



ON THE DEGREE OF STRONG APPROXIMATION OF INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

WŁODZIMIERZ ŁENSKI

UNIVERSITY OF ZIELONA GÓRA
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ECONOMETRICS
65-516 ZIELONA GÓRA, UL. SZAFRANA 4A
POLAND
W.Lenski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Received 20 December, 2006; accepted 25 July, 2007

Communicated by L. Leindler

ABSTRACT. We show the strong approximation version of some results of L. Leindler [3] connected with the theorems of P. Chandra [1, 2].

Key words and phrases: Degree of strong approximation, Special sequences.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A24, 41A25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L^p ($1 < p < \infty$) [C] be the class of all 2π -periodic real-valued functions integrable in the Lebesgue sense with p -th power [continuous] over $Q = [-\pi, \pi]$ and let $X^p = L^p$ when $1 < p < \infty$ or $X^p = C$ when $p = \infty$. Let us define the norm of $f \in X^p$ as

$$\|f\|_{X^p} = \|f(\cdot)\|_{X^p} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_Q |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{when } 1 < p < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in Q} |f(x)| & \text{when } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and consider its trigonometric Fourier series

$$Sf(x) = \frac{a_0(f)}{2} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (a_{\nu}(f) \cos \nu x + b_{\nu}(f) \sin \nu x)$$

with the partial sums $S_k f$.

Let $A := (a_{n,k})$ ($k, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) be a lower triangular infinite matrix of real numbers and let the A -transforms of $(S_k f)$ be given by

$$T_{n,A}f(x) := \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} S_k f(x) - f(x) \right| \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$H_{n,A}^q f(x) := \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} |S_k f(x) - f(x)|^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (q > 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

As a measure of approximation, by the above quantities we use the pointwise characteristic

$$w_x f(\delta)_{L^p} := \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta |\varphi_x(t)|^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where

$$\varphi_x(t) := f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x).$$

$w_x f(\delta)_{L^p}$ is constructed based on the definition of Lebesgue points (L^p -points), and the modulus of continuity for f in the space X^p defined by the formula

$$\omega f(\delta)_{X^p} := \sup_{0 \leq |h| \leq \delta} \|\varphi \cdot (h)\|_{X^p}.$$

We can observe that with $\tilde{p} \geq p$, for $f \in X^{\tilde{p}}$, by the Minkowski inequality

$$\|w \cdot f(\delta)_p\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} \leq \omega f(\delta)_{X^{\tilde{p}}}.$$

The deviation $T_{n,A}f$ was estimated by P. Chandra [1, 2] in the norm of $f \in C$ and for monotonic sequences $a_n = (a_{n,k})$. These results were generalized by L. Leindler [3] who considered the sequences of bounded variation instead of monotonic ones. In this note we shall consider the strong means $H_{n,A}^q f$ and the integrable functions. We shall also give some results on norm approximation.

By K we shall designate either an absolute constant or a constant depending on some parameters, not necessarily the same of each occurrence.

2. STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

Let us consider a function w_x of modulus of continuity type on the interval $[0, +\infty)$, i.e., a nondecreasing continuous function having the following properties: $w_x(0) = 0$, $w_x(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq w_x(\delta_1) + w_x(\delta_2)$ for any $0 \leq \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2$ and let

$$L^p(w_x) = \{f \in L^p : w_x f(\delta)_{L^p} \leq w_x(\delta)\}.$$

We can now formulate our main results.

To start with, we formulate the results on pointwise approximation.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a_n = (a_{n,m})$ satisfy the following conditions:*

$$(2.1) \quad a_{n,m} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} = 1$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq K a_{n,m},$$

where

$$m = 0, 1, \dots, n \quad \text{and} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \left(\sum_{k=0}^{-1} = 0 \right).$$

Suppose w_x is such that

$$(2.3) \quad \left\{ u^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_u^\pi \frac{(w_x(t))^p}{t^{1+\frac{p}{q}}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = O(u H_x(u)) \quad \text{as} \quad u \rightarrow 0+,$$

where $H_x(u) \geq 0$, $1 < p \leq q$ and

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^t H_x(u) du = O(tH_x(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0+.$$

If $f \in L^p(w_x)$, then

$$H_{n,A}^{q'} f(x) = O(a_{n,n} H_x(a_{n,n}))$$

with $q' \in (0, q]$ and q such that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$.

