

AN EXTENSION OF THE VEKUA-BITSADZE METHOD FOR SOLVING
EQUATIONS OF THE SHELLS

Meunargia T.

*I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics of
Iv. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University*

Key words: Shell, Vekua-Bitsadze method parameter, nonlinear theory.

MSC 2000: 74K25.

I. Vekua has constructed several versions of the refined linear theory of thin and shallow shells, containing the regular process by means of the method of reduction of three-dimensional problems of elasticity to two-dimensional ones.

In the present paper by means of the I. Vekua method the system of differential equations for the nonlinear theory of non-shallow shells is obtained. Using the method I. Vekua and the method of a small parameter 2-D system of equations for the nonlinear and non-shallow shells is obtained. For any approximations of order N the complex representations of Vekua-Bitsadze type [2] of the general solutions are obtained.

Under thin and shallow shells I. Vekua meant three-dimensional shell-type elastic bodies satisfying the conditions

$$a_{\alpha}^{\beta} - x_3 b_{\alpha}^{\beta} \cong a_{\alpha}^{\beta}, \quad -h(x^1, x^2) \leq x_3 \leq h(x^1, x^2) \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2), \quad (*)$$

where a_{α}^{β} and b_{α}^{β} are mixed components of the metric tensor and the curvature tensor of the shell's midsurface, x_3 is the thickness coordinate and h is the semi-thickness, depending on curvilinear coordinates x^1, x^2 .

In the sequel, under by non-shallow shells we mean elastic bodies not subject to assumption (*), i. e., such that

$$a_{\alpha}^{\beta} - x_3 b_{\alpha}^{\beta} \not\cong a_{\alpha}^{\beta} \Rightarrow |x_3 b_{\alpha}^{\beta}| \leq q < 1.$$

1. To construct the theory of shells we use the coordinate system which is normally connected with the midsurface S . This means that the radius-vector of any point of the domain Ω can be represented in the form [1]

$$\mathbf{R}(x^1, x^2, x^3) = \mathbf{r}(x^1, x^2) + x^3 \mathbf{n}(x^1, x^2) \quad (x^3 = x_3),$$

where \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{n} are radius-vector and the unit vector of the normal of the midsurface $S(x_3 = 0)$; x^1, x^2 are the Gaussian parameters of S .

Covariant and contravariant basis vectors \mathbf{R}_i and \mathbf{R}^i of the surface $\hat{S}(x_3 = \text{const})$ and the corresponding basis vectors \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}^i of the midsurface $S(x_3 = 0)$ are connected by the following relations [1]:

$$\mathbf{R}_i = A_i^j \mathbf{r}_j = A_{ij} \mathbf{r}^j, \quad \mathbf{R}^i = A_j^i \mathbf{r}^j = A^{ij} \mathbf{r}_j \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha}^{\beta} &= a_{\alpha}^{\beta} - x_3 b_{\alpha}^{\beta}, \quad A_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \vartheta^{-1}[(1 - 2Hx_3)a_{\beta}^{\alpha} + x_3 b_{\beta}^{\alpha}], \quad A_3^i = A_i^3 = \delta_i^3, \\ \vartheta &= 1 - 2Hx_3 + Kx_3^2, \quad \mathbf{R}_3 = \mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}^3 = \mathbf{n} \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here $(a_{\alpha\beta}, a^{\alpha\beta}, a_{\beta}^{\alpha})$ and $(b_{\alpha\beta}, b^{\alpha\beta}, b_{\beta}^{\alpha})$ are the components (co, contra, mixed) of the metric tensor and curvature tensor of the midsurface S . By H and K we denote a middle and Gaussian curvature of the surface S , where

$$2H = b_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = b_1^1 + b_2^2, \quad K = b_1^1 b_2^2 - b_2^1 b_1^2.$$

The main quadratic forms of the midsurface S have the form

$$\text{I} = ds^2 = a_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta}, \quad \text{II} = k_s ds^2 = b_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta}, \quad (2)$$

where k_s is the normal curvature of the surface S , and

$$a_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{r}_{\alpha} \mathbf{r}_{\beta}, \quad b_{\alpha\beta} = -\mathbf{r}_{\alpha} \mathbf{n}_{\beta}, \quad k_s = b_{\alpha\beta} s^{\alpha} s^{\beta}, \quad s^{\alpha} = \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{ds}.$$

Here and in the sequel, under a repeated indices we mean summation; note that the Greek indices range over 1, 2, while Latin indices range over 1, 2, 3.

To construct the theory of non- shallow shells, it is necessary to obtain formulas for a family of surfaces $\hat{S}(x_3 = \text{const})$, analogous to (2) of the midsurface $S(x_3 = 0)$ which have the form [1]

$$\text{I} = d\hat{s}^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta}, \quad \text{II} = k_{\hat{s}} d\hat{s}^2 = \hat{b}_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha} dx^{\beta}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\alpha\beta} - 2x_3 b_{\alpha\beta} + x_3^2 (2Hb_{\alpha\beta} - Ka_{\alpha\beta}), \quad \hat{b}_{\alpha\beta} = (1 - 2Hx_3)b_{\alpha\beta} + x_3 K a_{\alpha\beta},$$

and $k_{\hat{s}}$ the normal curvature of the surface \hat{S} .

It is not now difficult to get the expression for the tangential normal $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ of the surface \hat{S} directed to $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ [3]:

$$\hat{\mathbf{l}} = \hat{\mathbf{s}} \times \mathbf{n} = [(1 - x_3 k_s) \mathbf{l} - x_3 \tau_s \mathbf{s}] \frac{ds}{d\hat{s}}, \quad d\hat{s} = \sqrt{1 - 2x_3 k_s + x_3^2 (k_s^2 + \tau_s^2)} ds,$$

where \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{l} are the unit vectors of the tangent and tangential normal on S , $d\hat{s}$ and ds are the linear elements of the surfaces \hat{S} and S , and τ_s is the geodesic torsion of the surface S .

