Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

BOUNDED LINEAR OPERATORS IN PROBABILISTIC NORMED SPACE

IQBAL H. JEBRIL AND RADHI IBRAHIM M. ALI

University of Al al-BAYT,
Department of Mathematics,
P.O.Box 130040,
Mafraq 25113, Jordan.
EMail: igbal501@yahoo.com

volume 4, issue 1, article 8, 2003.

Received 7 May, 2002; accepted 20 November, 2002.

Communicated by: *B. Mond*

ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756
049-02

Abstract

The notion of a probabilistic metric space was introduced by Menger in 1942. The notion of a probabilistic normed space was introduced in 1993. The aim of this paper is to give a necessary condition to get bounded linear operators in probabilistic normed space.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54E70.
Key words: Probabilistic Normed Space, Bounded Linear Operators.

It is a pleasure to thank C. Alsina and C. Sempi for sending us the references [1, 3, 9].

Contents

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to present a definition of bounded linear operators which is based on the new definition of a probabilistic normed space. This definition is sufficiently general to encompass the most important contraction function in probabilistic normed space. The concepts used are those of [1], [2] and [9].

A *distribution function* (briefly, a d.f.) is a function F from the extended real line $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, +\infty]$ into the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$ that is nondecreasing and satisfies $F(-\infty) = 0$, $F(+\infty) = 1$. We normalize all d.f.'s to be left-continuous on the unextended real line $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$. For any $a \geq 0$, ε_a is the d.f. defined by

$$\varepsilon_a(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq a \\ 1, & \text{if } x > a, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The set of all the d.f.s will be denoted by Δ and the subset of those d.f.s called positive d.f.s. such that $F(0) = 0$, by Δ^+.

By setting $F \leq G$ whenever $F(x) \leq G(x)$ for all x in $\mathbb{R}$, the maximal element for Δ^+ in this order is the d.f. given by

$$\varepsilon_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \leq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

A *triangle function* is a binary operation on Δ^+, namely a function $\tau : \Delta^+ \times \Delta^+ \to \Delta^+$ that is associative, commutative, nondecreasing and which has ε_0 as unit, that is, for all $F, G, H \in \Delta^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(\tau\left(F,G\right),H\right) &= \tau\left(F,\tau\left(G,H\right)\right),\\
\tau\left(F,G\right) &= \tau\left(G,F\right),\\
\tau\left(F,H\right) &\le \tau\left(G,H\right), \quad \text{if} \quad F \le G,\\
\tau\left(F,\varepsilon_0\right) &= F.
\end{aligned}$$

Continuity of a triangle function means continuity with respect to the topology of weak convergence in Δ^+.

Typical continuous triangle functions are convolution and the operations τ_T and τ_{T^*}, which are, respectively, given by

$$\tau_T\left(F,G\right)\left(x\right) = \sup_{s+t=x} T\left(F\left(s\right),G\left(t\right)\right), \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\tau_{T^*}\left(F,G\right)\left(x\right) = \inf_{s+t=x} T^*\left(F\left(s\right),G\left(t\right)\right), \tag{1.3}$$

for all F, G in Δ^+ and all x in $\mathbb{R}$ [9, Sections 7.2 and 7.3], here T is a continuous t-norm, i.e. a continuous binary operation on $[0,1]$ that is associative, commutative , nondecreasing and has 1 as identity; T^* is a continuous t-conorm, namely a continuous binary operation on $[0,1]$ that is related to continuous t-norm through

$$T^*\left(x,y\right) = 1 - T\left(1-x, 1-y\right). \tag{1.4}$$

It follows without difficulty from (1.1)–(1.4) that

$$\tau_T\left(\varepsilon_a,\varepsilon_b\right) = \varepsilon_{a+b} = \tau_{T^*}\left(\varepsilon_a,\tau_b\right),$$

for any continuous t-norm T, any continuous t-conorm T^* and any $a, b \geq 0$.

