ON THE L^p BOUNDEDNESS OF ROUGH PARAMETRIC MARCINKIEWICZ FUNCTIONS

AHMAD AL-SALMAN

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Sultan Qaboos University P.O. Box 36, Al-Khod 123 Muscat Sultanate of Oman. EMail: alsalman@squ.edu.om

HUSSAIN AL-QASSEM

Department of Mathematics and Physics Qatar University, Qatar

EMail: husseink@qu.edu.qa

Received:	07 November, 2006
Accepted:	25 November, 2007
Communicated by:	L. Debnath
2000 AMS Sub. Class.:	Primary 42B20; Secondary 42B15, 42B25.
Key words:	Parametric Marcinkiewicz operators, rough kernels, Fourier transforms, Para- metric maximal functions.
Abstract:	In this paper, we study the L^p boundedness of a class of parametric Marcinkiewicz integral operators with rough kernels in $L(\log^+ L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$. Our result in this paper solves an open problem left by the authors of ([6]).
Acknowledgements:	This paper was written during the authors' time in Yarmouk University.



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Preparation	6
3	Rough Parametric Maximal Functions	11
4	Proofs of The Main Results	14



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 2$ and \mathbf{S}^{n-1} be the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n equipped with the normalized Lebesgue measure $d\sigma$. Suppose that Ω is a homogeneous function of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n that satisfies $\Omega \in L^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ and

(1.1)
$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \Omega(x) d\sigma(x) = 0.$$

In 1960, Hörmander ([9]) defined the parametric Marcinkiewicz function μ_{Ω}^{ρ} of higher dimension by

(1.2)
$$\mu_{\Omega}^{\rho} f(x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| 2^{-\rho t} \int_{|y| \le 2^{t}} f(x-y) \left| y \right|^{-n+\rho} \Omega(y) dy \right|^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\rho > 0$. It is clear that if $\rho = 1$, then μ_{Ω}^{ρ} is the classical Marcinkiewicz integral operator introduced by Stein ([11]) which will be denoted by μ_{Ω} . When $\Omega \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}), (0 < \alpha \leq 1)$, Stein proved that μ_{Ω} is bounded on L^p for all $1 . Subsequently, Benedek-Calderón-Panzone proved the <math>L^p$ boundedness of μ_{Ω} for all $1 under the condition <math>\Omega \in C^1(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ ([4]). Recently, under various conditions on Ω , the L^p boundedness of μ_{Ω} and a more general class of operators of Marcinkiewicz type has been investigated (see [1] – [2], [5], among others).

In ([9]), Hörmander proved that μ_{Ω}^{ρ} is bounded on L^{p} for all $1 , provided that <math>\Omega \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$, $(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ and $\rho > 0$.

A long standing open problem concerning the operator μ_{Ω}^{ρ} is whether there are some L^{p} results on μ_{Ω}^{ρ} similar to those on μ_{Ω} when Ω satisfies only some size con-



Full Screen

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

ditions. In a recent paper, Ding, Lu, and Yabuta ([6]) studied the operator

(1.3)
$$\mu_{\Omega,h}^{\rho} f(x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| 2^{-\rho t} \int_{|y| \le 2^{t}} f(x-y) |y|^{-n+\rho} h(|y|) \Omega(y) dy \right|^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where ρ is a complex number, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) = \alpha > 0$, and h is a radial function on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying $h(|x|) \in l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, where $l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is defined as follows: For $1 \leq q < \infty$,

$$l^{\infty}(L^{q})(\mathbb{R}^{+}) = \left\{ h : \|h\|_{l^{\infty}(L^{q})(\mathbb{R}^{+})} = \sup_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^{j}} |h(r)|^{q} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < C \right\}$$

and for $q = \infty$, $l^{\infty}(L^{\infty})(\mathbb{R}^+) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Ding, Lu, and Yabuta ([6]) proved the following:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\log^+ L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ is a homogeneous function of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (1.1) and $h(|x|) \in l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for some $1 < q \leq \infty$. If $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) = \alpha > 0$, then $\|\mu_{\Omega,h}^{\rho}f\|_2 \leq C/\sqrt{\alpha} \|f\|_2$, where C is independent of ρ and f.

