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#### Abstract

In this paper, a Hopfield neural network with neutral time-varying delays is investigated by using the continuation theorem of Mawhin's coincidence degree theory and some analysis technique. Without assuming the continuous differentiability of time-varying delays, sufficient conditions for the existence of the periodic solutions are given. The result of this paper is new and extends previous known result.


## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the cellular neural networks have been extensively studied and applied in many different fields such as signal and image processing, pattern recognition and optimization. In implementation of networks, time delays are inevitably encountered because of the finite switching speed of amplifiers. Thus, it is very important to investigate the dynamics of delay neural networks. From the view of theory and application, a number of the existence of periodic solutions of neural networks model can be found in the papers $[1,2,3,4,5,6]$.

Due to the complicated dynamic properties of the neural cells in the real world, the existing neural network models in many cases cannot characterize the properties of the neural reaction process precisely. It is natural and important that systems will contain some information about the derivative of the past state to further describe and model the dynamics for such complex neural reactions. Therefore, it is important and, in effect, necessary to introduce a new type of networks - neural networks of neutral-type. Such networks arise in high speed computers where nearly lossless transmission lines are used to interconnect switching circuits. Also, the neutral systems often appear in the study of automatic control, population dynamics,

[^0]and vibrating masses attached to an elastic bar. Recently, the study of the neural networks with neutral delays has received much attention, see, for instance, Refs, [ $7,8,9,10$ ] and the references cited therein.

Recently, Gui, Ge and Yang [11] have investigated the following Hopfield networks model with neutral delays

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=- b_{i} x_{i}(t)+  \tag{1.1}\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} g_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{i j}(t)\right)\right) \\
&+J_{i}(t), i=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

By means of an abstract continuous theorem of $k$-set contractive operator and some analysis technique, the existence of periodic solution of system (1) is obtained. But the condition that the time-varying delays $\tau_{i j}(t)$ and $\sigma_{i j}(t)$ are continuously differentiable is required. Furthermore, the criterion for the existence of periodic solutions of Hopfield neural networks model in [11] depends on the $\tau_{i j}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{i j}^{\prime}$.

In this paper, we consider the Hopfield neural networks with neutral time-varying delays
$x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=-c_{i}(t) x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t) f_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}(t) g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{i j}(t)\right)\right)+I_{i}(t)$,
where $i=1,2, \ldots, n, x_{i}(t)$ denotes the potential (or voltage) of cell $i$ at time $t$; $c_{i}(t)>0$ denotes the neuron firing rate of cell $i$ at time $t ; a_{i j}(t)$ and $b_{i j}(t)$ represent the delayed strengths of connectivity and neutral delayed strengths of connectivity between cell $i$ and $j$ at time $t$, respectively; $f_{j}$ and $g_{j}$ are the activation functions in system (1.1); $I_{i}(t)$ is an external input on the $i$ th unit at time $t$, in which $I_{i}: R \rightarrow R$, $i=1, \ldots, n$, are continuous periodic functions with period $\omega ; \tau_{i j}(t)$ and $\sigma_{i j}(t) \geq 0$ are the transmission delays.

By using the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory and some analysis technique, we obtain some new sufficient conditions for the existence of the periodic solutions of system (1.2). The conditions imposed on the time-varying delays $\tau_{i j}(t)$ and $\sigma_{i j}(t)$ do not need the assumptions of continuously differentiable. Our work in this paper is new and an extension of previous result in [11].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the basic notations, assumptions and some preliminaries are given. In Section 3, we present some new criteria to guarantee the existence of the periodic solutions of system (1.2). In Section 4, an illustrative example is given to demonstrate the effectiveness of the obtained results. Conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we state some notations, definitions and some Lemmas.
Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ be a real $n \times n$ matrix. $A>0(A \geq 0)$ denotes each element $a_{i j}$ is positive (nonnegative, respectively). Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} \in R^{n}$ be a vector. $x>0(x \geq 0)$ denotes each element $x_{i}$ is positive (nonnegative, respectively). For matrices or vectors $A$ and $B, A \geq B(A>B)$ means that $A-B \geq 0(A-B>0)$.