The most important t-norms are the functions W, $Prod$, and M which are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} W(a,b) &= \max(a+b-1,0), \\ prod(a,b) &= a \cdot b, \\ M(a,b) &= \min(a,b). \end{aligned}$$

Their corresponding t-norms are given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} W^*(a,b) &= \min(a+b,1), \\ prod^*(a,b) &= a+b-a \cdot b, \\ M^*(a,b) &= \max(a,b). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. *A probabilistic metric (briefly PM) space is a triple* (S, f, τ), *where* S *is a nonempty set,* τ *is a triangle function, and* f *is a mapping from* $S \times S$ *into* Δ^+ *such that, if* F_{pq} *denoted the value of* f *at the pair* (p, q), *the following hold for all* p, q, r *in* S:

(PM1) $F_{pq} = \varepsilon_0$ *if and only if* $p = q$.

(PM2) $F_{pq} = F_{qp}$.

(PM3) $F_{pr} \geq \tau(F_{pq}, F_{qr})$.

Definition 1.2. *A probabilistic normed space is a quadruple* (V, ν, τ, τ^*), *where* V *is a real vector space,* τ *and* τ^* *are continuous triangle functions, and* ν *is a mapping from* V *into* Δ^+ *such that, for all* p, q *in* V, *the following conditions hold:*

(PN1) $\nu_p = \varepsilon_0$ *if and only if* $p = \theta$, θ *being the null vector in* V;

(PN2) $\nu_{-p} = \nu_p$;

(PN3) $\nu_{p+q} \geq \tau(\nu_p, \nu_q)$

(PN4) $\nu_p \leq \tau^* \left(\nu_{\alpha p}, \nu_{(1-\alpha)p}\right)$ *for all* α *in* $[0, 1]$.

If, instead of **(PN1)**, we only have $\nu_\theta = \varepsilon_\theta$, then we shall speak of a *Probabilistic Pseudo Normed Space*, briefly a PPN space. If the inequality **(PN4)** is replaced by the equality $V_p = \tau_M \left(\nu_{\alpha p}, \nu_{(1-\alpha)p}\right)$, then the PN space is called a *Serstnev space*. The pair is said to be a Probabilistic *Seminormed* Space (briefly PSN space) if $\nu : V \to \Delta^+$ satisfies **(PN1)** and **(PN2)**.

Definition 1.3. *A PSN* (V, ν) *space is said to be equilateral if there is a d.f.* $F \in \Delta^+$ *different from* ε_0 *and from* ε_∞, *such that, for every* $p \neq \theta$, $\nu_p = F$. *Therefore, every equilateral PSN space* (V, ν) *is a PN space under* $\tau = M$ *and* $\tau^* = M$ *where is the triangle function defined for* $G, H \in \Delta^+$ *by*

$$M(G, H)(x) = \min\{G(x), H(x)\} \qquad (x \in [0, \infty]).$$

An equilateral PN space will be denoted by (V, F, M).

Definition 1.4. *Let* $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ *be a normed space and let* $G \in \Delta^+$ *be different from* ε_0 *and* ε_∞; *define* $\nu : V \to \Delta^+$ *by* $\nu_\theta = \varepsilon_0$ *and*

$$\nu_p(t) = G\left(\frac{t}{\|p\|^\alpha}\right) \qquad (p \neq \theta,\ t > 0),$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$. *Then the pair* (V, ν) *will be called the* $\alpha-$*simple space generated by* $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ *and by* G.

The $\alpha-$simple space generated by $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ and by G is immediately seen to be a PSN space; it will be denoted by $(V, \|\cdot\|, G; \alpha)$.

Definition 1.5. *There is a natural topology in PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*), called the strong topology; it is defined by the neighborhoods,*

$$N_p(t) = \{q \in V : \nu_{q-p}(t) > 1 - t\} = \{q \in d_L(\nu_{q-p}, \varepsilon_0) < t\},$$

where $t > 0$. Here d_L is the modified Levy metric ([9]).