The L^p boundedness of $\mu_{\Omega,h}^{\rho}$ for $p \neq 2$ was left open by the authors of ([6]). The main purpose of this paper is to establish the L^p boundedness of $\mu_{\Omega,h}^{\rho}$ for $p \neq 2$. Our main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\log^+ L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ is a homogeneous function of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (1.1). If $h(|x|) \in l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 < q \leq \infty$, and $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) = \alpha > 0$, then $\|\mu_{\Omega,h}^{\rho}f\|_{p} \leq C/\alpha \|f\|_{p}$ for all $1 , where C is independent of <math>\rho$ and f.



Title	Page	
Con	tents	
44	••	
•	►	
Page 4	<mark>4</mark> of 17	
Go Back		
Full S	creen	
Clo	ose	
ournal of i pure and	nequalitie d applied	es Se

Also, in this paper, we establish the L^p boundedness of the related parametric maximal function. In fact, we have the following result:

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\log^+ L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ is a homogeneous function of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n . If $h(|x|) \in l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 < q \le \infty$, and $\alpha > 0$, then

 $\left\|M_{\alpha}f\right\|_{p} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha}\left\|f\right\|_{p}$

for all 1 with a constant <math>C independent of α , where M_{α} is the operator defined by

(1.4)
$$M_{\alpha}f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ 2^{-\alpha t} \left| \int_{|y| \le 2^{t}} \Omega(y) |y|^{-n+\rho} h(|y|) f(x-y) dy \right| \right\}.$$

The method employed in this paper is based in part on ideas from [1], [2] and [3], among others. A variation of this method can be applied to deal with more general integral operators of Marcinkiewicz type. An extensive discussion of more general operators will appear in forthcoming papers.

Throughout the rest of the paper the letter C will stand for a constant but not necessarily the same one in each occurrence.



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

2. Preparation

Suppose $a \ge 1$. For a suitable family of measures $\tau = \{\tau_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on \mathbb{R}^n and a suitable family of \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions $\Phi_a = \{\varphi_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on \mathbb{R}^n , define the family of operators $\{\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi_a,s} : t, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ by

(2.1)
$$\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,s,a}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau_{at} * \varphi_{t+s} * f(x)|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Also, define the operator τ^* by

(2.2)
$$\tau^*(f)(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (|\tau_t| * |f|)(x).$$

The proof of our result will be based on the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that for some B > 0, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\beta > 0$, we have

- (i) $\|\tau_t\| \leq \beta$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $|\hat{\tau}_t(\xi)| \leq \beta (2^t |\xi|)^{\pm \frac{\varepsilon}{a}}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iii) $\|\tau^*(f)\|_q \leq B \|f\|_q$ for some q > 1;
- (iv) The functions φ_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the properties that $\hat{\varphi}_t$ is supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-(t+1)a} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{-(t-1)a}\}$ and $\left|\frac{d^{\gamma}\hat{\varphi}_t}{d\xi^{\gamma}}(\xi)\right| \leq C_{\gamma} |\xi|^{-|\gamma|}$ for any multi-index $\gamma \in (\mathbb{N} \cup (\mathbf{0}))^n$ with constants C_{γ} depend only on γ and the dimension of the underlying space \mathbb{R}^n .

Then for $\frac{2q}{q+1} , there exists a constant <math>C_p$ independent of a, β, B , s, and ε such that

(2.3)
$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,s,a}(f)\|_{p} \leq C_{p}(\beta B)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\beta B^{-1})^{\frac{\theta(p)}{2}}2^{(\varepsilon+1)\theta(p)}2^{-\varepsilon\theta(p)|s|} \|f\|_{p}$$



Title Page		
Contents		
44	••	
◀	►	
Page <mark>6</mark> of 17		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Clo	ose	
ournal of i	nequalitie	

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

for all
$$f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
, where $\theta(p) = \frac{2q-pq+p}{p}$ if $p \in \left(2, \frac{2q}{q-1}\right)$ and $\theta(p) = \frac{pq+p-2q}{p}$ if $p \in \left(\frac{2q}{q+1}, 2\right)$.