2. We write the equation of equilibrium of elastic shell-type bodies in a vector form

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial \sqrt{g} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^i}{\partial x^i} + \Phi = 0, \Rightarrow \nabla_i \boldsymbol{\sigma}^i + \Phi = 0, \quad (4)$$

where g is the discriminant of the metric quadratic form of the three-dimensional domain Ω , ∇_i are covariant derivatives with respect to the space coordinates x^i , Φ is on external force, σ^i are the contravariant constituents of the stress vector $\sigma_{(\hat{l})}^*$ acting on the area with the normal \hat{l} and representable as the Cauchy formula as follows:

$$\sigma_{(\hat{l})}^* = \sigma^i \hat{l}_i, \quad \left(\hat{l}_i = \hat{l} R_i, \right).$$

For the stress vector acting on the area with normal \hat{l} , we obtain

$$\sigma_{(\hat{l})} = \sigma^\alpha (\hat{l} R_\alpha) = \vartheta \sigma^\alpha (\mathbf{l} r_\alpha) \frac{ds}{d\hat{s}}. \quad (5)$$

The stress-strain relation for the geometrically nonlinear theory of elasticity has the form

$$\sigma^i = \sigma^{ij} (\mathbf{R}_j + \partial_j \mathbf{U}) = E^{ijpq} e_{pq} (\mathbf{R}_j + \partial_j \mathbf{U}), \quad (6)$$

where σ^{ij} are contravariant components of the stress tensor, e_{ij} are covariant components of the strain tensor, \mathbf{U} is the displacement vector, E^{ijpq} and e_{ij} are defined by the formulas:

$$E^{ijpq} = \lambda g^{ij} g^{pq} + \mu (g^{ip} g^{jq} + g^{iq} g^{jp}), \quad e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{R}_i \partial_j \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{R}_j \partial_i \mathbf{U} + \partial_i \mathbf{U} \partial_j \mathbf{U}). \quad (7)$$

To reduce the three-dimensional problems of the theory of elasticity to the two-dimensional problems, it is necessary to rewrite the relation (4-7) in forms of the bases of the midsurface S of the shell Ω .

The relation (4) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{\partial \sqrt{a} \vartheta \sigma^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \vartheta \sigma^3}{\partial x^3} + \vartheta \Phi = 0, \quad (a = a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12}^2). \quad (8)$$

From (1), (6), (7) we obtain

$$\sigma^i = A_{i_1}^i A_{p_1}^p, M^{i_1 j_1 p_1 q_1} [(\mathbf{r}_{q_1} \partial_p \mathbf{U}) + \frac{1}{2} A_{q_1}^q (\partial_p \mathbf{U} \partial_q \mathbf{U})] (\mathbf{r}_{j_1} + A_{j_1}^j \partial_j \mathbf{U}), \quad (9)$$

$$M^{i_1 j_1 p_1 q_1} = \lambda a^{i_1 j_1} a^{p_1 q_1} + \mu (a^{i_1 p_1} a^{j_1 q_1} + a^{i_1 q_1} a^{j_1 p_1}) \quad (a^{ij} = \mathbf{r}^i \mathbf{r}^j)$$

3. The isometric system of coordinates on the surface S is of special interest, for in this system we can obtain basic equations of the theory of shells in a complex form which in turn allows one to construct for a rather wide class of problems complex representations of general solutions by means of analytic functions of one variable $z = x^1 + ix^2$.

The main quadratic forms in the system of coordinates are of the type

$$I = ds^2 = \Lambda(z, \bar{z}) dz d\bar{z}, \quad II = k_s ds^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda [\bar{Q} dz^2 + 2H dz d\bar{z} + Q d\bar{z}^2],$$

$$Q = 0.5(b_1^1 - b_2^2 + 2ib_2^1), \quad \Lambda(z, \bar{z}) > 0.$$

Introducing the well-known differential operators $2\partial z = \partial_1 - i\partial_2$, $2\partial_{\bar{z}} = \partial_1 + i\partial_2$ and the notations $\tau_{.j}^i = \vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^i \mathbf{r}_j$, $X_i = \vartheta \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{r}_i$, for the geometrically nonlinear theory of non-shallow shells from (8) and (9) we obtain the following complex form both for the system of equations of equilibrium and Hooke's law:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Lambda} \frac{\partial \Lambda \boldsymbol{\tau}^+ \mathbf{r}_+}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^+ \mathbf{r}_+}{\partial \bar{z}} - \Lambda(H\tau_3^+ + Q\bar{\tau}_3^+) + \frac{\partial \tau_3^3}{\partial x_3} + X_+ &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\partial \Lambda \tau_3^+}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \Lambda \bar{\tau}_3^+}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) + H(\tau_1^1 + \tau_2^2) + Re(\bar{Q}\boldsymbol{\tau}^+ \mathbf{r}_+) + \frac{\partial \tau_3^3}{\partial x_3} + X_3 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\tau}^+ &= \vartheta \left\{ \lambda \boldsymbol{\Theta} + \mu \left[\mathbf{R}^+ \partial_z \mathbf{U} + (\bar{\mathbf{R}}_+ + 2\partial_z \mathbf{U}) \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U} \right] \right\} (\mathbf{R}^+ + 2\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U}) \\ &+ \mu \vartheta \left\{ \left[\mathbf{R}^+ \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{R}_+ + 2\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U}) \partial^z \mathbf{U} \right] (\bar{\mathbf{R}}^+ + 2\partial^z \mathbf{U}) \right. \\ &+ \left. \left[\mathbf{R}^+ \partial_3 \mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{n} + \partial_3 \mathbf{U}) \partial^z \mathbf{U} \right] (\mathbf{n} + \partial_3 \mathbf{U}) \right\}, \\ \tau^3 &= \vartheta \left\{ \left[\lambda \boldsymbol{\Theta} + 2\mu(\mathbf{n} \partial^3 \mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_3 \mathbf{U} \partial^3 \mathbf{U}) \right] (\mathbf{n} + \partial_3 \mathbf{U}) \right. \\ &+ \mu \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+ \partial_3 \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{n} \partial_z \mathbf{U} + \partial_z \mathbf{U} \partial_3 \mathbf{U} \right) (\mathbf{R}^+ + 2\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U}) \right. \\ &+ \left. \left. \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}_+ \partial_3 \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{n} \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U} + \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U} \partial_3 \mathbf{U} \right) (\bar{\mathbf{R}}^+ + 2\partial^z \mathbf{U}) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$(\partial^3 \mathbf{U} = \partial_3 \mathbf{U}).$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\tau}^+ \mathbf{r}_+ &= (\boldsymbol{\tau}^1 + i\boldsymbol{\tau}^2)(\mathbf{r}_1 + i\mathbf{r}_2), \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^+ \mathbf{r}_+ = (\boldsymbol{\tau}^1 - i\boldsymbol{\tau}^2)(\mathbf{r}_1 + i\mathbf{r}_2), \quad \tau_{.3}^+ = \boldsymbol{\tau}^+ \mathbf{n}, \\ \tau_{.+}^3 &= \boldsymbol{\tau}^3 \mathbf{r}_+, \quad \tau_3^3 = \boldsymbol{\tau}^3 \mathbf{n}, \quad 2\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{R}^+ \mathbf{R}^+) \partial_z \mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{R}^+ \bar{\mathbf{R}}^+) \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U}, \quad \tau_{.\beta}^\alpha = \boldsymbol{\tau}^\alpha \mathbf{r}_\beta, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Theta} &= 2Re \left[(\mathbf{R}^+ + \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U}) \partial_z \mathbf{U} + \partial_3 \mathbf{U} U_3 + 0.5 (\partial_3 \mathbf{U})^2 \right], \quad \mathbf{R}^+ = \vartheta^{-1} \left[(1 - Hx_3) \mathbf{r}^+ + x_3 Q \bar{\mathbf{r}}^+ \right], \\ \mathbf{R}_+ &= (1 - Hx_3) \mathbf{r}_+ - x_3 Q \bar{\mathbf{r}}_+, \quad \mathbf{R}_+ = \mathbf{R}_1 + i\mathbf{R}_2, \quad \mathbf{R}^+ = \mathbf{R}^1 + i\mathbf{R}^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}^+ \mathbf{R}^+ &= 4x_3 (\Lambda \vartheta^2)^{-1} (1 - Hx_3) Q, \quad \mathbf{R}^+ \bar{\mathbf{R}}^+ = 2(\Lambda \vartheta^2)^{-1} (\vartheta + 2x_3^2 Q \bar{Q}), \\ \mathbf{R}^+ \mathbf{r}_+ &= 2\vartheta^{-1} Q x_3, \quad \bar{\mathbf{R}}^+ \mathbf{r}_+ = 2\vartheta^{-1} (1 - Hx_3), \quad \mathbf{r}^+ \bar{\mathbf{r}}^+ = 2\Lambda^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{r}^+ = \mathbf{r}^1 + i\mathbf{r}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}^+ \partial_z \mathbf{U} &= \Lambda^{-1} \partial_z U_+ - H U_3, \quad \mathbf{r}^+ \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathbf{U} = \partial_{\bar{z}} U^+ - Q U_3, \quad X_+ = X_1 + iX_2, \\ \mathbf{n} \partial_z \mathbf{U} &= \partial_z U_3 + 0.5 (\bar{Q} U_+ + H \bar{U}_+) \quad (U^+ = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{r}^+, U_+ = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{r}_+, U_3 = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{n}). \end{aligned}$$