2. Bounded Linear Operators in Probabilistic Normed Spaces

In 1999, B. Guillen, J. Lallena and C. Sempi [3] gave the following definition of bounded set in PN space.

Definition 2.1. *Let A be a nonempty set in PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*). Then*

(a) *A is certainly bounded if, and only if, $\varphi_A(x_0) = 1$ for some $x_0 \in (0, +\infty)$;*

(b) *A is perhaps bounded if, and only if, $\varphi_A(x_0) < 1$ for every $x_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ and $l^- \varphi_A(+\infty) = 1$;*

(c) *A is perhaps unbounded if, and only if, $l^- \varphi_A(+\infty) \in (0, 1)$;*

(d) *A is certainly unbounded if, and only if, $l^- \varphi_A(+\infty) = 0$; i.e., $\varphi_A(x) = 0$;*

where $\varphi_A(x) = \inf\{\nu_p(x) : P \in A\}$ and $l^- \varphi_A(x) = \lim_{t \to x-} \varphi_A(t)$. Moreover, A will be said to be D-bounded if either (a) or (b) holds.

Definition 2.2. *Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) and $(V', \mu, \sigma, \sigma^*)$ be PN spaces. A linear map $T : V \to V'$ is said to be*

(a) *Certainly bounded if every certainly bounded set A of the space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) has, as image by T a certainly bounded set TA of the space $(V', \mu, \sigma, \sigma^*)$, i.e., if there exists $x_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $\nu_p(x_0) = 1$ for all $p \in A$, then there exists $x_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ such that $\mu_{Tp}(x_1) = 1$ for all $p \in A$.*

(b) *Bounded if it maps every D-bounded set of V into a D-bounded set of V', i.e., if, and only if, it satisfies the implication,*

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty} \varphi_A(x) = 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{x\to+\infty} \varphi_{TA}(x) = 1,$$

for every nonempty subset A of V.

(c) *Strongly **B**-bounded if there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that, for every $p \in V$ and for every $x > 0$, $\mu_{Tp}(x) \geq \nu_p\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$, or equivalently if there exists a constant $h > 0$ such that, for every $p \in V$ and for every $x > 0$,*

$$\mu_{Tp}(hx) \geq \nu_p(x).$$

(d) *Strongly **C**-bounded if there exists a constant $h \in (0,1)$ such that, for every $p \in V$ and for every $x > 0$,*

$$\nu_p(x) > 1 - x \Rightarrow \mu_{Tp}(hx) > 1 - hx.$$

Remark 2.1. *The identity map I between PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) into itself is strongly **C**-bounded. Also, all linear contraction mappings, according to the definition of [7, Section 1], are strongly **C**-bounded, i.e for every $p \in V$ and for every $x > 0$ if the condition $\nu_p(x) > 1 - x$ is satisfied then*

$$\nu_{Ip}(hx) = \nu_p(hx) > 1 - hx.$$

But we note that when $k = 1$ then the identity map I between PN space (V, ν, τ, τ^*) into itself is a strongly **B**-bounded operator. Also, all linear contraction mappings, according to the definition of [9, Section 12.6], are strongly **B**-bounded.

In [3] B. Guillen, J. Lallena and C. Sempi present the following, every strongly **B**-bounded operator is also certainly bounded and every strongly **B**-bounded operator is also bounded. But the converses need not to be true.

Now we are going to prove that in the Definition 2.2, the notions of strongly **C**-bounded operator, certainly bounded, bounded and strongly **B**-bounded do not imply each other.

In the following example we will introduce a strongly **C**-bounded operator, which is not strongly **B**-bounded, not bounded nor certainly bounded.