Definition 1. [12]. Matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ is said to be a nonsingular $M$-matrix, if
(i) $a_{i i}>0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$;
(ii) $a_{i j} \leq 0$, for $i \neq j, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$;
(iii) $A^{-1} \geq 0$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed vector spaces, $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear mapping. $L$ will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\operatorname{dimKer} L=\operatorname{codimIm} L<+\infty$ and $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $Y$. If $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, there exist continuous projectors $P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{Ker} L, \operatorname{Ker} Q=$ $\operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$. It follows that mapping $\left.L\right|_{\operatorname{dom} L \cap \mathrm{Ker} P}:(I-P) X \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} L$ is invertible. We denote the inverse of the mapping by $K_{P}$. If $\Omega$ is an open bounded subset of $X$, the mapping $N$ will be called $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$ if $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_{P}(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact. Since $\operatorname{Im} Q$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ker} L$, there exists an isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$.

Now, we introduce Mawhin's continuation theorem ([13], p.40) as follows.
Lemma 2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Banach spaces, $L: \operatorname{dom} L \rightarrow Y$ be a Fredholm operator with index zero. Assume that $\Omega$ is a open bounded set in $X$, and $N$ is $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. If all the following conditions hold:
(a) for each $\lambda \in(0,1), x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Dom} L, L x \neq \lambda N x$;
(b) $Q N x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$, and $\operatorname{deg}(J N Q, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0) \neq 0$,
where $J$ is an isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$. Then equation $L x=N x$ has at least one solution in $\bar{\Omega} \cap \operatorname{Dom} L$.

The following lemmas will be useful to prove our main result in Section 3.
Lemma 3. [12]. Assume that $A$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix and $A w \leq d$, then $w \leq A^{-1} d$.

Lemma 4. [14]. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ with $A_{i j} \leq 0, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n, i \neq j$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(1) $A$ is an M-matrix.
(2) There exists a row vector $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{n}\right)>(0,0, \ldots, 0)$ such that $\eta A>0$.
(3) There exists a column vector $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)^{T}>(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$ such that $A \xi>0$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) a_{i j}, b_{i j}, I_{i} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \tau_{i j}, c_{i}(>0), \sigma_{i j} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)\right)$ are periodic functions with a common period $\omega(>0), i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) f_{j}, g_{j} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constants $L_{j}$ and $l_{j}$ respectively, i.e.,

$$
\left|f_{j}(x)-f_{j}(y)\right| \leq L_{j}|x-y|,\left|g_{j}(x)-g_{j}(y)\right| \leq l_{j}|x-y|
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots, n$.

## 3 Existence of periodic solution

In this section, we will use the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory to obtain the existence of an $\omega$-periodic solution to system (1.2).

For convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i *}:=\min _{t \in[0, \omega]} c_{i}(t)(>0), \quad c_{i}^{+}:=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]} c_{i}(t), \\
& a_{i j}^{+}:=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|a_{i j}(t)\right|, \quad b_{i j}^{+}:=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|b_{i j}(t)\right|, \quad I_{i}^{+}:=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|I_{i}(t)\right|, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5. Let $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ hold. Suppose that $C$ and $A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)$ are two nonsingular $M$-matrix, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}, \quad \bar{a}_{i j}=c_{i *} \delta_{i j}-a_{i j}^{+} L_{j}, \quad B=\left(\bar{b}_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}, \quad \bar{b}_{i j}=b_{i j}^{+} l_{j}, \\
& C=\left(\bar{c}_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}, \quad \bar{c}_{i j}=\delta_{i j}-b_{i j}^{+} l_{j}, \quad D=\left(\bar{d}_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}, \quad \bar{d}_{i j}=c_{i}^{+} \delta_{i j}+a_{i j}^{+} L_{j}, \\
& \delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { for } i=j, \\
0, & \text { for } i \neq j .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