4. In the present paper the three-dimensional problems of the theory of elasticity are reduced to the two-dimensional ones by the method suggested by I. Vekua. Since the system of Legendre polynomials $\left\{ P_m \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) \right\}$ is complete

in the interval $[-h, h]$, for equation (8) we obtain the infinite system of two-dimensional equations

$$\int_{-h}^h \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{\partial \sqrt{a} \vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + \frac{\partial \vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^3}{\partial x^3} + \vartheta \Phi \right] P_m \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) dx_3 = 0 \quad (m = 0, 1, \dots)$$

or in a form

$$\nabla_\alpha \binom{(m)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^\alpha - \frac{2m+1}{h} \left(\binom{(m-1)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^3 + \binom{(m-3)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^3 + \dots \right) + \binom{(m)}{\mathbf{F}} = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{(m)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^i, \binom{(m)}{\Phi} &= \frac{2m+1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h (\vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^i, \vartheta \Phi) P_m \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) dx_3 = \frac{2m+1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h (\boldsymbol{\tau}^i, \mathbf{X}) P_m dx_3, \\ \binom{(m)}{\mathbf{F}} &= \binom{(m)}{\Phi} + \frac{2m+1}{2h} \left(\binom{(+)}{\vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma}}^3 - (-1)^m \binom{(-)}{\vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma}}^3 \right) \quad \left(\binom{(\pm)}{\vartheta} = \vartheta(\pm h) \right), \end{aligned}$$

∇_α are covariant derivatives on the midsurface S .

The equation of state (9) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{(m)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^i &= M^{i_1 j_1 p_1 q_1} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \left[\binom{(m)}{A}_{i_1 p_1}^{i p} \mathbf{r}_{j_1} + \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \binom{(m)}{A}_{i_1 j_1 p_1}^{i j p} D_j \binom{(m_2)}{\mathbf{U}} \right] \left(\mathbf{r}_{q_1} \cdot D_p \binom{(m_1)}{\mathbf{U}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \left(\binom{(m)}{A}_{i_1 p_1 q_1}^{i p q} \mathbf{r}_{j_1} + \sum_{m_3=0}^{\infty} \binom{(m)}{A}_{i_1 j_1 p_1 q_1}^{i j p q} D_j \binom{(m_2)}{\mathbf{U}} \right) \left(D_p \binom{(m_1)}{\mathbf{U}} D_q \binom{(m_3)}{\mathbf{U}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$D_i \binom{(m)}{\mathbf{U}} = \delta_i^\beta \partial_\beta \binom{(m)}{\mathbf{U}} + \delta_i^3 \binom{(m)}{\mathbf{U}}'; \quad \binom{(m)}{\mathbf{U}}' = \frac{2m+1}{h} \left(\binom{(m+1)}{\mathbf{U}} + \binom{(m+3)}{\mathbf{U}} + \dots \right), \quad (14)$$

$$\binom{(m)}{A}_{i_1 j_1}^{i j} = \frac{2m+1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \vartheta A_{i_1}^i, A_{j_1}^j, P_{m_1} \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) P_m \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) dx_3$$

$$\binom{(m)}{A}_{i_1 m_2}^{i j p} = \frac{2m+1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \vartheta A_{i_1}^i, A_{j_1}^j, A_{p_1}^p P_{m_1} P_{m_2} P_m dx_3, \quad (15)$$

$$\binom{(m)}{A}_{i_1 m_2 m_3}^{i j p q} = \frac{2m+1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \vartheta A_{i_1}^i, A_{j_1}^j, A_{p_1}^p A_{q_1}^q P_{m_1} P_{m_2} P_{m_3} P_m dx_3.$$