Theorem 2.2. Let (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) hold. If $f \in L^p(w_x)$ then

$$H_{n,A}^{q'} f(x) = O\left(w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right) + O\left(a_{n,n} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right)$$

and if, in addition, (2.4) holds then

$$H_{n,A}^{q'} f(x) = O\left(a_{n,n} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right)$$

with $q' \in (0, q]$ and q such that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$.

Theorem 2.3. Let (2.1), (2.3), (2.4) and

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq K a_{n,m}$$

where

$$m = 0, 1, \dots, n \quad \text{and} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

hold. If $f \in L^p(w_x)$ then

$$H_{n,A}^{q'} f(x) = O(a_{n,0} H_x(a_{n,0}))$$

with $q' \in (0, q]$ and q such that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$.

Theorem 2.4. Let us assume that (2.1), (2.3) and (2.5) hold. If $f \in L^p(w_x)$, then

$$H_{n,A}^{q'} f(x) = O\left(w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right) + O\left(a_{n,0} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right).$$

If, in addition, (2.4) holds then

$$H_{n,A}^{q'} f(x) = O\left(a_{n,0} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right)$$

with $q' \in (0, q]$ and q such that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$.

Consequently, we formulate the results on norm approximation.

Theorem 2.5. Let $a_n = (a_{n,m})$ satisfy the conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Suppose $\omega f(\cdot)_{X^{\tilde{p}}}$ is such that

$$(2.6) \quad \left\{ u^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_u^\pi \frac{(\omega f(t)_{X^{\tilde{p}}})^p}{t^{1+\frac{p}{q}}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = O(uH(u)) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0+$$

holds, with $1 < p \leq q$ and $\tilde{p} \geq p$, where $H(\geq 0)$ instead of H_x satisfies the condition (2.4). If $f \in X^{\tilde{p}}$ then

$$\left\| H_{n,A}^{q'} f(\cdot) \right\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} = O(a_{n,n} H(a_{n,n}))$$

with $q' \leq q$ and $p \leq \tilde{p}$ such that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$.

Theorem 2.6. Let (2.1), (2.2) and (2.6) hold. If $f \in X^{\tilde{p}}$ then

$$\left\| H_{n,A}^{q'} f(\cdot) \right\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} = O \left(\omega f \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)_{X^{\tilde{p}}} \right) + O \left(a_{n,n} H \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right).$$

If, in addition, $H (\geq 0)$ instead of H_x satisfies the condition (2.4) then

$$\left\| H_{n,A}^{q'} f(\cdot) \right\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} = O \left(a_{n,n} H \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right)$$

with $q' \leq q$ and $p \leq \tilde{p}$ such that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$.

Theorem 2.7. Let (2.1), (2.4) with a function $H (\geq 0)$ instead of H_x , (2.5) and (2.6) hold. If $f \in X^{\tilde{p}}$ then

$$\left\| H_{n,A}^{q'} f(\cdot) \right\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} = O(a_{n,0} H(a_{n,0}))$$

with $q' \leq q$ and $p \leq \tilde{p}$ such that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$.

Theorem 2.8. Let (2.1), (2.5) and (2.6) hold. If $f \in X^{\tilde{p}}$ then

$$\left\| H_{n,A}^{q'} f(\cdot) \right\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} = O \left(\omega f \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)_{X^{\tilde{p}}} \right) + O \left(a_{n,0} H \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right).$$

If, in addition, $H (\geq 0)$ instead of H_x satisfies the condition (2.4), then

$$\left\| H_{n,A}^{q'} f(\cdot) \right\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} = O \left(a_{n,0} H \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right) \right)$$

with $q' \leq q$ and $p \leq \tilde{p}$ such that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$.

3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we denote by ω a function of modulus of continuity type.