then system (1.2) has at least one $\omega$-periodic solution.
Proof. Take

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\omega}=\{x(t) & =\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right)^{T} \in C\left(R, R^{n}\right) \\
& \left.: x_{i}(t+\omega) \equiv x_{i}(t), i=1, \ldots, n\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\omega}^{1}=\{x(t) & =\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right)^{T} \in C^{1}\left(R, R^{n}\right) \\
& \left.: x_{i}(t+\omega) \equiv x_{i}(t), i=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $C_{\omega}$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{0}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\left|x_{i}\right|_{0}\right\}, \quad\left|x_{i}\right|_{0}=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|x_{i}(t)\right|,
$$

and $C_{\omega}^{1}$ is also a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|=\max \left\{\|x\|_{0},\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{0}\right\}$.
For each $x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right) \in C_{\omega}^{1}, L: C_{\omega}^{1} \rightarrow C_{\omega}$ and $N: C_{\omega}^{1} \rightarrow C_{\omega}$ are defined by

$$
(L x)(t)=\frac{d x}{d t}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t), x_{2}^{\prime}(t) \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{T}, \quad \text { and }(N x)(t)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
(N x)_{1}(t) \\
\vdots \\
(N x)_{n}(t)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
(N x)_{i}(t)= & -c_{i}(t) x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t) f_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}(t) g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{i j}(t)\right)\right)+I_{i}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Ker} L=\mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\operatorname{Im} L=\left\{\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right)^{T} \in C_{\omega}: \int_{0}^{\omega} x_{i}(s) d s=0, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

is closed in $C_{\omega}$, and

$$
\operatorname{dimKer} L=\operatorname{codimIm} L=n .
$$

So, $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P x=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} x(t) d t=\left(\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} x_{1}(t) d t, \ldots, \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} x_{n}(t) d t\right)^{T} \\
& Q y=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} y(t) d t=\left(\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} y_{1}(t) d t, \ldots, \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} y_{n}(t) d t\right)^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right)^{T} \in C_{\omega}^{1}, \quad y(t)=\left(y_{1}(t), \ldots, y_{n}(t)\right)^{T} \in C_{\omega} .
$$

Obviously, $P, Q$ are continuous projectors such that

$$
\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{Ker} L, \quad \operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q) .
$$

Moreover, the generalized inverse (to $L$ ) $K_{P}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{KerP} \cap \operatorname{Dom} L$ is given by

$$
\left(K_{P} z\right)(t)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\int_{0}^{t} z_{1}(s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{s} z_{1}(u) d u d s \\
\vdots \\
\int_{0}^{t} z_{n}(s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{s} z_{n}(u) d u d s
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus,

$$
(Q N x)(t)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} A_{1}(x, s) d s \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} A_{n}(x, s) d s
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.K_{P}(I-Q) N x\right)(t) \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\int_{0}^{t} A_{1}(x, s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} A_{1}(x, s) d s d t+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\omega}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} A_{1}(x, s) d s \\
\vdots \\
\int_{0}^{t} A_{n}(x, s) d s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} A_{n}(x, s) d s d t+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\omega}\right) \int_{0}^{\omega} A_{n}(x, s) d s
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{i}(x, t) & =-c_{i}(t) x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t) f_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}(t) g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{i j}(t)\right)\right)+I_{i}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $Q N$ and $K_{P}(I-Q) N$ are continuous. For any bounded open subset $\Omega \subset C_{\omega}^{1}$, $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is obviously bounded. Moreover, applying the Arzela- Ascoli theorem, one can easily show that $K_{P}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact. Thus, $N$ is $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$ for any bounded open subset $\Omega \subset C_{\omega}^{1}$.