The boundary conditions on the lateral contour ∂S take the form:

a) for the stresses

$$\overset{(m)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{(l)} = \overset{(m)}{\sigma}_{(ul)} \mathbf{l} + \overset{(m)}{\sigma}_{(ls)} \mathbf{s} + \overset{(m)}{\sigma}_{(ln)} \mathbf{n} = \frac{2m+1}{2h} \int_h^h \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{(l)} \frac{d\hat{s}}{ds} P_m \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) dx_3, \quad (16)$$

b) for the displacements

$$\overset{m}{\mathbf{U}} = \frac{2m+1}{2h} \int_h^h \mathbf{U} P_m \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) dx_3 = \overset{(m)}{U}_{(l)} \mathbf{l} + \overset{(m)}{U}_{(s)} \mathbf{(s)} + \overset{(m)}{U}_{(3)} \mathbf{n}. \quad (17)$$

Thus we have constructed an infinite system of two-dimensional equations of geometrically non-linear and non-shallow shells (12-17), which is consistent with the boundary conditions on the face surfaces, i.e. $\overset{(\pm)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_3 = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^3(x^1, x^2, \pm h)$.

The passage to finite systems can be realized by various methods one of which consists in considering of a finite series, i.e.

$$(\vartheta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^i, \mathbf{U}, \vartheta \boldsymbol{\Phi}) = \sum_{m=0}^N \left(\overset{(m)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^i, \overset{(m)}{\mathbf{U}}, \overset{(m)}{\boldsymbol{\Phi}} \right) P_m \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) = (\boldsymbol{\tau}^i, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{X}),$$

where N is a fixed nonnegative number. In other words, it is assumed that

$$\overset{(m)}{\mathbf{U}} = 0, \quad \overset{(m)}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^i = 0, \quad \text{if } m > N.$$

Approximation of this type will be called approximation of order N .

The integrals of type (15) can be calculated [5], for example,

$$\overset{(m)}{A}_{(m_1)}^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha_1\beta_1} = \frac{2m+1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \vartheta^{-1} B_{\alpha_1}^\alpha(x_3) B_{\beta_1}^\beta(x_3) P_{m_1} \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) P_m \left(\frac{x_3}{h} \right) dx_3 =$$

$$\frac{2m+1}{2\sqrt{E}h} \left[B_{\alpha_1}^\alpha(hy) B_{\beta_1}^\beta(hy) \left(\begin{matrix} P_{m_1}(y) Q_m(y), & m_1 \leq m \\ Q_{m_1}(y) P_m(y), & m_1 > m \end{matrix} \right) \right]_{y_1}^{y_2} + \frac{L_{\alpha_1}^\alpha L_{\beta_1}^\beta}{K} \sigma_{m_1}^m, \quad (18)$$

if $E \neq 0$ $K \neq 0$ and $a_{\alpha_1}^\alpha a_{\beta_1}^\beta \delta_{m_1}^m$, if $E = H^2 - K = 0$; where $Q_m(y)$ is the Legendre function of the second kind, E is the Euler difference, $B_\beta^\alpha(x) = a_\beta^\alpha + x L_\beta^\alpha$, $L_\beta^\alpha = b_\beta^\alpha - 2H a_\beta^\alpha$. Under the square brackets we mean the following:

$$[f(y)]_{y_1}^{y_2} = f(y_2) - f(y_1), \quad y_{1,2} = [(H \mp \sqrt{E})h]^{-1}.$$

For the integrals containing the product of three (four) Legendre polynomials we have

$$\overset{(m)}{A}_{(m_1, m_2)}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} = \frac{2m+1}{2n} \int_{-h}^h \frac{B_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1} B_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2} B_{\beta_3}^{\alpha_3}}{1 - 2Hx_3 + Kx_3^2} P_{m_1} P_{m_2} P_m dx_3 = \frac{2m+1}{K^2 h^4} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{r=0}^{\min(m_1, m_2)} \alpha_{m_1 m_2 r} \sum_{n=0}^3 \mathbb{C}_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}^{n \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} h^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \left[\frac{y^n}{y_1 - y_2} \begin{pmatrix} P_s(y) Q_m(y), s \leq m \\ Q_s(y) P_m(y), s \geq m \end{pmatrix} \right]_{y_1}^{y_2},$$

where $s = m_1 + m_2 - 2r$,

$$a_{pqr} = \frac{A_{p-r} A_r A_{q-r}}{A_{p+q-r}} \frac{2(p+q) - 4r + 1}{2(p+q) - 2r + 1}, \quad A_p = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots 2p - 1}{p!},$$

$$B_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}(x) B_{\beta_2}^{\alpha_2}(x) B_{\beta_3}^{\alpha_3}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^3 \mathbb{C}_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3}^{n \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3} x^n,$$

5. Three-dimensional shell-type bodies are characterized by inequalities

$$|hb_{\beta}^{\alpha}| \leq q < 1 \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2)$$

Therefore they can be represented as follows

$$|\varepsilon b_{\beta}^{\alpha} R| \leq q < 1,$$

where $\varepsilon = hR^{-1}$ is a small parameter.

Here h is semi-thickness of the shell, R is a certain characteristic radius of curvature of the midsurface S [4].

Now, following Signorini [3] we assume the validity of the expansions

$$\left(\begin{matrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^i \\ \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{F} \end{matrix} \right)^{(m)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\begin{matrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^i \\ \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{F} \end{matrix} \right)^{(m,n)} \varepsilon^n.$$

Substituting the above expansions into the (12,13) and (10,11) than equalizing the coefficients of expansions for ε^n we obtain the following 2-D finite system of equilibrium equations with respect to components of displacement vector in the isometric coordinates $a_{11} = a_{22} = \Lambda(x^1, x^2)$, which has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\mu \partial_{\bar{z}} \left(\Lambda^{-1} \partial_z^{(m,n)} u_+ \right) + 2(\lambda + \mu) \partial_{\bar{z}} \theta^{(m,n)} + 2\lambda \partial_{\bar{z}} u_3'^{(m,n)} - (2m + 1)\mu \\ & \left[2\partial_{\bar{z}} \left(u_3^{(m-1,n)} + u_3^{(m-3,n)} + \cdots \right) + u_+'^{(m-1,n)} + u_+'^{(m-3,n)} + \cdots \right] + F_+'^{(m,n)} = 0, \quad (19) \\ & \mu \left(\nabla^2 u_3^{(m,n)} + \theta'^{(m,n)} \right) - (2m + 1) \left[\lambda \left(\theta^{(m-1,n)} + \theta^{(m-3,n)} + \cdots \right) + \right. \\ & \left. (\lambda + 2\mu) \left(u_3'^{(m-1,n)} + u_3'^{(m-3,n)} + \cdots \right) \right] + F_3'^{(m,n)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_+ = u_1 + iu_2$, $\theta = \Lambda^{-1} \left(\partial_z u_+ \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{u}_+ \right)$, $z = x^1 + ix^2$, $2\partial_z = \partial_1 - i\partial_2$, $\nabla^2 = \frac{4}{\Lambda} \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}}$.