Proof. We start with the case p = 2. By Plancherel's formula and the conditions (i)-(ii), we obtain

(2.4)
$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,s,a}(f)\|_2 \le \beta 2^{\varepsilon+1} 2^{-\varepsilon|s|} \|f\|_2$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next, set $p_0 = 2q'$ and choose a non-negative function $v \in L^q_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $||v||_q = 1$ such that

$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,s,a}(f)\|_{p_0}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau_{at} * \varphi_{t+s} * f(x)|^2 v(x) dt dx.$$

Now it is easy to see that

(2.5)
$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,s,a}(f)\|_{p_0} \le \sqrt{\beta} \|\mathbf{g}_{a,s}(f)\|_{p_0} \|\tau^*(v)\|_q^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $g_{a,s}$ is the operator

(2.6)
$$\mathbf{g}_{a,s}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_{t+s} * f(x)|^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the condition (iv) and a well-known argument (see [12, p. 26-28]), it is easy to see that

(2.7)
$$\|\mathbf{g}_{a,s}(f)\|_{p_0} \le C_{p_0} \|f\|_{p_0}$$

for all $f \in L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with constant C_{p_0} independent of a and s. Thus, by (2.5) and (2.7), we have

(2.8)
$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,s,a}(f)\|_{p_0} \le C_{p_0}\sqrt{\beta B} \|f\|_{p_0}$$



journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

By duality, we get

(2.9)
$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,s,a}(f)\|_{(p_0)'} \le C_{(p_0)'}\sqrt{\beta B} \|f\|_{(p_0)'}.$$

Therefore, by interpolation between (2.4), (2.8), and (2.9), we obtain (2.3). This concludes the proof of the lemma.

Now we establish the following oscillatory estimates:

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\Omega \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ is a homogeneous function of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n satisfying (1.1) and $h(|x|) \in l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 < q \leq 2$. Then for a complex number ρ with $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) = \alpha > 0$, we have

(2.10)
$$\left| 2^{-\alpha t} \int_{|y| \le 2^{t}} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) |y|^{-n+\rho} h(|y|) dy \right|$$
$$\le 2 \frac{C}{\alpha} \|h\|_{l^{\infty}(L^{q})(\mathbb{R}^{+})} \|\Omega\|_{1}^{1-2/q'} \|\Omega\|_{\infty}^{2/q'} (2^{t} |\xi|)^{-\varepsilon},$$

and

(2.11)
$$\left| 2^{-\alpha t} \int_{|y| \le 2^t} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) \left| y \right|^{-n+\rho} h(|y|) dy \right|$$
$$\le 2 \frac{C}{\alpha} \left\| h \right\|_{l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)} \left\| \Omega \right\|_1 \left(2^t \left| \xi \right| \right)^{\varepsilon}$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{1/2, \alpha\}$. The constant C is independent of Ω , α , and t. *Proof.* For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, let $G(\xi, r) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} e^{-ir\xi \cdot y'} \Omega(y') d\sigma(y')$. Then it is

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

easy to see that

(2.12)
$$\left| 2^{-\alpha t} \int_{|y| \le 2^t} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) |y|^{-n+\rho} h(|y|) dy \right|$$
$$\le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha j} \int_{2^{t-j-1}}^{2^{t-j}} |h(r)| |G(\xi, r)| r^{-1} dr.$$

Using the assumption that $1 < q \leq 2$, it is straightforward to show that the right hand side of (2.12) is dominated by

(2.13)
$$2 \|h\|_{l^{\infty}(L^{q})(\mathbb{R}^{+})} \|\Omega\|_{1}^{1-2/q'} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha j} \left(\int_{2^{t-j-1}}^{2^{t-j}} |G(\xi,r)|^{2} r^{-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}.$$

Now, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y', z' \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, $j \ge 0$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$I_{j,t}(\xi, y', z') = \int_{2^{t-j-1}}^{2^{t-j}} e^{-ir\xi \cdot (y'-z')} r^{-1} dr.$$

Then, we have

(2.14) $\left(\int_{2^{t-j-1}}^{2^{t-j}} |G(\xi,r)|^2 r^{-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ \leq \|\Omega\|_{\infty}^{2/q'} \left[\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{n-1}} |I_{j,t}(\xi,y',z')| \, d\sigma(y') d\sigma(z') \right]^{\frac{1}{q'}}.$

By integration by parts, we have

(2.15)
$$|I_{j,t}(\xi, y', z')| \le (2^{t-j-1} |\xi| |\xi' \cdot (y' - z')|)^{-1}.$$

Boundedness of Rough Parametric Marcinkiewicz Functions Ahmad Al-Salman and Hussain Al-Qassem vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 108, 2007

Title Page		
Contents		
44	••	
•	►	
Page 9 of 17		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Close		

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

On the other hand, we have

(2.16)
$$|I_{j,t}(\xi, y', z')| \le \ln 2.$$

Thus, by combining (2.15) and (2.16), we get

(2.17)
$$|I_{j,t}(\xi, y', z')| \le (2^{t-j-1} |\xi| |\xi' \cdot (y' - z')|)^{-\varepsilon}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{1/2, \alpha\}$. Therefore, by (2.14) and (2.17), we obtain that

(2.18)
$$\left(\int_{2^{t-j-1}}^{2^{t-j}} |G(\xi,r)|^2 r^{-1} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \le \|\Omega\|_{\infty}^{2/q'} C(2^{t-j-1} |\xi|)^{-\varepsilon},$$

where the constant C is independent of Ω , j, and t. Moreover, since $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$, it can be shown that C is also independent of α . Hence by (2.12), (2.13), and (2.18), we get (2.10).