Now we are in a position to show that there exists an appropriate open, bounded subset $\Omega$, which satisfies all the requirements given in the continuation theorem. According to the operator equation $L x=\lambda N x, \lambda \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda & {\left[-c_{i}(t) x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t) f_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)\right)\right.}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}(t) g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{i j}(t)\right)\right)+I_{i}(t)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n$. Suppose that $x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right)^{T} \in X$ is a solution of system (3.1) for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Hence, there exist $\xi_{i} \in[0, \omega](i=1, \ldots, n)$ such that
$\left|x_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|x_{i}(t)\right|=\left|x_{i}\right|_{0}$. Thus, $x_{i}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. By (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i}(\xi) x_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right) f_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(\xi_{i}-\tau_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right) g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}-\sigma_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)\right)+I_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i *}\left|x_{i}\right|_{0}=c_{i *}\left|x_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right| \leq c_{i}(\xi)\left|x_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|\left|f_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(\xi_{i}-\tau_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\quad \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|\left|g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}-\sigma_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right|+\left|I_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+}\left(L_{j}\left|x_{j}\left(\xi_{i}-\tau_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)\right|+\left|f_{j}(0)\right|\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}^{+}\left(l_{j}\left|x_{j}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}-\sigma_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)\right|+\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+} L_{j}\left|x_{j}\right| 0+b_{i j}^{+} l_{j}\left|x_{j}^{\prime}\right| 0\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+}\left|f_{j}(0)\right|+b_{i j}^{+}\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+}, i=1, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{i *} \delta_{i j}-a_{i j}^{+} L_{j}\right)\left|x_{j}\right|_{0} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}^{+} l_{j}\left|x_{j}^{\prime}\right|_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+}\left|f_{j}(0)\right|+b_{i j}^{+}\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n$. The formulas (3.3) may be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X \leq B Y+h \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=\left(\left|x_{1}\right|_{0},\left|x_{2}\right|_{0}, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|_{0}\right)^{T}, \quad Y=\left(\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{0},\left|x_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{0}, \ldots,\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\right|_{0}\right)^{T}, h=\left(h_{i}\right)_{n \times 1}$, and

$$
h_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+}\left|f_{j}(0)\right|+b_{i j}^{+}\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+} .
$$

Let $\eta_{i} \in[0, \omega](i=1, \ldots, n)$ such that $\left|x_{i}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right|=\max _{t \in[0, \omega]}\left|x_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right|=\left|x_{i}^{\prime}\right| 0$. From (3.1), $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, we get
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{i}^{\prime}\right|_{0}= & \left|x_{i}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right| \leq\left|c_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right|\left|x_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right|\left|f_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(\eta_{i}-\tau_{i j}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|b_{i j}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right|\left|g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}-\sigma_{i j}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right|+\left|I_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right| \\
\leq & c_{i}^{+}\left|x_{i}\right|_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+}\left(L_{j} \mid x_{j}\left(\eta_{i}-\tau_{i j}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\left|+\left|f_{j}(0)\right|\right)\right.\right. \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}^{+}\left(l_{j}\left|x_{j}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}-\sigma_{i j}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)\right|+\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+} \\
\leq & c_{i}^{+}\left|x_{i}\right|_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+} L_{j}\left|x_{j}\right|_{0}+b_{i j}^{+} l_{j}\left|x_{j}^{\prime}\right|_{0}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+}\left|f_{j}(0)\right|+b_{i j}^{+}\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{i j}-b_{i j}^{+} l_{j}\right)\left|x_{j}^{\prime}\right|_{0} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(c_{i}^{+} \delta_{i j}+a_{i j}^{+} L_{j}\right)\left|x_{j}\right|_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+}\left|f_{j}(0)\right|+b_{i j}^{+}\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n$. It is easy to know that formula (3.5) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C Y \leq D X+h \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix, we have by (3.6) and Lemma 3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y \leq C^{-1} D X+C^{-1} k \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.7) into (3.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)\right) X \leq B C^{-1} h+h:=w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)^{T} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix, it follows from (3.8) and Lemma 3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \leq\left(A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)\right)^{-1} w:=\left(R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{n}\right)^{T} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.9) into (3.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y \leq C^{-1} D\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}\right)^{T}+C^{-1} h:=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)^{T} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)$ is an $M$-matrix, we have from Lemma 4 that there exists a vector $\varsigma=\left(\varsigma_{1}, \varsigma_{2}, \ldots, \varsigma_{n}\right)^{T}>(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$ such that