Obviously, in passing from the n -th step of approximation to the $(n + 1)$ -th step only the right-hand side of equations are changed. Below we will omit upper index n .

Consider now the cases: $N = 0, 1, 2, 3$ [6].

Case $N = 0$. From (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mu\partial_{\bar{z}}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\partial_z u_+^{(0)}\right) + 2(\lambda + \mu)\partial_{\bar{z}}\theta^{(0)} &= 0, \\ \mu\nabla^2 u_3^{(0)} = 0 \quad \left(F_+^{(m)} = F_3^{(m)} = 0, m = 0, 1, 2, 3\right). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The complex representation of general solutions has the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_+^{(0)} &= -\frac{\lambda + 3\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\varphi'(\zeta)dS}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} + \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\overline{\varphi'(\zeta)}dS}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} - \overline{\psi(z)}, \\ u_3^{(0)} &= f(z) + \overline{f(z)}, \quad \left(dS = \Lambda(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}, \zeta = \xi + i\eta\right), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $f(z)$, $\varphi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ are holomorphic functions of z . We note that for plane (i.e. $\Lambda = 1$) the expression of $u_+^{(0)}$ coincides with the well-known representation of Kolosov-Muskhelishvili.

Case $N = 1$. With respect to the components $(u_+, u_3)^{(0)}$ and $(u_1, u_3)^{(1)}$ we have two systems of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mu\partial_{\bar{z}}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\partial_z u_+^{(0)}\right) + 2(\lambda + \mu)\partial_{\bar{z}}\theta^{(0)} + 2\lambda\partial_{\bar{z}}u_3^{(1)} &= 0, \\ \mu\nabla^2 u_3^{(1)} + 3\left[\lambda\theta^{(0)} + (\lambda + 2\mu)\theta^{(1)}\right] &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mu\partial_{\bar{z}}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\partial_z u_+^{(1)}\right) + 2(\lambda + \mu)\partial_{\bar{z}}\theta^{(1)} - 3\mu\left(2\partial_{\bar{z}}u_3^{(0)} + u_+^{(1)}\right) &= 0, \\ \nabla^2 u_3^{(0)} + \theta^{(1)} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The complex representation of general solutions has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} u_+^{(0)} &= -\frac{5\lambda + 6\mu}{3\lambda + 2\mu} \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\varphi'(\zeta)dS}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} + \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\overline{\varphi'(\zeta)}dS}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} - \overline{\psi(z)} - \frac{\lambda}{6(\lambda + \mu)} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} \\ u_3^{(0)} &= w - \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu}(\varphi' + \overline{\varphi'}), \quad \left(\nabla^2 w = \frac{12(\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + 2\mu} w\right), \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_+^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\Phi'(\zeta) + \overline{\Phi'(\zeta)}}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} dS + \frac{4(\lambda + \mu)}{3\mu} \overline{\Phi''(z)} - 2\overline{\Psi'(z)} + i\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \bar{z}}, \\ u_3^{(0)} &= \Psi(z) + \overline{\Psi(z)} - \iint_S \left(\Phi'(\zeta) + \overline{\Phi'(\zeta)}\right) \ln|\zeta - z|dS \quad (\nabla^2 \chi = 3\chi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(z)$ and $\Psi(z)$ are holomorphic functions of z .

Note that the systems (10) and (11) coincide with I. Vekua's refined systems of equations for the stretch-strain and bending of plate, respectively.

Case $N = 2$. In this case with respect to the components $(u_1^{(0)}, u_+^{(2)}, u_3^{(1)})$ and $(u_+^{(1)}, u_3^{(0)}, u_3^{(2)})$ we have two systems of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mu\partial_{\bar{z}}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\partial_z u_+^{(0)}\right) + 2(\lambda + \mu)\partial_{\bar{z}}\theta^{(0)} + 2\lambda\partial_{\bar{z}}u_3^{(1)} &= 0, \\ 4\mu\partial_{\bar{z}}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\partial_z u_+^{(2)}\right) + 2(\lambda + \mu)\partial_{\bar{z}}\theta^{(2)} - 5\mu\left(2\partial_{\bar{z}}u_3^{(1)} + 3u_+^{(2)}\right) &= 0, \\ \mu\left(\nabla^2 u_3^{(1)} + 3\theta^{(2)}\right) - 3\left[\lambda\theta^{(0)} + (\lambda + 2\mu)u_3^{(1)}\right] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mu\partial_{\bar{z}}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\partial_z u^{(1)}\right) + 2(\lambda + \mu)\partial_{\bar{z}}\theta^{(1)} - 3\mu\left(2\partial_{\bar{z}}u_3^{(0)} + u_+^{(1)}\right) &= 0, \\ \nabla^2 u_3^{(0)} + \theta^{(1)} &= 0, \\ \mu\nabla^2 u_3^{(2)} - 5\left[\lambda\theta^{(1)} + 3(\lambda + 2\mu)u_3^{(2)}\right] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In this case the complex representations of the general solutions of the equations (12) and (13) take the forms

$$\begin{aligned} u_+^{(0)} &= -\frac{5\lambda + 6\mu}{3\lambda + 2\mu} \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\varphi'(\zeta)dS}{\bar{\zeta} - z} + \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\overline{\varphi'(\zeta)}dS}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} - \overline{\psi(z)} \\ &\quad - \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu} \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \bar{z}}, \\ u_+^{(2)} &= \frac{2}{3} \left(i \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{2\lambda}{3\lambda + 2\mu} \overline{\varphi''(z)} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \frac{\alpha_{3-k}}{\alpha_k} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \bar{z}} \right), \\ u_3^{(1)} &= v_1 + v_2 - \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu} (\varphi'(z) + \overline{\varphi'(z)}), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where