Lemma 3.1. If (2.3) with $0 < p \leq q$ and (2.4) with functions ω and $H (\geq 0)$ instead of w_x and H_x , respectively, hold then

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^u \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt = O(uH(u)) \quad (u \rightarrow 0+).$$

Proof. Integrating by parts in the above integral we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^u \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt &= \int_0^u t \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_t^\pi \frac{\omega(s)}{s^2} ds \right) dt \\ &= \left[-t \int_t^\pi \frac{\omega(s)}{s^2} ds \right]_0^u + \int_0^u \left(\int_t^\pi \frac{\omega(s)}{s^2} ds \right) dt \\ &\leq u \int_u^\pi \frac{\omega(s)}{s^2} ds + \int_0^u \left(\int_t^\pi \frac{\omega(s)}{s^2} ds \right) dt \\ &= u \int_u^\pi \frac{\omega(s)}{s^{1+\frac{p}{q}+1-\frac{p}{q}}} ds + \int_0^u \left(\int_t^\pi \frac{\omega(s)}{s^{1+\frac{p}{q}+1-\frac{p}{q}}} ds \right) dt \\ &\leq u^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_u^\pi \frac{\omega(s)}{s^{1+p/q}} ds + \int_0^u \frac{1}{t} \left(t^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_t^\pi \frac{(\omega(s))^p}{s^{1+p/q}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt, \end{aligned}$$

since $1 - \frac{p}{q} \geq 0$. Using our assumptions we have

$$\int_0^u \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt = O(uH(u)) + \int_0^u \frac{1}{t} O(tH(t)) dt = O(uH(u))$$

and thus the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 3.2 ([4, Theorem 5.20 II, Ch. XII]). *Suppose that $1 < q(q-1) \leq p \leq q$ and $\xi = 1/p + 1/q - 1$. If $|t^{-\xi}g(t)| \in L^p$ then*

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ \frac{|a_o(g)|^q}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (|a_k(g)|^q + |b_k(g)|^q) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq K \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t^{-\xi}g(t)|^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

4. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

Since $H_{n,A}^q f$ is the monotonic function of q we shall consider, in all our proofs, the quantity $H_{n,A}^q f$ instead of $H_{n,A}^{q'} f$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n,A}^q f(x) &= \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{a_{n,n}} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= I(a_{n,n}) + J(a_{n,n}) \end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.1), integrating by parts, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} I(a_{n,n}) &\leq \int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{2t} dt \\ &= \int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{1}{2t} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t |\varphi_x(s)| ds \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2a_{n,n}} \int_0^{a_{n,n}} |\varphi_x(t)| dt + \int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{w_x f(t)_1}{2t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(w_x f(a_{n,n})_1 + \int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{w_x f(t)_1}{t} dt \right) \\ &= K \left(a_{n,n} \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{w_x f(t)_1}{t^2} dt + \int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{w_x f(t)_1}{t} dt \right) \\ &\leq K \left(a_{n,n} \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{(w_x f(t)_{L^1})^p}{t^2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + K \left(\int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{w_x f(t)_{L^1}}{t} dt \right) \\ &\leq K \left(a_{n,n}^{p/q} \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{(w_x f(t)_{L^p})^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + K \left(\int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{w_x f(t)_{L^p}}{t} dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in L^p(w_x)$ and (2.4) holds, Lemma 3.1 and (2.3) give

$$I(a_{n,n}) = O(a_{n,n} H_x(a_{n,n})).$$

The Abel transformation shows that

$$(J(a_{n,n}))^q = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) \sum_{\nu=0}^k \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q + a_{n,n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q,$$

whence, by (2.2),

$$(J(a_{n,n}))^q \leq (K+1) a_{n,n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q.$$

Using inequality (3.2), we obtain

$$J(a_{n,n}) \leq K(a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(a_{n,n}) &\leq K(a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{t^{p/q}} (w_x f(t)_{L^p})^p \right]_{t=a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 + \frac{p}{q} \right) \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{(w_x f(t)_{L^p})^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq K(a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ (w_x f(\pi)_{L^p}) + \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{(w_x f(t)_{L^p})^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in L^p(w_x)$, by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} J(a_{n,n}) &\leq K(a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ (w_x(\pi)) + \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{(w_x(t))^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq K \left\{ (a_{n,n})^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{(w_x(t))^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= O(a_{n,n} H_x(a_{n,n})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus our result is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let, as before,

$$H_{n,A}^q f(x) \leq I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) + J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$$

and

$$I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \leq \frac{n+1}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n+1}} |\varphi_x(t)| dt = w_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_{L^1}.$$