Example 2.1. *Let V be a vector space and let $\nu_\theta = \mu_\theta = \varepsilon_0$, while, if $p, q \neq \theta$ then, for every $p, q \in V$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if*

$$\nu_p(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 1 \\ 1, & x > 1 \end{cases} \qquad \mu_p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}, & x \leq 1 \\ \frac{9}{10}, & 1 < x < \infty \\ 1, & x = \infty \end{cases}$$

and if

$$\tau(\nu_p(x), \nu_q(y)) = \tau^*(\nu_p(x), \nu_q(y)) = \min(\nu_p(x), \nu_q(x)),$$
$$\sigma(\mu_p(x), \mu_q(y)) = \sigma^*(\mu_p(x), \mu_q(y)) = \min(\mu_p(x), \mu_q(x)),$$

*then (V, ν, τ, τ^*) and $(V', \mu, \sigma, \sigma^*)$ are equilateral PN spaces by Definition 1.3. Now let $I : (V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*) \to (V, \mu, \tau, \tau^*)$ be the identity operator, then I is strongly **C**-bounded but I is not strongly **B**-bounded, bounded and certainly bounded, it is clear that I is not certainly bounded and is not bounded. I is not* *strongly **B**-bounded, because for every* $k > 0$ *and for* $x = \max\left\{2, \frac{1}{k}\right\}$,

$$\mu_{Ip}(kx) = \frac{9}{10} < 1 = \nu_p(x).$$

But I *is strongly **C**-bounded, because for every* $p > 0$ *and for every* $x > 0$*, this condition* $v_p(x) > 1 - x$ *is satisfied only if* $x > 1$ *now if* $h = \frac{7}{10}x$ *then*

$$\mu_{Ip}(hx) = \mu_{Ip}\left(\frac{7}{10x}x\right) = \mu_p\left(\frac{7}{10}\right) = \frac{1}{3} > \frac{3}{10} = 1 - \frac{7}{10} = 1 - \left(\frac{7}{10x}\right)x.$$

Remark 2.2. *We have noted in the above example that there is an operator, which is strongly **C**-bounded, but it is not strongly **B**-bounded. Moreover we are going to give an operator, which is strongly **B**-bounded, but it is not strongly **C**-bounded.*

Definition 2.3. *Let* (V, ν, τ, τ^*) *be PN space then we defined*

$$B(p) = \inf\left\{h \in \mathbb{R} : \nu_p\left(h^+\right) > 1 - h\right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $T : (V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*) \to (V', \mu, \sigma, \sigma^*)$ *be a strongly **B**-bounded linear operator, for every* p *in* V *and let* μ_{Tp} *be strictly increasing on* $[0, 1]$*, then* $B(T_p) < B(p), \forall p \in V$.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \left(0, \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma} B(p)\right)$, where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then $B(p) > \gamma [B(p) + \eta]$ and so

$$\mu_{Tp}(B(p)) > \mu_{Tp}(\gamma [B(p) + \eta]),$$

and where μ_{Tp} is strictly increasing on $[0,1]$, then

$$\mu_{Tp}\left(\gamma\left[B\left(p\right)+\eta\right]\right)\geq\nu_p\left(B\left(p\right)+\eta\right)\geq\nu_p\left(B\left(p\right)^{+}\right)>1-B\left(p\right),$$

we conclude that

$$B\left(T_p\right)=\inf\left\{B\left(p\right):\mu_{Tp}\left(B\left(p\right)^{+}\right)>1-B\left(p\right)\right\},$$

so $B\left(T_p\right)<B\left(p\right),\ \forall p\in V.$ □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $T:(V,\nu,\tau,\tau^*)\rightarrow(V',\mu,\sigma,\sigma^*)$ be a strongly **B**-bounded linear operator, and let μ_{Tp} be strictly increasing on $[0,1]$, then T is a strongly **C**-bounded linear operator.*

Proof. Let T be a strictly **B**-bounded operator. Since, by Lemma 2.1, $B\left(T_p\right)<B\left(p\right),\forall p\in V$ there exist $\gamma_p\in(0,1)$ such that $B\left(T_p\right)<\gamma_p B\left(p\right)$.