$$\nabla^2 v_k = \alpha_k v_k, \quad \alpha_k^2 - \frac{12(\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + 2\mu} \alpha_k + \frac{180\mu(\lambda + \mu)}{(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} = 0 \quad (k = 1, 2); \quad \nabla^2 \omega = 15\omega,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_+^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\Phi'(\zeta) + \overline{\Phi'(\zeta)}}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} dS + \frac{16(\lambda + \mu)}{3(\lambda + 2\mu)} \overline{\Phi''(z)} - \\ &\quad - 2\overline{\Psi'(z)} + i \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{\lambda}{10(\lambda + \mu)} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$u_3^{(0)} = \Psi(z) + \overline{\Psi(z)} - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \left(\Phi'(\zeta) + \overline{\Phi'(\zeta)} \right) \ln |\zeta - z| dS + \frac{\lambda}{20(\lambda + \mu)} w,$$

$$u_3^{(2)} = w - \frac{2\lambda}{3\lambda + 2\mu} \left(\Phi'(z) + \overline{\Phi'(z)} \right),$$

where $\nabla^2 w = \frac{60(\lambda+\mu)}{\lambda+2\mu} w$, $\nabla^2 \chi = 3\chi$.

Case $N = 3$. For this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_+^{(0)} &= -\frac{5\lambda + 6\mu}{3\lambda + 2\mu} \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\varphi' dS}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} + \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\bar{\varphi}' dS}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} - \bar{\psi} - \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu} \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{1 + A_k^{(1)}}{\alpha_k} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \bar{z}} \\
 u_+^{(2)} &= \frac{2}{3} \left(i \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{2\lambda}{3\lambda + 2\mu} \bar{\varphi}'' + \sum_{k=1}^3 A_k^{(2)} \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial \bar{z}} \right), \\
 u_3^{(1)} &= \sum_{k=1}^3 A_k^{(1)} v_k - \frac{2\lambda}{3\lambda + 2\mu} (\varphi' + \bar{\varphi}'), \\
 u_3^{(3)} &= \sum_{k=1}^3 v_k, \quad \left(\nabla^2 v_k = \alpha_k v_k, \quad \nabla^2 \omega = 15\omega \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where

$$\alpha_k^3 - \frac{180(\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + 2\mu} \alpha_k^2 + \frac{120(\lambda + \mu)(7\lambda + 15\mu)}{(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \alpha_k + \frac{7 \cdot 900(\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + 2\mu} = 0,$$

$$A_k^{(1)} = \left[\frac{3(9\lambda + 4\mu)}{\lambda + 2\mu} \alpha_k - \frac{180\mu(\lambda + \mu)}{(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \right] \left[\alpha_k^2 - \frac{12(\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + 2\mu} \alpha_k + \frac{180\mu(\lambda + \mu)}{(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \right]^{-1},$$

$$A_k^{(2)} = -10 \left[\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu} \alpha_k - \frac{12(\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + 2\mu} \right] \left[\alpha_k^2 - \frac{12(\lambda + \mu)}{\lambda + 2\mu} \alpha_k + \frac{180\mu(\lambda + \mu)}{(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \right]^{-1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_+^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\Phi' + \bar{\Phi}'}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} dS + \frac{4}{15} \frac{23\lambda + 24\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} \bar{\Phi}'' - 2\bar{\Psi} + \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(i \frac{\varkappa_k - 3}{3} \frac{\partial \chi_k}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{6\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu} \frac{\partial w_k}{\partial \bar{z}} \right), \\
 u_+^{(3)} &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(i \frac{\partial \chi_k}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{2}{5} \frac{\gamma_{3-k}}{\gamma_k} \frac{\partial w_k}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) - \frac{4}{15} \frac{3\lambda + 2\mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} \bar{\Phi}''(z), \\
 &\quad \left(\nabla^2 w_k = \gamma_k w_k, \quad \nabla^2 \chi_k = \varkappa_k \chi_k \right), \\
 u_3^{(0)} &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\frac{3\lambda}{\lambda + 2\mu} - \frac{\gamma_{3-k}}{5} \right) \frac{1}{\gamma_k} w_k - \iint_S (\Phi' + \bar{\Phi}') \ln |\zeta - z| dS + \Psi + \bar{\Psi}, \\
 u_3^{(2)} &= \sum_{k=1}^2 w_k - \frac{2\lambda}{3(\lambda + 2\mu)} (\Phi' + \bar{\Phi}') \\
 &\quad \left(\gamma_k^2 - \frac{60(\lambda + \mu)}{3(\lambda + 2\mu)} \gamma_k + 60 \frac{35\mu(\lambda + \mu)}{(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} = 0, \quad \varkappa_k^2 - 45\varkappa_k + 105 = 0 \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The general solution of the homogeneous system (19) we can find the form

$$\begin{aligned}
u_+^{(m)} &= \partial_{\bar{z}} V_+^{(m)} + \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\overline{\varphi_0'(\zeta)} - \varkappa_1 \varphi_0'(\zeta) dS_\zeta}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} - \overline{\psi_0'(z)} \right) \delta_{0m} - \\
&\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S \frac{\varphi_1'(\zeta) + \overline{\varphi_1'(\zeta)} dS_\zeta}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} + \eta_1 \overline{\varphi_1''(z)} - 2\overline{\psi_1'(z)} \right) \delta_{1m} \\
&\quad + \varkappa_2 \overline{\varphi_0''(z)} \delta_{2m} + \eta_2 \overline{\varphi_1''(z)} \delta_{3m}, \tag{31} \\
u_3^{(m)} &= V_3^{(m)} - \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_S (\varphi_1'(\zeta) + \overline{\varphi_1'(\zeta)}) \ln|\zeta - z| dS_\zeta - \psi_1(z) - \overline{\psi_1(z)} \right) \delta_{0m} \\
&- \frac{3}{2} \varkappa_2 \left[(\varphi_0'(z) + \overline{\varphi_0'(z)}) \delta_{1m} - (\varphi_1'(z) + \overline{\varphi_1'(z)}) \delta_{2m} \right] \quad (m = 0, 1, \dots, N) \\
V_1^{(0)} &= V_2^{(0)} = 0, \quad u_3^{(0)} = \psi_1(z) + \overline{\psi_1(z)}, \quad \text{if } N = 0 \\
&(dS_\zeta = \Lambda(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}, \quad \zeta = \xi + i\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_0'(z), \varphi_1'(z), \psi_0'(z), \psi_1'(z)$ are holomorphic functions of z and express the biharmonic solution of the system (19). Then $\varkappa_1, \varkappa_2, \eta_1, \eta_2$ are known constants.