In the estimate of $J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$ we again use the Abel transformation and (2.2). Thus

$$\left(J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right)^q \leq (K+1) a_{n,n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q$$

and, by inequality (3.2),

$$J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \leq K (a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Integrating (2.3) by parts, with the assumption $f \in L^p(w_x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) &\leq K ((n+1) a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{(w_x(t))^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= O\left(((n+1) a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{\pi}{n+1} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

as in the previous proof, with $\frac{\pi}{n+1}$ instead of $a_{n,n}$.

Finally, arguing as in [3, p.110], we can see that, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$|a_{n,j} - a_{n,n}| \leq \left| \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq K a_{n,n},$$

whence

$$a_{n,j} \leq (K+1) a_{n,n}$$

and therefore

$$(K+1)(n+1) a_{n,n} \geq \sum_{j=0}^n a_{n,j} = 1.$$

This inequality implies that

$$J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) = O\left(a_{n,n} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right)$$

and the proof of the first part of our statement is complete.

To prove of the second part of our assertion we have to estimate the term $I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$ once more.

Proceeding analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.1, with $a_{n,n}$ replaced by $\frac{\pi}{n+1}$, we obtain

$$I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) = O\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right).$$

By the inequality from the first part of our proof, the relation $(n+1)^{-1} = O(a_{n,n})$ holds, whence the second statement follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. As usual, let

$$H_{n,A}^q f(x) \leq I(a_{n,0}) + J(a_{n,0}).$$

Since $f \in L^p(w_x)$, by the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, Lemma 3.1 and (2.3) yield

$$I(a_{n,0}) = O(a_{n,0} H_x(a_{n,0})).$$

By the Abel transformation

$$\begin{aligned} (J(a_{n,0}))^q &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^k \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{n,0}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q \\ &\quad + a_{n,n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{n,0}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| + a_{n,n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{n,0}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in [3, p.110], by (2.5), we have

$$|a_{n,n} - a_{n,0}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq K a_{n,0},$$

whence $a_{n,n} \leq (K+1) a_{n,0}$ and therefore

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| + a_{n,n} \leq (2K+1) a_{n,0}$$

and

$$(J(a_{n,0}))^q \leq (2K+1) a_{n,0} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a_{n,0}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q.$$

Finally, by (3.2),

$$J(a_{n,0}) \leq K (a_{n,0})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{a_{n,0}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and, by (2.3),

$$J(a_{n,0}) = O(a_{n,0} H_x(a_{n,0})).$$

This completes our proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We start as usual with the simple transformation

$$H_{n,A}^q f(x) \leq I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) + J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right).$$

Similarly, as in the previous proofs, by (2.1) we have

$$I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \leq w_x f\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_{L^1}.$$

We estimate the term J in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} \left(J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right)^q &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^k \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q \\ &\quad + a_{n,n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| + a_{n,n} \right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption (2.5), arguing as before, we can see that

$$J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \leq K \left(a_{n,0} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \varphi_x(t) \frac{\sin\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}t} dt \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and, by (3.2),

$$J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \leq K (a_{n,0})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

From (2.5), it follows that $a_{n,k} \leq (K+1)a_{n,0}$ for any $k \leq n$, and therefore

$$(K+1)(n+1)a_{n,0} \geq \sum_{k=0}^n a_{n,k} = 1,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) &\leq K ((n+1)a_{n,0})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq K (n+1)a_{n,0} \left\{ \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in L^p(w_x)$, integrating by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) &\leq K (n+1)a_{n,0} \frac{\pi}{n+1} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ &\leq K a_{n,0} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the first part of our statement is complete.

To prove the second part, we have to estimate the term $I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)$ once more.

