

THE WEAK AND STRONG CONVERGENCE IN METRIZABLE SPACES OVER VALUED FIELDS

JERZY KAKOL

Abstract: It is proved that a metrizable tvs E over a spherically complete non-archimedean non-trivially valued field is non-archimedean iff every weak null-sequence in E is a null-sequence.

Throughout this paper $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{K}, | \cdot |)$ denotes a spherically complete non-archimedean non-trivially complete valued field. A topological vector space (tvs) (E, τ) over \mathbf{K} is said to be *locally convex* (or F -convex as in [6]) if τ has a basis of *absolutely convex* neighbourhoods of zero, where a subset A of E is called *absolutely convex* (in the sense of Monna [5]) if $ax + by \in A$ provided $x, y \in A$, $a, b \in \mathbf{K}$, $|a| \leq 1$, $|b| \leq 1$. Normed (F -normed) spaces E are defined in a natural way; a norm (F -norm) $\| \cdot \|$ on E is called *non-archimedean* (n.a.) if $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$, $x, y \in E$. A normed (F -normed) space E is called *non-archimedean* (n.a.) if the original topology of E can be defined by a n.a. norm (n.a. F -norm). Clearly every n.a. normed (F -normed) space is locally convex; by Proposition III.4 of [1] every normed space which as a tvs is locally convex must be n.a.; similarly one proves the same for F -normed spaces.

In [5], p. 74, Monna discussed relations between the convergence and the weak convergence of sequences in some normed spaces. It is known that every weakly convergent sequence in a locally convex space (E, τ) over a spherically complete field \mathbf{K} is τ -convergent; this follows directly from Proposition 4.3 of [2] and Theorem 4.12 of [6]. In [4], Theorem 3, Martinez-Maurica and Perez-Garcia improved some results of Monna by showing that a *normed space E over a spherically complete field \mathbf{K} is n.a. iff every weakly convergent sequence in E is convergent*. The assumption concerning the spherical completeness (for the definition see [5]) can not be removed, cf. [7], Remark, p. 200.

In this note we extend last result by showing the following

Theorem. *Let (E, τ) be a metrizable tvs over a spherically complete field \mathbf{K} . The following assertions are equivalent :*

- (i) (E, τ) is locally convex;
- (ii) (E, τ) is a non-archimedean F -normed space;
- (iii) Every weak null-sequence in E is a null-sequence.

Proof: We have only to show the equivalence between (ii) and (iii). Let E^* be the topological dual of (E, τ) . Assume that every weak null-sequence in E , i.e. in the weak topology $\sigma(E, E^*)$, is a null-sequence in τ . Then (as easily seen) E^* separates points of E , so $\sigma(E, E^*)$ is Hausdorff. Let (U_n) be a countable basis of τ -neighbourhoods of zero in E such that $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ set

$$\Gamma(U_n) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_k : x_k \in U_n, |a_k| \leq 1, m \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

(the convex hull in the sense of Monna [5]). Then the sets $\Gamma(U_n)$ form a fundamental system of absolutely convex neighbourhoods of zero for the strongest locally convex topology $\gamma(\tau)$ on E weaker than τ . Since \mathbf{K} is non-archimedean the topologies $\gamma(\tau)$ and τ have the same topological dual. Hence $\sigma(E, E^*) \leq \gamma(\tau) \leq \tau$. By Theorem 3.45 of [6] $\gamma(\tau)$ is metrizable; in fact there is a n.a. F -norm generating $\gamma(\tau)$. By our assumption the both topologies have the same convergent sequences; hence $\gamma(\tau) = \tau$. We proved that (E, τ) is a n.a. F -normed space. For the converse it is enough to apply Proposition 4.3 of [2] and Theorem 4.12 of [6]. ■

We conclude this note by showing that the metrizability assumption in our Theorem can not be removed; our example uses some ideas of [3].

Example: Let (E, τ) be an infinite dimensional n.a. Banach space having a regular Schauder basis (y_n) (with coefficient functionals (y_n^*)). Let (a_n) be a sequence in \mathbf{K} of non-zero elements such that $\sum |a_n| < \infty$. Since $y_n^*(x) \rightarrow 0$, $x \in E$, then the norm $p(x) = \sum |a_n y_n^*(x)|$ is well-defined on E . Define $U_\varepsilon = \{x \in E : p(x) \leq 1\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Observe that the topology \mathcal{V} generated by p is non-locally convex. In fact, if \mathcal{V} is locally convex, then $\Gamma(U_\varepsilon)$ is contained in U_1 for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\lambda \in \mathbf{K}$ with $|\lambda| < \varepsilon$ and put $z_n = \lambda a_n^{-1} y_n$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $z_n \in U_\varepsilon$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, so

$$w_n = \lambda a_1^{-1} y_1 + \lambda a_2^{-1} y_2 + \cdots + \lambda a_n^{-1} y_n \in \Gamma(U_\varepsilon) + \Gamma(U_\varepsilon) + \cdots + \Gamma(U_\varepsilon) \subset \Gamma(U_\varepsilon)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. On the other hand $p(w_n) = |\lambda|n$, a contradiction. Now consider the supremum topology $\xi = \sup\{\sigma(E, E^*), \mathcal{V}\}$, i.e. the weakest vector topology on E which is finer than $\sigma(E, E^*)$ and \mathcal{V} . Clearly $\sigma(E, E^*) \leq \xi \leq \tau$. The same argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 of [3] (which works also in our case) can be used to show that (E, ξ) is non-locally convex. Hence ξ is non-metrizable (otherwise $\xi = \tau$). Nevertheless, (by Theorem 3 of [4]) every $\sigma(E, E^*)$ -convergent sequence is ξ -convergent.

REFERENCES

- [1] BASTERO, J. – *Convexidad y acotación en espacios vectoriales topológicos sobre cuerpos cuasivalorados*, Thesis, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain, 1975.
- [2] DE GRANDE-DE KIMPE, N. and PEREZ-GARCIA, C. – Weakly closed subspaces and the Hahn–Banach extension property in p -adic analysis, *Proc. Koninklijke Nederlanse Akademie van Wetenschappen* (1988), 253–261.
- [3] GREGORY, D.A. and SHAPIRO, J.H. – Nonconvex linear topologies with the Hahn–Banach extension property, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25 (1970) 902–905.
- [4] MARTINEZ-MAURICA, J. and PEREZ-GARCIA, C. – The Hahn–Banach extension property in a class of normed spaces, *Quaest. Math.*, 8 (1986), 335–341.
- [5] MONNA, A.F. – *Analyse non-archimédienne*, Springer Verlag, 1970.
- [6] PROLLA, J.B. – *Topics in Functional Analysis over valued division ring*, North-Holland, 89, 1982.
- [7] SCHIKHOF, W.H. – Locally convex spaces over non-spherically complete valued fields I, II, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique*, 38 (1986), 187–224.

Jerzy Kakol,
Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University,
Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznan – POLAND