$$
\left(A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)\right) \varsigma>(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}
$$

which implies that we can choose a constant $p>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)\right) \varsigma>w, \quad \text { and } \quad p \varsigma_{i}>R_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.9) with (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C Y \leq D\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{n}\right)^{T}+h:=v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)^{T} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing that $C$ is an $M$-matrix, we have from Lemma 4 that there exists a vector $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)^{T}>(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$ such that $C \gamma>(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$, which implies that we can choose a constant $q>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q C \gamma>v, \quad \text { and } \quad q \gamma_{i}>r_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\bar{\varsigma}=\left(\bar{\varsigma}_{1}, \bar{\varsigma}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{\varsigma}_{n}\right)^{T}:=p \varsigma, \quad \bar{\gamma}=\left(\bar{\gamma}_{1}, \bar{\gamma}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{\gamma}_{n}\right)^{T}:=q \gamma
$$

Then, we have by (3.11) and (3.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varsigma}_{i}>R_{i}, \quad\left(A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)\right) \bar{\varsigma}>w, \quad \bar{\gamma}_{i}>r_{i}, \quad \text { and } C \bar{\gamma}>v, i=1,2, \ldots n \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we take

$$
\Omega=\left\{x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t)\right)^{T} \in C_{\omega}^{1}:\left|x_{i}\right|_{0}<\bar{\varsigma},\left|\dot{x}_{i}\right|_{0}<\bar{\gamma}, i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

Obviously, the condition (a) of Lemma 2 is satisfied. If $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L=\partial \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $x(t)$ is a constant vector in $R^{n}$, and there exists some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\left|x_{i}\right|=\bar{\varsigma}_{i}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q N x)_{i}=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left[-c_{i}(t) x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(t) f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j}(t) g_{j}(0)+I_{i}(t)\right] d t \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(Q N x)_{i}\right|>0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $\left|(Q N x)_{i}\right|=0$, i.e.,

$$
\int_{0}^{\omega}\left[c_{i}(t) x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) a_{i j}(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(0) b_{i j}(t)-I_{i}(t)\right] d t=0
$$

Then, there exists some $t_{*} \in[0, \omega]$ such that

$$
c_{i}\left(t_{*}\right) x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) a_{i j}\left(t_{*}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(0) b_{i j}\left(t_{*}\right)-I_{i}\left(t_{*}\right)=0
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i *} \bar{\varsigma}_{i} & =c_{i *}\left|x_{i}\right| \leq\left|c_{i}\left(t_{*}\right) x_{i}\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right| a_{i j}^{+}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|g_{j}(0)\right| b_{i j}^{+}+I_{i}^{+} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left|f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)-f_{j}(0)\right|+\left|f_{j}(0)\right|\right) a_{i j}^{+}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|g_{j}(0)\right| b_{i j}^{+}+I_{i}^{+} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+} L_{j} \bar{\varsigma}_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+}\left|f_{j}(0)\right|+b_{i j}^{+}\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A \bar{\varsigma})_{i} \leq h_{i} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to know that $D \bar{\varsigma} \geq(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$. Since $C$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix, we have from $C^{-1} \geq 0$ (Lemma 3) that $C^{-1} D \bar{\varsigma} \geq(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(C^{-1} D\right) \bar{\varsigma} \geq(0, \ldots, 0)^{T} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B C^{-1} h \geq(0, \ldots, 0)^{T} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19), we get

$$
\left.(A \bar{\varsigma})_{i} \leq h_{i}+\left(B C^{-1} h\right)_{i}+\left(B C^{-1} D\right) \bar{\varsigma}\right)_{i}=w_{i}+\left(B C^{-1} D \bar{\varsigma}\right)_{i}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left(\left(A-B C^{-1} D\right) \bar{\varsigma}\right)_{i} \leq w_{i}
$$

which contradicts (3.14). Hence, (3.16) holds. Consequently, $Q N x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$.