Substituting expressions (31) into (19) the matrix equations for $V_i^{(m)}$ are obtained

$$\nabla^2 V - AV = X, \quad \nabla^2 \Omega - B\Omega = Y, \tag{32}$$

where V and Ω are column-matrices of the form

$$V = \left(V_1^{(0)}, V_1^{(1)}, \dots, V_1^{(N)}, V_3^{(0)}, V_3^{(1)}, \dots, V_3^{(N)} \right)^T, \quad \Omega = \left(V_2^{(0)}, V_2^{(1)}, \dots, V_2^{(N)} \right)^T,$$

and A and B are block-matrices $2N+2 \times 2N+2$ and $N+1 \times N+1$ respectively.

Using now the formulae Vekua-Bitsadze for the homogenous matrix equations (32) we obtain the following complex representation of the general solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= 2Re \left\{ \varphi(z) + \frac{A}{4} \int_{z_0}^z \int_{\bar{z}_0}^{\bar{z}} \Lambda(t, \bar{t}) R(z, \bar{z}, t, \bar{t}) \varphi(t) dt d\bar{t} \right\}, \\
\Omega &= 2Re \left\{ f(z) + \frac{B}{4} \int_{z_0}^z \int_{\bar{z}_0}^{\bar{z}} \Lambda(t, \bar{t}) r(z, \bar{z}, t, \bar{t}) f(t) dt d\bar{t} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$V(z, \bar{z}) = 2Re \left[\alpha R(z, \bar{z}, z_0, \bar{z}_0) \varphi(z) + \int_{z_0}^z \Phi(t) R(z, \bar{z}, t, \bar{z}_0) dt \right],$$

$$\Omega(z, \bar{z}) = 2\text{Re} \left[\beta r(z, \bar{z}, z_0, \bar{z}_0) + \int_{z_0}^z \Psi(t) r(z, \bar{z}, t, \bar{z}_0) f(t) dt \right],$$

$$\left(\varphi(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{z_0}^z \Phi(t) dt, \quad V(z_0, \bar{z}_0) = \alpha, \quad \Phi(t) = \frac{\partial V(z_0, \bar{z}_0)}{\partial z} \right),$$

$$\left(f(z) = \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{z_0}^z \Psi(t) dt, \quad \Omega(z_0, \bar{z}_0) = \beta, \quad \Psi(t) = \frac{\partial \Omega(z_0, \bar{z}_0)}{\partial z} \right),$$

where R and r are the Riemann's matrix functions of the equations (32), $\varphi(z)$ and $f(z)$ are holomorphic column-matrices:

$$\varphi(z) = (\varphi_0(z), \dots, \varphi_N(z), \varphi_{N+1}(z), \dots, \varphi_{2N}(z))^T, \quad f(z) = (f_0(z), \dots, f_N(z))^T.$$

Then particular solutions of the matrix equations (32) have the form

$$\hat{V}(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{z_0}^z \int_{\bar{z}_0}^{\bar{z}} \Lambda(t, \bar{t}) R(z, \bar{z}, t, \bar{t}) X(t, \bar{t}) dt d\bar{t},$$

$$\hat{\Omega}(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{z_0}^z \int_{\bar{z}_0}^{\bar{z}} \Lambda(t, \bar{t}) r(z, \bar{z}, t, \bar{t}) Y(t, \bar{t}) dt d\bar{t},$$

where

$$R(z, \bar{z}, t, \bar{t}) = E + \frac{A}{4} \int_t^z \int_{\bar{t}}^{\bar{z}} \Lambda(t_1, \bar{t}_1) dt_1 d\bar{t}_1 +$$

$$\left(\frac{A}{4} \right)^2 \int_t^z \int_{\bar{t}}^{\bar{z}} \Lambda(t_1, \bar{t}_1) \left(\int_t^{t_1} \int_{\bar{t}}^{\bar{t}_1} \Lambda(t_2, \bar{t}_2) dt_2 d\bar{t}_2 \right) dt_1 d\bar{t}_1 \dots$$

$$r(z, \bar{z}, t, \bar{t}) = E + \frac{B}{4} \int_t^z \int_{\bar{t}}^{\bar{z}} \Lambda(t_1, \bar{t}_1) dt_1 d\bar{t}_1 +$$

$$\left(\frac{B}{4} \right)^2 \int_t^z \int_{\bar{t}}^{\bar{z}} \Lambda(t_1, \bar{t}_1) \left(\int_t^{t_1} \int_{\bar{t}}^{\bar{t}_1} \Lambda(t_2, \bar{t}_2) dt_2 d\bar{t}_2 \right) dt_1 d\bar{t}_1 + \dots$$

For the first boundary condition (in stress) we have

$$(\lambda + \mu) \theta^{(m)} - 2\mu\Lambda \frac{\partial u_+^{(m)}}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{d\bar{z}}{ds} \right)^2 = a_1^{(m)} + i b_1^{(m)}, \quad \text{Im} \left(\frac{\partial u_3^{(m)}}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{d\bar{z}}{ds} \right) = c_1^{(m)} \quad (\text{on } \partial S)$$

The second boundary condition (in displacements) for any m takes the form

$$u_+ \frac{d\bar{z}}{ds} = a_2 + i b_2, \quad u_3 = c_2 \quad (\text{on } \partial S).$$

The basic boundary conditions ($N = 0$) for any n have the form:

a) for the first boundary problem (in displacements)

$$U_{(\ell)}^{(0,n)} + i U_{(s)}^{(0,n)} = i U_+ \frac{d\bar{z}}{ds} = d_+, \quad U_3 = d_3 \quad \text{on } \partial D \quad (33)$$

b) for the second boundary problem (in stresses)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{(\ell\ell)}^{(0,n)} + i \sigma_{(\ell s)}^{(0,n)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\bar{\sigma} + \mathbf{r}_+ - \left(\sigma + \mathbf{r}_+ \right) \frac{dz}{d\bar{z}} \right] = e_+, \\ \sigma_{(\ell n)}^{(0,n)} &= -Im \left[\left(\sigma + \mathbf{n} \right) \frac{d\bar{z}}{ds} \right] = e_3 \quad \text{on } \partial D. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Here we present a general scheme of solution of boundary problems when the domain D is a circle of radius r_0 .