Proceeding analogously to the proof of Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) = O\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} H_x\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right).$$

From the start of our proof we have $(n+1)^{-1} = O(a_{n,0})$, whence the second assertion also follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. We begin with the inequality

$$\|H_{n,A}^q f(\cdot)\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} \leq \|I(a_{n,n})\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} + \|J(a_{n,n})\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}}.$$

By (2.1), Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(a_{n,n})\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}} &\leq \int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{\|\varphi(t)\|_{X^{\tilde{p}}}}{2t} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{a_{n,n}} \frac{\omega f(t)_{X^{\tilde{p}}}}{2t} dt \\ &= O(a_{n,n} H(a_{n,n})). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(a_{n,n})\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} &\leq K(a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\| \left\{ \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \\ &\leq K(a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{\|\varphi(t)\|_{X^{\bar{p}}}^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq K(a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{a_{n,n}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega f(t)_{X^{\bar{p}}}}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

whence, by (2.6),

$$\|J(a_{n,n})\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} = O(a_{n,n}H(a_{n,n}))$$

holds and our result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6. It is clear that

$$\|H_{n,A}^q f(\cdot)\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \leq \left\| I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} + \left\| J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}}$$

and immediately

$$\left\| I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \leq \left\| w \cdot f\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_1 \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \leq \omega f\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_{X^{\bar{p}}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} &\leq K(a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\|\varphi(t)\|_{X^{\bar{p}}}^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq K((n+1)a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{n+1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega f(t)_{X^{\bar{p}}}}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq K((n+1)a_{n,n})^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{\pi}{n+1} H\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ &\leq K(n+1)a_{n,n} \frac{\pi}{n+1} H\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ &= O\left(a_{n,n}H\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus our first statement holds. The second one follows on using a similar process to that in the proof of Theorem 2.1. We have to only use the estimates obtained in the proof of Theorem 2.5, with $\frac{\pi}{n+1}$ instead of $a_{n,n}$, and the relation

$$(n+1)^{-1} = O(a_{n,n}).$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 2.7. As in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\|H_{n,A}^q f(\cdot)\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \leq \|I(a_{n,0})\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} + \|J(a_{n,0})\|_{X^{\bar{p}}}$$

and

$$\|I(a_{n,0})\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} = O(a_{n,0}H(a_{n,0})) .$$

Also, from the proof of Theorem 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J(a_{n,0})\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} &\leq K(a_{n,0})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\| \left\{ \int_{a_{n,0}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \\ &\leq K(a_{n,0})^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_{a_{n,0}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega f(t)_{X^{\bar{p}}}}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.6),

$$\|J(a_{n,0})\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} = O(a_{n,0}H(a_{n,0})) .$$

Thus our result is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.8. We recall, as in the previous proof, that

$$\|H_{n,A}^q f(\cdot)\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \leq \left\| I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} + \left\| J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}}$$

and

$$\left\| I\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \leq \omega f\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_{X^{\bar{p}}} .$$

We apply a similar method as that used in the proof of Theorem 2.4 to obtain an estimate for the quantity $\left\| J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} &\leq K(n+1)a_{n,0} \left\| \left\{ \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} \\ &\leq K(n+1)a_{n,0} \left\{ \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\|\varphi(t)\|_{X^{\bar{p}}}^p}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq K(n+1)a_{n,0} \left\{ \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n+1}}^{\pi} \frac{\omega f(t)_{X^{\bar{p}}}}{t^{1+p/q}} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| J\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \right\|_{X^{\bar{p}}} &\leq K(n+1)a_{n,0} \frac{\pi}{n+1} H\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) \\ &\leq Ka_{n,0} H\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}\right) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof of the first part of our statement is complete.

To prove of the second part, we follow the line of the proof of Theorem 2.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. CHANDRA, On the degree of approximation of a class of functions by means of Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **52** (1988), 199–205.
- [2] P. CHANDRA, A note on the degree of approximation of continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **62** (1993), 21–23.
- [3] L. LEINDLER, On the degree of approximation of continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **104** (2004), 105–113.
- [4] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge, 2002.