Furthermore, let

$$
\Psi(x, \mu)=\mu(-x)+(1-\mu) J Q N x \quad \mu \in[0,1]
$$

Then for any $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L,\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\left|x_{i}\right|=\bar{\varsigma}$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\Psi(x, \mu))_{i}= & -\mu x_{i}+(1-\mu) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left[-c_{i}(t) x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) a_{i j}(t)\right.  \tag{3.20}\\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(0) b_{i j}(t)+I_{i}(t)\right] d t .
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(\Psi(x, \mu))_{i}\right|>0 . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this is not true, then $\left|(\Psi(x, \mu))_{i}\right|=0$, i.e.,

$$
\mu x_{i}+(1-\mu) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega}\left[c_{i}(t) x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) a_{i j}(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(0) b_{i j}(t)-I_{i}(t)\right] d t=0
$$

Therefore, there exists some $t^{*} \in[0, \omega]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu x_{i}+(1-\mu) \frac{1}{\omega}\left[c_{i}\left(t^{*}\right) x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) a_{i j}\left(t^{*}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(0) b_{i j}\left(t^{*}\right)-I_{i}\left(t^{*}\right)\right]=0, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
x_{i}\left[c_{i}\left(t^{*}\right) x_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) a_{i j}\left(t^{*}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(0) b_{i j}\left(t^{*}\right)-I_{i}\left(t^{*}\right)\right] \leq 0 .
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i *}\left|x_{i}\right|^{2} & \leq\left|c_{i}\left(t^{*}\right)\right| x_{i}^{2} \leq x_{i}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) a_{i j}\left(t^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j}(0) b_{i j}\left(t^{*}\right)+I_{i}\left(t^{*}\right)\right] \\
& \leq\left|x_{i}\right|\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\left|f_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)-f_{j}(0)\right|+\left|f_{j}(0)\right|\right) a_{i j}^{+}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|g_{j}(0)\right| b_{i j}^{+}+I_{i}^{+}\right] \\
& \leq\left|x_{i}\right|\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+} L_{j}\left|x_{j}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+}\left|f_{j}(0)\right|+b_{i j}^{+}\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+}\right] \\
& \leq\left|x_{i}\right|\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+} L_{j} \bar{\varsigma}_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}^{+}\left|f_{j}(0)\right|+b_{i j}^{+}\left|g_{j}(0)\right|\right)+I_{i}^{+}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

this means that $(A \bar{\varsigma})_{i} \leq h_{i}$. By (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain

$$
\left(\left(A-B C^{-1} D\right) \bar{\varsigma}\right)_{i} \leq w_{i}
$$

which contradicts (3.14). Hence, (3.21) holds. By the homotopy invariance theorem, we get

$$
\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\}=\operatorname{deg}\{-x, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \neq 0
$$

So, condition (b) of Lemma 2 is also satisfied. Therefore, from Lemma 2 we conclude that system (1.2) has at least one $\omega$-periodic solution. The proof is complete.

