteq\left\{s \in \pi_{e}(G)\right.$ : $s$ is multiple of $q\} \subseteq\{q, q t\}$. Now Lemma 2.3 implies that $\left|G_{t}\right| \mid m_{q}$ or $m_{q}+m_{q t}$. Hence by $\operatorname{nse}(G)$ and Lemma 2.6, we conclude that $\left|G_{t}\right|=t$. So $n_{t}(G)=\frac{m_{t}}{\varphi(t)}=3^{n} u$ and since $n_{t}(G)| | G \mid$, we have $u \in \pi(G)$.

Lemma 3.7. We have $\pi(G)=\{2,3, t, u\}$.
Proof. We first show that $u^{2} \notin \pi_{e}(G)$. If $u^{2} \in \pi_{e}(G)$, then by Lemma 3.1, $u(u-1)=\varphi\left(u^{2}\right) \mid m_{u^{2}}$. But according to Lemma 2.6 and nse $(G)$, we can easily see that there is no choice for $m_{u^{2}}$. Therefore $u^{2} \notin \pi_{e}(G)$.

If $u \in \pi(G)$, then according to nse $(G)$ and Lemma 3.1 we can easily conclude that $m_{u}=(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$. Since $u^{2} \notin \pi_{e}(G)$, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\left|G_{u}\right| \mid 1+m_{u}$. If $u^{2} \mid 1+m_{u}$, then $(x-1)^{2} \mid(x-1)^{2} x-4(x-1)$ and hence $(x-1) \mid 4$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\left|G_{u}\right|=u$ and we have $n_{u}(G)=\frac{m_{u}}{\varphi(u)}=3^{n} 2 t$. Now according to Lemmas 3.2•3.6, we have $u \in \pi(G)$ and since $n_{u}(G)=3^{n} 2 t$ and $n_{u}(G)| | G \mid$, we conclude that $\{2,3, t, u\} \subseteq \pi(G)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.5, there is no $p \in \pi(G)$ such that $p \neq 2,3, t, u$. Therefore, $\pi(G)=\{2,3, t, u\}$.

Lemma 3.8. We have $2 u, 3 u, t u, 3 t, 4 t \notin \pi_{e}(G)$ but $2 t \in \pi_{e}(G)$ and $m_{2 t}=m_{t}$. Moreover if $6 \in \pi_{e}(G)$, then $m_{6} \neq 8 t u$

Proof. If $2 u \in \pi_{e}(G)$, then $m_{2 u}=\varphi(2 u) n_{u}(G) k$, where $k$ is the number of cyclic subgroups of order 2 in $C_{G}\left(G_{u}\right)$. Actually, this follows from the fact that all
centralizers of Sylow $u$-subgroups of $G$ in $G$ are conjugate in $G$. Therefore we have $(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t \mid m_{2 u}$. Thus $m_{2 u}=m_{u}$. On the other hand, $2 u \mid 1+m_{2}+m_{u}+m_{2 u}$ and $u \mid 1+m_{2}+m_{u}$ which implies that $u \mid m_{2 u}$, a contradiction. Similarly, we can prove that $3 u, t u, 3 t, 4 t \notin \pi_{e}(G)$. If $2 t \notin \pi_{e}(G)$, then $G_{t}$ acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2 by conjugation and hence, $\left|G_{t}\right| \mid m_{2}$, which is a contradiction. So $2 t \in \pi_{e}(G)$. Similarly, we can prove that $m_{2 t}=m_{t}$. Let $6 \in \pi_{e}(G)$ such that $m_{6}=8 t u$. Since $3 \mid 1+m_{2}+m_{3}$ and $6 \mid 1+m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{6}$, we conclude that $3 \mid m_{6}$, which is a contradiction.

## Lemma 3.9. We have $\exp \left(G_{3}\right)=3$.

Proof. If $\exp \left(G_{3}\right)>3$, then $9 \in \pi_{e}(G)$. Since $3 t, 3 u \notin \pi_{e}(G)$, we conclude that $9 t, 9 u \notin \pi_{e}(G)$. Thus $G_{t}$ and $G_{u}$ act fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 9 by conjugation and hence, $\left|G_{t}\right| \mid m_{9}$ and $\left|G_{u}\right| \mid m_{9}$. So we have $t u \mid m_{9}$, which implies that $m_{9}=8 t u$, a contradiction, because $6=\varphi(9) \mid m_{9}$.