Now we prove (2.11). Using the cancellation property (1.1), it is clear that

(2.19)
$$\left| 2^{-\alpha t} \int_{|y| \le 2^t} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) \, |y|^{-n+\rho} \, h(|y|) dy \right| \\ \le \frac{2(\ln 2)^{\frac{1}{q'}}}{\alpha} \, \|h\|_{l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)} \, \|\Omega\|_1 \, 2^t \, |\xi| \, .$$

On the other hand, we have

(2.20)
$$\left| 2^{-\alpha t} \int_{|y| \le 2^t} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} \Omega(y) |y|^{-n+\rho} h(|y|) dy \right| \le \frac{2(\ln 2)^{\frac{1}{q'}}}{\alpha} \|h\|_{l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)} \|\Omega\|_1.$$

Thus, by interpolation between (2.19) and (2.20), we get (2.11). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \Box

Boundedness of Rough Parametric Marcinkiewicz Functions Ahmad Al-Salman and Hussain Al-Qassem vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 108, 2007

Title Page		
Contents		
44	••	
◀	•	
Page 10 of 17		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Close		

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

3. Rough Parametric Maximal Functions

In this section we shall establish the boundedness of certain maximal functions which will be needed to prove our main result.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $\Omega \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ is a homogeneous function of degree zero on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|\Omega\|_1 \leq 1$ and $\|\Omega\|_{\infty} \leq 2^a$ for some a > 1. Suppose also that $h(|x|) \in l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 < q \leq \infty$ and let M_{α} be the operator defined as in (1.4). Then

$$\|M_{\alpha}f\|_{p} \leq \frac{aC}{\alpha} \|f\|_{p}$$

for all 1 with constant*C*independent of*a*,*f* $, and <math>\alpha$.

Proof. Since $l^{\infty}(L^{q_1})(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset l^{\infty}(L^{q_2})(\mathbb{R}^+)$ whenever $q_2 \leq q_1$, it suffices to assume that $1 < q \leq 2$. By a similar argument as in ([2]), choose a collection of \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions $\Phi_a = \{\varphi_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on \mathbb{R}^n that satisfies the following properties: $\hat{\varphi}_t$ is supported in $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{-(t+1)a} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{-(t-1)a}\}, \left|\frac{d^{\gamma}\hat{\varphi}_t}{d\xi^{\gamma}}(\xi)\right| \leq C_{\gamma} |\xi|^{-|\gamma|}$ for any multi-index $\gamma \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\})^n$ with constants C_{γ} depending only on the underlying dimension and γ , and

(3.2)
$$\sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}\hat{\varphi}_{t+j}(\xi) = 1.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\{\sigma_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the family of measures on \mathbb{R}^n defined via the Fourier transform by

(3.3)
$$\hat{\sigma}_t(\xi) = 2^{-\alpha t} \int_{|y| \le 2^t} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} |\Omega(y)| |y|^{-n+\rho} |h(|y|)| dy$$

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Then it is easy to see that

(3.4)
$$M_{\alpha}f(x) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \{ |\sigma_t| * |f(x)| \}.$$

Now choose $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{\phi}(\eta) = 1$ for $|\eta| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and $\hat{\phi}(\eta) = 0$ for $|\eta| \geq 1$. Let $\{\tau_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the family of measures on \mathbb{R}^n defined via the Fourier transform by

(3.5)
$$\hat{\tau}_t(\xi) = \hat{\sigma}_t(\xi) - \hat{\phi}(2^t\xi)\hat{\sigma}_t(0)$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, the choice of ϕ , the definitions of σ_t , τ_t , and the assumptions on Ω , we have

(3.6)
$$|\hat{\tau}_t(\xi)| \le \frac{C2^{la}}{\alpha} (2^t |\xi|)^{-\varepsilon}$$

for some $l, \varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\|\tau_t\| \le \frac{C}{\alpha}$$