## 4 Illustrative examples

In this section, we give two examples to illustrate the effectiveness of our result.
Example 6. Consider the following Hopfield neural networks with neutral timevarying delays

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)= & -c_{i}(t) x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{i j}(t) f_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{2} b_{i j}(t) g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{i j}(t)\right)\right)+I_{i}(t), \quad i=1,2, \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i}(t)=4-\frac{1}{4} \cos t, \quad f_{i}(u)=\frac{1}{8} \sin u, \quad g_{i}(u)=\frac{1}{6} u, \\
& a_{11}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \cos t, \quad a_{12}(t)=\frac{1}{3} \sin t, \quad a_{21}(t)=\frac{1}{4} \sin ^{2} t, \quad a_{22}(t)=\frac{1}{5} \cos ^{2} t, \\
& b_{11}(t)=\frac{1}{3} \sin t, \quad b_{12}(t)=\frac{1}{4} \cos 2 t, \quad b_{21}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 t, \quad b_{22}(t)=\frac{1}{7} \cos t, \\
& I_{1}(t)=2+\sin t, \quad I_{2}(t)=3-\cos t, \\
& \tau_{i j}(u)=\sigma_{i j}(u)=\frac{1}{2}(|\sin u+1|-|\sin u-1|), \quad i, j=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, delays $\tau_{i j}(t)$ and $\sigma_{i j}(t)$ are not differentiable. $f_{i}(u)$ and $g_{i}(u)(i=1,2)$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ with constants $L_{i}=\frac{1}{8}$ and $l_{i}=\frac{1}{6}$, respectively. $a_{i j}(t), b_{i j}(t), I_{i}(t), c_{i}(t), \tau_{i j}(t)$ and $\sigma_{i j}(t)$ satisfy the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ with a common period $2 \pi$. Moreover, we can easily get that $a_{11}^{+}=\frac{1}{2}, a_{12}^{+}=\frac{1}{3}, a_{21}^{+}=\frac{1}{4}, a_{22}^{+}=\frac{1}{5}$, $b_{11}^{+}=\frac{1}{3}, b_{12}^{+}=\frac{1}{4}, b_{21}^{+}=\frac{1}{2}, b_{22}^{+}=\frac{1}{7}, I_{1}^{+}=3, I_{2}^{+}=4$, with $c_{i *}=\frac{15}{4}$ and $c_{i}^{+}=\frac{17}{4}$, for $i=1,2$.

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{59}{16} & -\frac{1}{24} \\
-\frac{1}{32} & \frac{149}{40}
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{18} & \frac{1}{24} \\
\frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{42}
\end{array}\right), \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{17}{18} & -\frac{1}{24} \\
-\frac{1}{12} & \frac{41}{42}
\end{array}\right), \\
C^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1.0628 & 0.0454 \\
0.0907 & 1.0283
\end{array}\right), \quad D=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{69}{16} & \frac{1}{24} \\
\frac{1}{32} & \frac{171}{40}
\end{array}\right), \\
A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3.4151 & -0.2382 \\
-0.4234 & 3.6004
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left(A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0.2952 & 0.0195 \\
0.0347 & 0.2800
\end{array}\right),
$$

which implies that $C$ and $A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)$ are two nonsingular $M$-matrix. Hence, all conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied. So, by means of Theorem 5 , system (4.1) has at least one $2 \pi$-periodic solution.

Example 7. Consider the following Hopfield neural networks with constant coefficients and neutral time-varying delays

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)= & -b_{i} x_{i}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{i j} g_{j}\left(x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{2} b_{i j} g_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{i j}(t)\right)\right)+J_{i}(t), \quad i=1,2 \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\binom{b_{1}}{b_{2}}=\binom{3}{4}, \quad\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{6}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{6}{\sqrt{10}} \\
-\frac{9}{\sqrt{10}} & \frac{4}{\sqrt{5}}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(b_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{5}{\sqrt{17}} \\
\frac{3}{\sqrt{17}} & \frac{6}{\sqrt{5}}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{11}(t)=\tau_{22}(t)=2 \pi+\frac{4}{5} \sin t, \quad \tau_{12}(t)=\tau_{21}(t)=\frac{1}{10} \cos t, \\
& \sigma_{11}(t)=\sigma_{22}(t)=2 \pi+\frac{4}{5} \sin t, \quad \sigma_{12}(t)=\sigma_{21}(t)=\frac{1}{17} \sin t, \\
& J_{1}(t)=1+\sin t, \quad J_{2}(t)=1-\cos t .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to obtain that $p_{i i}=q_{i i}=\sqrt{5}, i=1,2, p_{12}=p_{21}=\frac{\sqrt{10}}{3}, q_{12}=q_{21}=\frac{\sqrt{17}}{4}$, where $p_{i j}$ and $q_{i j}$ are as in [11]. Take