It means that

$$\begin{aligned}\inf\left\{h\in\mathbb{R}:\mu_{Tp}\left(h^{+}\right)>1-h\right\}&\leq\gamma\inf\left\{h\in\mathbb{R}:\nu_p\left(h^{+}\right)>1-h\right\}\\&=\inf\left\{\gamma h\in\mathbb{R}:\nu_p\left(h^{+}\right)>1-h\right\}\\&=\inf\left\{h\in\mathbb{R}:\nu_p\left(\frac{h^{+}}{\gamma}\right)>1-\frac{h}{\gamma}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\nu_p\left(\frac{h}{\gamma}\right)>1-\left(\frac{h}{\gamma}\right)\Longrightarrow\mu_{Tp}\left(h\right)>1-h$. Now if $x=\frac{h}{\gamma}$ then $\nu_p\left(x\right)>1-x\Longrightarrow\mu_{Tp}\left(xh\right)>1-xh$, so T is a strongly **C**-bounded operator. □

Remark 2.3. *From Theorem 2.2 we have noted that under some additional condition every a strongly* **B***-bounded operator is a strongly* **C***-bounded operator. But in general, it is not true.*

Example 2.2. *Let* $V = V' = \mathbb{R}$ *and* $v_0 = \mu_0 = \varepsilon_0$*, while, if* $p \neq 0$*, then, for* $x > 0$*, let* $v_p(x) = G\left(\frac{x}{|p|}\right)$*,* $\mu_p(x) = U\left(\frac{x}{|p|}\right)$*, where*

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & 0 < x \leq 2, \\ 1, & 2 < x \leq +\infty, \end{cases} \qquad U(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & 0 < x \leq \frac{3}{2}, \\ 1, & \frac{3}{2} < x \leq +\infty \end{cases}.$$

Consider now the identity map $I : (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, G, \mu) \to (\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, G, \mu)$*. Now*

(a) I *is a strongly* **B***-bounded operator, such that for every* $p \in \mathbb{R}$ *and every* $x > 0$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{Ip}\left(\frac{3}{4}x\right) &= \mu_p\left(\frac{3}{4}x\right) \\ &= U\left(\frac{3x}{4|p|}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & 0 < x \leq 2|p|, \\ 1, & 2|p| < x \leq +\infty, \end{cases} = G\left(\frac{x}{|p|}\right) = v_p(x). \end{aligned}$$

(b) I *is not a strongly* **C***-bounded operator, such that for every* $h \in (0,1)$*, let* $x = \frac{3}{8h}$*,* $p = \frac{1}{4}$*. If* $x > 2|p|$ *then the condition* $v_p(x) > 1 - x$ *will be* *satisfied, but we note that*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{Ip}(hx) &= \mu_p(hx) \\ &= U\left(\frac{hx}{|p|}\right) \\ &= U\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} < \frac{5}{8} = 1 - h\left(\frac{3}{8h}\right) = 1 - hx.\end{aligned}$$

Now we introduce the relation between the strongly **B**-bounded and strongly **C**-bounded operators with boundedness in normed space.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be strictly increasing on $[0,1]$, then $T : (V, \|\cdot\|, G, \alpha) \to (V', \|\cdot\|, G, \alpha)$ is a strongly **B**-bounded operator if, and only if, T is a bounded linear operator in normed space.*

Proof. Let $k > 0$ and $x > 0$. Then for every $p \in V$

$$G\left(\frac{kx}{\|T_p\|^{\alpha}}\right) = \mu_{Tp}(kx) \geq v_p(x) = G\left(\frac{x}{\|p\|^{\alpha}}\right),$$

if and only if

$$\|T_p\| \leq k^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|p\|.$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *Let $T : (V, \|\cdot\|, G, \alpha) \to (V', \|\cdot\|, G, \alpha)$ be strongly **C**-bounded, and let G be strictly increasing on $[0,1]$ then T is a bounded linear operator in normed space.*

Proof. If v_p is strictly increasing for every $p \in V$, then the quasi-inverse v_p^{Λ} is continuous and $B(p)$ is the unique solution of the equation $x = v_p^{\Lambda}(1-x)$ i.e.