Therefore by interpolation between (3.6) and (3.7), we get

(3.8)
$$|\hat{\tau}_t(\xi)| \le \frac{C}{\alpha} (2^t |\xi|)^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{a}}.$$

Now by (3.2), and the definitions of σ_t , and τ_t , it is easy to see that

(3.9)
$$M_{\alpha}f(x) \le 2\sqrt{a}\sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}}\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,j,a}(f)(x) + C\alpha^{-1}MH(f)(x),$$

(3.10)
$$\tau^*(f)(x) \le 2\sqrt{a} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \Lambda_{\tau, \Phi, j, a}(f)(x) + C\alpha^{-1} M H(f)(x),$$

Boundedness of Rough Parametric Marcinkiewicz Functions Ahmad Al-Salman and Hussain Al-Qassem

vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 108, 2007

Title Page		
Contents		
44	••	
•	•	
Page 12 of 17		
Go Back		
Full Screen		
Close		

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

© 2007 Victoria University. All rights reserved.

in pure and applied

mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

where MH stands for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on \mathbb{R}^n , τ^* the maximal function that corresponds to $\{\tau_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and $\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,s,a}$ is the operator defined by (2.1).

By (3.8), it is easy to see that

(3.11)
$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,j,a}(f)\|_2 \le C 2^{-\varepsilon|j|} \alpha^{-1} \|f\|_2$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, by (3.10) and (3.11) we have

(3.12)
$$\|\tau^*(f)\|_2 \le C\alpha^{-1}a \,\|f\|_2 \,.$$

Thus by (3.7), (3.8), (3.11), (3.12), and Lemma 2.1 with q = 2, we get

(3.13) $\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,j,a}(f)\|_p \le C\alpha^{-1}\sqrt{a} \|f\|_p$

for $p \in (\frac{4}{3}, 4)$. Hence, by interpolation between (3.11) and (3.13), we obtain

(3.14)
$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,j,a}(f)\|_{p} \leq C\alpha^{-1}\sqrt{a}2^{-\varepsilon'|j|} \|f\|_{p}$$

for $p \in (\frac{4}{3}, 4)$. Hence by (3.10) and (3.14), we get

(3.15)
$$\|\tau^*(f)\|_p \le C\alpha^{-1}a \|f\|_p$$

for $p \in (\frac{4}{3}, 4)$. Next, by repeating the above argument with $q = \frac{4}{3} + \varepsilon \ (\varepsilon \to 0^+)$, we get that

(3.16)
$$\|\Lambda_{\tau,\Phi,j,a}(f)\|_{p} \leq C\alpha^{-1}\sqrt{a}2^{-\varepsilon'|j|} \|f\|_{p}$$

(3.17)
$$\|\tau^*(f)\|_p \le C\alpha^{-1}a \|f\|_p$$

for $p \in (\frac{7}{8}, 8)$. Now the result follows by successive applications of the above argument. This completes the proof.

Boundedness of Rough Parametric Marcinkiewicz Functions Ahmad Al-Salman and Hussain Al-Qassem vol. 8, iss. 4, art. 108, 2007 **Title Page** Contents • 44 ◀ Page 13 of 17 Go Back Full Screen Close journal of inequalities

4. Proofs of The Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\log^+ L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ and $h(|x|) \in l^{\infty}(L^q)(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 < q \leq \infty$. A key element in proving our results is decomposing the function Ω as follows (for more information see [3]): For a natural number w, let \mathbf{E}_w be the set of points $x' \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ which satisfy $2^{w+1} \leq |\Omega(x')| < 2^{w+2}$. Also, we let \mathbf{E}_0 be the set of points $x' \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ which satisfy $|\Omega(x')| < 2^{2}$. Set $b_w = \Omega \chi_{\mathbf{E}_w}$. Set $\mathbf{D} = \{w : \|b_w\|_1 \geq 2^{-3w}\}$ and define the sequence of functions $\{\Omega_w\}_{w \in \mathbf{D} \cup \{0\}}$ by

(4.1)
$$\Omega_0(x) = b_0(x) + \sum_{w \notin \mathbf{D}} b_w(x) - \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} b_0(x) d\sigma(x) - \sum_{w \notin \mathbf{D}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} b_w(x) d\sigma(x) v \right)$$

and for $w \in \mathbf{D}$,

(4.2)
$$\Omega_w(x) = (\|b_w\|_1)^{-1} \left(b_w(x) - \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} b_w(x) d\sigma(x) \right).$$

Then, it is easy to see that for $w \in \mathbf{D} \cup \{0\}, \Omega_w$ satisfies (1.1),

(4.3)
$$\|\Omega_w\|_1 \le C, \quad \|\Omega_w\|_\infty \le C2^{4(w+2)}.$$

(4.4)
$$\Omega(x) = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{D} \cup \{0\}} \theta_w \Omega_w(x),$$

where $\theta_0 = 1$, and $\theta_w = \|b_w\|_1$ if $w \in \mathbf{D}$.