Lemma 3.10. We have $\exp \left(G_{2}\right)=2$.
Proof. If $\exp \left(G_{2}\right)>2$, then $4 \in \pi_{e}(G)$. Thus Lemma 3.8 implies that $4 t, 4 u \notin$ $\pi_{e}(G)$. Thus $G_{t}$ and $G_{u}$ act fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 4 by conjugation and hence, $\left|G_{t}\right| \mid m_{4}$ and $\left|G_{u}\right| \mid m_{4}$. So $t u \mid m_{4}$, which implies that $m_{4}=8 t u$. On the other hand, $12 \in \pi_{e}(G)$ because otherwise $G_{3}$ acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 4 by conjugation and hence, $3 \mid m_{4}$, a contradiction. So $12 \in \pi_{e}(G)$. Now since $6 \mid 1+m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{6}$ and $12 \mid 1+m_{2}+$ $m_{3}+m_{4}+m_{6}+m_{12}$, we conclude that $3 \mid m_{4}+m_{12}$. Thus $3 \nmid m_{12}$, which implies that $m_{12}=8 t u$. But we have $3 \mid m_{4}+m_{12}=16 t u$, a contradiction.

Lemma 3.11. We have $|G|=1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are natural and $3 \leqslant k_{1}+k_{2} \leqslant 4$.

Proof. According to Lemmas 3.8] 3.10, we have $\{1,2,3, t, 2 t, u\} \subseteq \pi_{e}(G) \subseteq$ $\{1,2,3,6, t, 2 t, u\}$ and $m_{1}=1, m_{2}=3^{n} u, m_{3}=8 t u, m_{t}=m_{2 t}=(t-1) 3^{n} u$, $m_{u}=(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$ and $m_{6} \in\left\{(t-1) 3^{n} u,(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t\right\}$. So we conclude that $|G|=1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are natural numbers and $3 \leqslant k_{1}+k_{2} \leqslant 4$.

Lemma 3.12. We have $\left|G_{3}\right|=3^{n}$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.11, $|G|=1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are natural. Now since $3^{n} \mid 1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$ and $3^{n+1} \nmid 1+3^{n} u+8 t u+k_{1}(t-1) 3^{n} u+k_{2}(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$, we conclude that $\left|G_{3}\right|=3^{n}$.

Lemma 3.13. We have $\left|G_{2}\right|=4$.
Proof. If $\left|G_{2}\right|=2$, then $G_{2}$ is cyclic and $n_{2}(G)=3^{n} u$. Since $\left|G_{3}\right|=3^{n}$ and $n_{2}(G)=\left|G: N_{G}\left(G_{2}\right)\right|$, we conclude that $3 \nmid\left|N_{G}\left(G_{2}\right)\right|$. Thus $6 \notin \pi_{e}(G)$ and by Lemma 3.11, we have $|G|=1+3^{n} u+8 t u+2(t-1) 3^{n} u+(u-1) 3^{n} 2 t$. Lemma 2.6 now yields $4||G|$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $| G_{2} \mid \geqslant 4$. If $\left|G_{2}\right| \geqslant 8$, then by Lemmas 2.1 and 3.10, $8 \mid 1+m_{2}$. Thus $16 \mid x^{2}-x+2$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.8, $t, 2 t$ are only elements of $\pi_{e}(G)$ which are multiple, of $t$ and $m_{t}=m_{2 t}$.

Thus Lemma 2.3 implies that $\left|G_{2}\right|\left|G_{3}\right|\left|G_{u}\right| \mid m_{t}+m_{2 t}=2(t-1) 3^{n} u$. Therefore $4 \mid(t-1)$. So $16 \mid(x-3)$, which is a contradiction according to Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 3.14. We have $G \cong L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)$.
Proof. Since $\left|G_{u}\right|=u,\left|G_{t}\right|=t,\left|G_{3}\right|=3^{n}$ and $\left|G_{2}\right|=4$, we conclude that $|G|=\left|L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)\right|=2^{2} 3^{n} t u$. Now according to $\mathbf{9}$ we have $G \cong L_{2}\left(3^{n}\right)$.
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