

## NEW REDUCTION IN THE JACOBIAN CONJECTURE

BY LUDWIK M. DRUŹKOWSKI

*Dedicated to Professor Tadeusz Winiarski  
on the occasion of his 60th birthday*

**Abstract.** It is sufficient to consider in the Jacobian Conjecture (for every  $n > 1$ ) only polynomial mappings of cubic linear form  $F(x) = x + (Ax)^{*3}$ , i. e.  $F(x) = (x_1 + (a_1^1 x_1 + \dots + a_n^1 x_n)^3, \dots, x_n + (a_1^n x_1 + \dots + a_n^n x_n)^3)$  where the matrix  $F'(x) - I = 3 \Delta((Ax)^{*2})A$  is nilpotent for every  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . In the paper we give a new contributions to the Jacobian Conjecture, namely we show that it is sufficient in this problem to consider (for every  $n > 1$ ) only cubic linear mappings  $F(x) = x + (Ax)^{*3}$  such that  $A^2 = 0$ .

**1. Introduction and notation.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  denote either the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{K}$  or the field of reals  $\mathbb{R}$ . Basis in the domain and codomain vector spaces  $\mathbb{K}^n$  are assumed to be fixed and identical, so a linear mapping  $A$  from  $\mathbb{K}^n$  into  $\mathbb{K}^n$  is identified with its matrix and denoted by the same letter  $A$  ( $I$  denotes the identity matrix). Let  $M_n$  denote the set of  $n \times n$  square matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{K}$ . A vector  $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$  is treated as one column matrix and  $x^T$  denotes its transpose, i. e.  $x^T = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$ . Let  $a_j, b_j, c_j : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  be linear forms and let the symbol  $a_j x$  (resp.  $b_j x, c_j x$ ) denote the value of the linear form  $a_j$  (resp.  $b_j, c_j$ ) at a point  $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ , i. e.  $a_j x = a_j^1 x_1 + \dots + a_j^n x_n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Denote for short the square matrix  $A := [a_i^j : i, j = 1, \dots, n]$  and the vector  $(Ax)^T := (a_1 x, \dots, a_n x)$ , i. e.  $Ax$  is one column matrix. If  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T$  is a column vector, then we denote the  $k$  power of  $v$  by  $v^{*k} := ((v_1)^k, \dots, (v_n)^k)^T$  and by  $\Delta(v^{*k})$  we denote the diagonal  $n \times n$  matrix

$$\Delta(v^{*k}) := \begin{bmatrix} (v_1)^k & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (v_2)^k & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & (v_{n-1})^k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & (v_n)^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$  is a polynomial mapping, then we denote  $\text{Jac } F(x) := \det \left[ \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(x) : i, j = 1, \dots, n \right]$ . Let a polynomial mapping  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  have a cubic linear form  $F(x) = x + (Ax)^{*3}$  that is  $F_j(x) = x_j + (a_j x)^3$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

We recall that the  $n$ -dimensional Jacobian Conjecture  $(JC)_n$  ( $n > 1$ ) asserts

$(JC)_n$  If  $F$  is any polynomial mapping of  $\mathbb{K}^n$  and  $\text{Jac } F(x) = \text{const} \neq 0$ , then  $F$  is injective.

By the Jacobian Conjecture (for short (JC)) we mean that  $(JC)_n$  holds for each  $n > 1$ .

If  $F$  is injective polynomial transformation of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $F$  is a polynomial automorphism, cf. [1, 8]. Therefore the Jacobian Conjecture is sometimes formulated with the requirement that  $F$  has to be a polynomial automorphism. We have the following reduction theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** [2] *In order to verify the Jacobian Conjecture (for every  $n > 1$ ) it is sufficient to check the Jacobian Conjecture (for every  $n > 1$ ) only for polynomial mappings  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  of a cubic linear form*

$$F(x) = x + (Ax)^{*3}, \quad \text{i. e. } F_j(x) = x_j + (a_j x)^3, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is known ([1, 2]) that  $\text{Jac } F = 1$  if and only if the matrix  $A_x := [(a_j x)^2 a_j^i : i, j = 1, \dots, n] = \Delta((Ax)^{*2})$   $A$  is nilpotent for every  $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ . Some interesting applications of Th.1 to the Jacobian Conjecture can be found in [4, 5, 7]. Note that

$$F(x) = x + A_x(x) = x + \Delta((Ax)^{*2})(Ax)$$

$$F'(x) = I + 3A_x = I + 3\Delta((Ax)^{*2})A,$$

and call  $A$  the matrix of the cubic linear mapping  $F$ . Hence, for every  $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$  there exists an index of nilpotency of the matrix  $A_x$ , i.e. a number  $p(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_x^{p(x)} = 0$  and  $A_x^{p(x)-1} \neq 0$ . We define the index of nilpotency of the mapping  $F$  to be the number  $\text{ind } F := \sup \{p(x) \in \mathbb{N} : x \in \mathbb{K}^n\}$ . Obviously  $\text{ind } F \leq n$ .