For $w \in \mathbf{D} \cup \{0\}$, let $\mu_{\Omega_w,h}^{\rho}$ be the operator defined as in (1.3) with Ω replaced by Ω_w . Then by (4.4), we have

(4.5)
$$\mu_{\Omega,h}^{\rho}f(x) \le \sum_{w \in \mathbf{D} \cup \{0\}} \theta_w \mu_{\Omega_w,h}^{\rho}f(x).$$

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

Now, for $w \in \mathbf{D} \cup \{0\}$, let $\tau_w = \{\tau_{t,w} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the family of measures on \mathbb{R}^n defined via the Fourier transform by

(4.6)
$$\hat{\tau}_{t,w}(\xi) = 2^{-\alpha t} \int_{|y| \le 2^t} e^{-i\xi \cdot y} \Omega_w(y) \, |y|^{-n+\rho} \, h(|y|) dy$$

and let $\Phi_{w+2} = \{\varphi_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a collection of \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions on \mathbb{R}^n defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\Lambda_{\tau_w, \Phi_{w+2}, j, w+2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the operators given by (2.1). Then by a simple change of variable we obtain

(4.7)
$$\mu_{\Omega_w,h}^{\rho} f(x) \le \sqrt{w+2} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \Lambda_{\tau_w,\Phi_{w+2},j,w+2}(f)(x)$$

Thus by Lemma 2.2, the properties of Ω_w , Theorem 3.1, and Lemma 2.1, we get

(4.8)
$$\left\|\mu_{\Omega_w,h}^{\rho}f\right\|_p \le \frac{(w+2)C}{\alpha} \left\|f\right\|_p$$

for all 1 .

Therefore, for 1 , by (4.7) and (4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mu_{\Omega,h}^{\rho} f \right\|_{p} &\leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{w \in \mathbf{D} \cup \{0\}} (w+2)\theta_{w} \right\} \|f\|_{p} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \left\| \Omega \right\|_{L(\log L)(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})} \|f\|_{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. A proof of Theorem 1.3 can be obtained using the decomposition (4.4) and Theorem 3.1. We omit the details

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

 \square

References

- [1] A. AL-SALMAN AND H. AL-QASSEM, Flat Marcinkiewicz integral operators, *Turkish J. Math.*, **26**(3) (2002), 329–338.
- [2] A. AL-SALMAN AND H. AL-QASSEM, Integral operators of Marcinkiewicz type, *J. Integral Equations Appl.*, **14**(4) (2002), 343–354.
- [3] A. AL-SALMAN AND Y. PAN, Singular Integrals with Rough Kernels in $Llog^+L(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **66** (2002) 153–174.
- [4] A. BENEDEK, A. CALDERÓN AND R. PANZONE, convolution operators on Banach space valued functions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **48** (1962), 356–365.
- [5] Y. DING, D. FAN AND Y. PAN, L^p boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals with Hardy space function kernel, Acta. Math. Sinica (English Series), 16 (2000), 593–600.
- [6] Y. DING, S. LU AND K. YABUTA, A problem on rough parametric Marcinkiewicz functions, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 72 (2002), 13–21.
- [7] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA AND J.L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates, *Invent. Math.*, 84 (1986), 541–561.
- [8] D. FAN AND Y. PAN, Singular integrals with rough kernels supported by subvarieties, *Amer. J. Math.*, **119** (1997), 799–839.
- [9] HÖRMANDER, 'Translation invariant operators', *Acta Math.*, **104** (1960), 93–139.
- [10] M. SAKAMOTO AND K. YABUTA, Boundedness of Marcinkiewicz functions, *Studia Math.*, 135 (1999), 103–142.

Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

- [11] E.M. STEIN, On the function of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **88** (1958), 430–466.
- [12] E.M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

in pure and applied mathematics