$$
g_{i}(u)=\frac{1}{8} u, \quad\left|g_{i}(u)\right|<\frac{1}{8}|u|+\frac{1}{8}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Obviously, $g_{i}(u)$ satisfies the conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ of [11] with $\alpha_{i}=\frac{1}{8}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}=\left(\widetilde{a}_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{9}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\
-\frac{3}{8} & \frac{7}{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad C_{1}=\left(c_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{9}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\
-\frac{3}{8} & \frac{7}{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \\
B_{1}=\left(\widetilde{b}_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{5}{32} \\
\frac{3}{32} & \frac{3}{4}
\end{array}\right), \quad D_{1}=\left(d_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{5}{32} \\
-\frac{3}{32} & \frac{1}{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{a}_{i j}=c_{i j}=b_{i} \delta_{i j}-\left|a_{i j}\right| \alpha_{j} p_{i j}, \quad \widetilde{b}_{i j}=\left|b_{i j}\right| \alpha_{j} q_{i j},
$$

$$
d_{i j}=\delta_{i j}-\left|b_{i j}\right| \alpha_{j} q_{i j}, \quad \delta_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { for } i=j \\ 0, & \text { for } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

We easily check that

$$
\left(A_{1}-B_{1}\left(D_{1}^{-1} C_{1}\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-0.0664 & -4.8894 \\
-0.9624 & -8.6460
\end{array}\right)
$$

which implies that $A_{1}-B_{1}\left(D_{1}^{-1} C_{1}\right)$ is not a nonsingular $M$-matrix, that is, the condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ of [11] for system (4.2) is fails. Thus we can not apply the main result (Theorem 3.1) in [11] to judge that the system (4.2) has at least one periodic solution. But here Theorem 5 guarantees the existence of periodic solution of system (4.2). In fact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{12 \sqrt{5}-3}{4 \sqrt{5}} & -\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{10}} \\
-\frac{9}{8 \sqrt{10}} & \frac{8 \sqrt{5}-1}{2 \sqrt{5}}
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{5}} & \frac{5}{8 \sqrt{17}} \\
\frac{3}{8 \sqrt{17}} & \frac{3}{4 \sqrt{5}}
\end{array}\right), \\
& C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{2 \sqrt{5}-1}{2 \sqrt{5}} & -\frac{5}{8 \sqrt{17}} \\
-\frac{3}{8 \sqrt{17}} & \frac{4 \sqrt{5}-3}{4 \sqrt{5}}
\end{array}\right), \quad D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{12 \sqrt{5}+3}{4 \sqrt{5}} & \frac{3}{4 \sqrt{10}} \\
\frac{9}{8 \sqrt{10}} & \frac{8 \sqrt{5}+1}{2 \sqrt{5}}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we get

$$
C^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1.3234 & 0.3018 \\
0.1811 & 1.5460
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
5.1515 & 5.7339 \\
4.1651 & 5.3365
\end{array}\right)
$$

which implies that $C$ and $A-B\left(C^{-1} D\right)$ are two nonsingular $M$-matrix. Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied, then system (4.2) has at least one $2 \pi$ periodic solution.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we use the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory to study the existence of periodic solution for a Hopfield neural network with neutral timevarying delays. Without assuming the continuous differentiability of time-varying delays, sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence of the periodic solution. Moreover, two examples are given to illustrate the effectiveness of the new result.
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