The first boundary problem for any n takes the form (on $|z| = r_0$),

$$U_+^{(0,n)} = -\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \iint_D \frac{\Lambda \varphi'(\zeta) d\xi d\eta}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} + \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \frac{\Lambda d\xi d\eta}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}} \right) \overline{\varphi'(z) - \psi(z)} = G_+^{(n)} \quad (35)$$

$$U_3^{(0,n)} = f(z) + \overline{f(z)} = G_3^{(n)} \quad (z = r e^{i\varphi}, \zeta = \rho e^{i\psi}), \quad (36)$$

where $G_+^{(n)}$ and $G_3^{(n)}$ are the known values containing solutions $U_i^{(0,1)}, \dots, U_i^{(0,n-1)}$ of the previous approximations.

Let $\Lambda(z, \bar{z})$ depend only on $r = |z|$, next $\varphi'(z)$, $\psi(z)$ and $G_+^{(n)}$ are expanded in power series of the type

$$\varphi'(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad \Psi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad G_+^{(n)} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_k e^{ik\vartheta}.$$

Substituting these expansions into (24), we obtain

$$a_0 = \frac{r_0}{\alpha_0} \frac{\alpha A_1 + \bar{A}_1}{\alpha^2 - 1}, \quad a_k = \frac{r_0^{k+1} A_{k+1}}{\alpha \alpha_k} \quad (k \geq 1),$$

$$b_k = -\frac{\bar{A}_k}{r_0^k} - \frac{\alpha_0 r_0^{k+2}}{\alpha \alpha_{k+1}} A_{k+2}, \quad (k \geq 0), \quad \alpha_k = 2 \int_0^{r_0} \rho^{2k+1} \Lambda(\rho) d\rho.$$

$U_3^{(0,n)}$ is representable in the form of the Poisson integral,

$$U_3^{(0,n)}(r, \vartheta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_3^{(n)}(\psi) \frac{r_0^2 - r^2}{r^2 - 2r_0 r \cos(\psi - \vartheta) + r_0^2} d\psi. \quad (37)$$

Thus for any n we can construct formal solutions of the problem (22), when $N = 0$.

From the second boundary condition (23), we obtain (on ∂D)

$$\sigma_{(\ell\ell)}^{(0,n)} + i \sigma_{(\ell s)}^{(0,n)} = \ell_+^{(n)} \Rightarrow (\lambda + \mu) \Theta - 2\mu \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda} U_+^{(0,n)} \right) \frac{d\bar{z}}{dz} = P_+^{(n)}, \quad (38)$$

$$\sigma_{(\ell n)}^{(0,n)} = \ell_3^{(n)} \Rightarrow \text{Im} \left(\frac{\partial U_3^{(0,n)}}{\partial z} \frac{d\bar{z}}{ds} \right) = P_3^{(n)}, \quad (39)$$

$$\left(\Theta = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{\partial U_+^{(0,n)}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \bar{U}_+^{(0,n)}}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \right).$$

Consider the case of a spherical shell, whose midsurface is a spherical segment of radius $R_0 \sin \vartheta$, where R_0 is the radius of a sphere. Isometric coordinates on the sphere can be represented in the form

$$z = x^1 + ix^2 = r e^{i\varphi}, \quad r = \text{tg} \frac{\vartheta}{2}, \quad \Lambda = 4R^2(1 + z\bar{z})^{-2} \quad (0 \leq \vartheta \leq \vartheta_0).$$

Let the expressions

$$(\varphi'(z), \Psi'(z), f(z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k, c_k) z^k, \quad \left(g_+^{(n)}, g_3^{(n)} \right) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (A_k, B_k) e^{ik\varphi},$$

be valid, where $g_+^{(n)}$ and $g_3^{(n)}$ are known values expressed by $U_i^{(0,1)}, \dots, U_i^{(0,n-1)}$ of the previous approximations. Substituting these expansions into (27), (28) and taking into account that principal vector and moment of stresses are zero, we obtain

$$a_k = \frac{A_k}{2\mu r_0^k} \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha(1 + r_0^2)\beta_k},$$

$$b_k = \frac{-1}{2\mu r_0^{k-1}} \frac{(1 + r_0^2)^{-1}}{k + 2r_0^2} \left[\frac{((1 + r_0^2)k + 2r_0^2)A_{k+1}}{1 + 2\alpha(1 + r_0^2)\beta_{k+1}r_0^2} + \bar{A}_{k-1} \right] \quad (k \geq 0).$$

$$c_k = \frac{2}{\mu} \frac{R_0}{1 + r_0^2} \frac{B_k}{kr_0^{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 1), \quad B_0 = 0, \quad \beta_k(z) = \frac{1}{z^{k+2}} \int_0^z \frac{(z-t)t^k dt}{(1+t\bar{z})^3}.$$

From here we obtain the well-known Dini's formula

$$U_3^{(0,n)}(r_0, \varphi) = -\frac{r_0}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_3^{(n)}(r_0, \varphi) \ln |\sigma - z| d\vartheta + \text{const} \quad (\sigma = r_0 e^{i\vartheta}).$$

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] I.N. Vekua. Shell Theory: General Methods of Construction. Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston-London-Melburne, 1985, 287p.
- [2] A. Bitsadze. Boundary Value Problems for Second Order Elliptic Equations. Moscow, Nauka, 1966, 203p (in Russian)
- [3] P.G. Ciarlet. Mathematical Elasticity. V.I three-dimensional elasticity. North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam-New-Oxford-York-Tokyo, 1998, 471p.
- [4] A.L. Goldenveizer. Theory of Elastic thin Shells. Moscow, Nauka, 1976, 512p. (in Russian)
- [5] T.V. Meunargia. A small parameter method for I. Vekua's nonlinear and non-shallow shells. Proceedings of the IUTAM Symposium on Relation of Shell, Plate, Beam, and 3D Models Dedicated to Centenary of Ilia Vekua, 23-27 April, 2007, Tbilisi, Georgia. IUTAM Bookseries, 9 (2008), 155-166
- [6] T.V. Meunargia. On the complex representations for the nonlinear and non-shallow shells. Rep. Enlarged Sess. Semin. I. Vekua Appl. Math., 23 (2009), 7-11

Received 30.11.2009; revised 23.12.2009; accepted 29.12.2009