$$B(p) = v_p^{\Lambda}(x)(1 - B(p)). \tag{2.1}$$

If $v_p(x) = G\left(\frac{x}{\|p\|^{\alpha}}\right)$, then $v_p^{\Lambda}(x) = \|p\|^{\alpha} G^{\Lambda}(x)$ and from (2.1) it follows that

$$B(p) = \|p\|^{\alpha} G^{\Lambda}(1 - B(p)). \tag{2.2}$$

Suppose that T is strongly **C**-bounded, i.e. that

$$B(T_p) \leq kB(p), \quad \forall p \in V, \tag{2.3}$$

where $k \in (0,1)$.

Then (2.2) and (2.3) imply

$$\|T_p\|^{\alpha} \leq \frac{B(T_p)}{G^{\Lambda}(1 - B(T_p))} \leq \frac{kB(p)}{G^{\Lambda}(1 - kB(p))} \leq \frac{kB(p)}{G^{\Lambda}(1 - B(p))} = k\|p\|^{\alpha}.$$

Which means that T is a bounded in normed space. □

The converse of the above theorem is not true, see Example 2.2.

We recall the following theorems from [3].

Theorem 2.5. *Let (V, ν, τ, τ^*) and $(V', \mu, \sigma, \sigma^*)$ be PN spaces. A linear map $T : V \to V'$ is either continuous at every point of V or at no point of V.*

Corollary 2.6. *If $T : (V, \nu, \tau, \tau^*) \to (V', \mu, \sigma, \sigma^*)$ is linear, then T is continuous if, and only if, it is continuous at θ.*

Theorem 2.7. *Every strongly* ***B****-bounded linear operator T is continuous with respect to the strong topologies in (V, ν, τ, τ^*) and $(V', \mu, \sigma, \sigma^*)$, respectively.*

In the following theorem we show that every strongly **C**-bounded linear operator T is continuous.

Theorem 2.8. *Every strongly* ***C****-bounded linear operator T is continuous.*

Proof. Due to Corollary 3.1 [3], it suffices to verify that T is continuous at θ. Let $N_{\theta'}(t)$, with $t > 0$, be an arbitrary neighbourhood of θ'. If T is strongly **C**-bounded linear operator then there exist $h \in (0,1)$ such that for every $t > 0$ and $p \in N_{\theta}(s)$ we note that

$$\mu_{Tp}(t) \geq \nu_p(ht) \geq 1 - ht > 1 - t,$$

so $T_p \in N_{\theta'}(t)$; in other words, T is continuous. □

References

[1] C. ALSINA, B. SCHWEIZER AND A. SKLAR, On the definition of probabilistic normed space, *Aequationes Math.*, **46** (1993), 91–98.

[2] C. ALSINA, B. SCHWEIZER, C. SEMPI AND A. SKLAR, On the definition of a probabilistic inner product space, *Rendiconti di Mathematica*, **17** (1997), 115–127.

[3] B. GUILLEN, J. LALLENA AND C. SEMPI, A study of boundedness in probabilistic normed spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **232** (1999), 183–196.

[4] B. GUILLEN, J. LALLENA AND C. SEMPI, Probabilistic norms for linear operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **220** (1998), 462–476.

[5] B. GUILLEN, J. LALLENA AND C. SEMPI, Some classes of probabilistic normed spaces, *Rendiconti di Mathematica*, **17**(7) (1997), 237–252.

[6] E. KREYSZIG, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, John Wiley and Sons Inc.New York, 1978.

[7] E. PAP AND O. HADZIC, A fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric spaces and application, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **202** (1996), 433–449.

[8] B. SCHWEIZER AND A. SKIAR, Statistical metric space, *Pacific J. Math.*, **10** (1960), 313–334.

[9] B. SCHWEIZER AND A. SKIAR, *Probabilistic Metric Space*, Elsevier North Holland New York, 1983.

[10] R. TARDIFF, Topologies for probabilistic metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 65 (1976), 233–251.

[11] A. TAYLOR, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1958.