## 2. We will prove the following.

**THEOREM 2.** (NEW REDUCTION THEOREM) *In order to verify the Jacobian Conjecture (for every  $n > 1$ ) it is sufficient to check the Jacobian Conjecture (for every  $n > 1$ ) only for polynomial mappings  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  of the cubic linear form*

$$F_j(x) = x_j + (a_j x)^3, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

having an additional nilpotent property of the matrix  $A := [a_i^j : i, j = 1, \dots, n]$ , namely  $A^2 = 0$ .

PROOF. Due to Th.1 we can take  $F : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$  of the form  $F(x) = x + (Ax)^{*3}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ . Evidently  $F$  is a polynomial automorphism if and only if  $x + \delta(Ax)^{*3}$  is a polynomial automorphism for every (some)  $\delta \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ . Put  $\widehat{F}(x, y) := (x + \delta(Ax)^{*3}, y)$ ,  $\delta \neq 0$ ,  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^n \times \mathbb{K}^n$ . Obviously  $F$  is a polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbb{K}^n$  if and only if  $\widehat{F} : \mathbb{K}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{2n}$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{K}^{2n}$ . We define polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{K}^{2n}$  by the formulas:

$$Q(x, y) := (\alpha x - \beta y, y + (\alpha Ax - \beta Ay)^{*3}) \quad \text{where } \alpha\beta \neq 0,$$

and

$$P(x, y) := \left( \frac{1}{\alpha}x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}y, y \right) \quad \text{where } \alpha\beta \neq 0.$$

Put  $G := P \circ \widehat{F} \circ Q : \mathbb{K}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{2n}$ . It not difficult to verify that

$$G(x, y) = \left( x + \frac{(\delta+\beta)\alpha^2}{\beta^3}(\beta Ax - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}y)^{*3}, y + (\alpha Ax - \beta y)^{*3} \right).$$

The mapping  $F$  is a polynomial automorphism if and only if  $G$  is a polynomial automorphism. Now we choose  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$  such that  $\frac{(\delta+\beta)\alpha^2}{\beta^3} = 1$  (it is always possible if  $\frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \neq 1$ ). Hence we get

$$G(x, y) = \left( x + (\beta Ax - \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}y)^{*3}, y + (\alpha Ax - \beta y)^{*3} \right).$$

Denote by  $N$  a block matrix (with entries in  $M_n$ ) of the form

$$N := \begin{pmatrix} \beta A & -\frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}A \\ \alpha A & -\beta A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that we can write  $G(w) = w + (Nw)^{*3}$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{K}^{2n}$ . It is easy to check that  $N^2 = 0$ . Therefore the theorem is proved.  $\square$

REMARK 1. Since  $A^2 = 0$ ,  $\text{rank } A \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

In the example given in [3, Ex. 7.8], and also investigated in [6, Ex. 6.1], the matrix  $A$  of an automorphism  $F(x) = x + (Ax)^{*3} : \mathbb{K}^{15} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{15}$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that  $\text{ind } A = 2$ ,  $\text{rank } A = 5$  and  $\text{ind } F = 5$ .

REMARK 2. It was proved earlier ([2]) that in Th.1 we can additionally assume that (\*) the matrix  $A = A_c$  for some point  $c \in \mathbb{K}^n$  and  $\text{ind } A = \text{ind } F$ . If we investigated the Jacobian Conjecture for cubic linear assuming  $\text{ind } A = 2$ , then the property (\*) usually does not hold (cf. the mentioned above example where  $\text{ind } A = 2 < 5 = \text{ind } F$ ).

### References

1. Bass H., Connell E.H., Wright D., *The Jacobian Conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 287–330.
2. Drużkowski L.M., *An effective approach to Keller's Jacobian Conjecture*, Math. Ann. **264** (1983), 303–313.
3. ———, *The Jacobian Conjecture*, preprint 492, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, 1991.
4. ———, *The Jacobian Conjecture in case of rank or corank less than three*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **85** (1993), 233–244.
5. Gorni G., Tutaj-Gasińska H., Zampieri G., *Drużkowski matrix search and D-nilpotent automorphisms*, Indag. Math. **10(2)** (1999), 235–245.
6. Gorni G., Zampieri G., *On cubic-linear polynomial mappings*, Indag. Math. **8(4)** (1997), 471–492.
7. Hubbers E.-M. G. M., *Nilpotent Jacobians*, Universal Press, Veenendal 1998, ISBN 90-9012143-9.
8. Rusek K., Winiarski T., *Polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Univ. Jagell. Acta Math. **24** (1984), 143–149.

Received November 16, 2001

Jagiellonian University  
 Institute of Mathematics  
 Reymonta 4  
 30-059 Kraków  
 Poland  
 e-mail: druzkows@im.uj.edu.pl